## NEW MEXICO LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY EMERGENCY BOARD MEETING

December 13, 2011
2:30 p.m.
Albuquerque Police Academy
5412 Second Street
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

REPORTED BY: Jan A. Williams, RPR, NM CCR 14
Bean & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(2893K) JAW

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	ITEM NO. 1: CALL TO ORDER
2	ATTEARANCES	2	MR. KING: All right. I am going to call to
3		3	order this emergency meeting of the Law Enforcement
4 5	BOARD MEMBERS Gary K. King, Attorney General	4	Academy Board. This is going to be just a little bit
3	Ray Schultz	5	out of the ordinary because, before we even approve
6	James R. Coon	6	the agenda or anything, I am going to turn the floor
7	Harry Betz	7	over to Sheriff Coon for a couple of minutes for an
7	Robert Shilling Mark Myers	8	issue that's probably more important than anything
8	Nate Korn	9	else we're dealing with here.
	John Gratton	10	MR. COON: Okay. For you all that didn't
9 10		11	know, last Sunday Sheriff Rod Coffman, my neighbor to
11	ALSO PRESENT	12	the east and down south of Lea County, took his own
12	Bill Hubbard	13	life. And Rod was a good person, he had a warm smile.
13	Zachary Shandler Matthew E. Jackson	14	He was just a good, good person there to help any
13	Ernest Holmes	15	officer down in Southeastern New Mexico and throughout
14	Monique Lopez	16	the state.
15		17	He was a good friend, he was a good sheriff,
16 17		18	and just a good person. And I would just like to
18		19	acknowledge Rod's dedication. He retired from the
19		20	sheriff's office and ran Rod was only 49 years old
20 21		21	but had nearly 30 years of law enforcement experience.
22		22	So I would just like for you all to keep in
23		23 24	mind, that we lost just one heck of a good sheriff down in Lea County. Why? We're still asking that
24 25		25	question. Only him and God know. But we're going to
23	Page 3	23	Page 5
1	AGENDA ITEM INDEX	1	miss him a whole lot down in our part of the country
2		2	and I think the whole state is going to miss him. So
3	ITEM PAGE	3	thank you.
3	1 Call to Order 4	4	MR. KING: With the approval from the board,
4	A B # G #	5	I'm going to call for a moment's silence for the
5	2 Roll Call 18	6	sheriff, please. Thank you all very much.
3	3 Approval of Agenda 19	7	I'm sure that you'll pass along the
6	A T CC of D of L Av	8	condolences of the board to his family. Thank you
7	4 Ineffective Prosecution by Attorney General's Office 20	9	very much.
8	5 Public Comment 91	10	All right. That being said we have a couple
9	6 Alternatives for Current and Future	11	of items on the agenda today. I am going to take a
10	Prosecution 143	12	little bit of the chairman's prerogative and lay out a
	7 Board Actions Regarding Upcoming Hearings,	13	few what I think are probably important ground rules
11	Affected Cases, Pino, Related Matters 150	14	so that everybody remembers what it is that we're
12 13	8 Adjournment 180	15	doing here.
14		16	First off I'd like to point out, because of
15		17 18	the way that the meeting was called, that it actually wasn't clear to me. Today is the first time that I
16 17		19	I've seen the agenda for the meeting. And so I gather
18		20	that this meeting was called by a majority of the
19		21	board, since the statute allows for calling of a
20 21		$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	meeting either by the chairman or by the board.
22		23	I have not been able to get information, and
23 24		24	I think it's important public information, on the
25		25	members of the board that called for the meeting. And

Page 6 Page 8

so can I just get a show of hands for the members of the board that called the meeting. Okay. Thanks a lot. Thank you all very much. So we've disposed of that issue.

This meeting was noticed as an emergency meeting under Rule 10.29.1.9(B)(3), circumstances which demand immediate action. And so there are a couple of rules that apply to that. I thought I wrote down the rule numbers here. But that means that this meeting is limited only to the items on the agenda. And I think that there's sufficient items on the agenda to cover that.

I had some concerns and I expressed them. And I noticed that the Journal Editorial Board who quite often chides me for compliance with the sunshine laws thought that compliance with the sunshine laws might not be important for this meeting.

But I will note that it appeared to me that there were conversations that occurred just based on comments that Chief Schultz gave to the press that there may have been discussions about this issue amongst a number of board members. I don't know whether any of them were quorums of the board.

But I have some concern about rolling quorum issues. And so we may, as we go through today, make

can discuss by name. And so as the chairman I'll try and be cognizant of that and make sure that if we get into discussion of specific cases, that we try not to do that. But I'll just admonish all of you that that's important.

Normally we might be able to do something like that in a closed part of the session. But it's my understanding, in the way that this meeting was called and the fact that we didn't notice any issues for a closed meeting, that it would not be appropriate to go into closed session under any processes here.

And I'm sure that one of the things that we are all very concerned about in the board is to make sure that all of the officers who have had accusations raised against them get all of the due process rights that are available to them through the Law Enforcement Academy Board. So let's all be careful of that.

MR. KORN: Mr. Chairman.

MR. KING: Yes.

MR. KORN: Could I ask a question.

MR. KING: You know, let me get through all the ground rules and then we'll do that.

Okay. The second part of the Chair's prerogative that I'm going to take is that a number of these issues have been discussed in the press. I have

Page 7

sure that there weren't any rolling quorums issues that we have to deal with.

I'm also aware that my staff observed Mr. Ortiz leaving a meeting on December 8th in which he said he was meeting with a number of members of the board. And so we may have a chance to get into that and discuss whether any of that violated the Open Meetings Act. But I think that those are important points.

And here is why I think they're important points, is because actions that are taken by the board that violate the Open Meetings Act are void actions. And so I want to make sure that if we take any actions today, that we don't have that issue to deal with. So let's -- we might have some time to discuss those a little bit today to make sure that we did that correctly.

The other thing that I'm a little bit concerned about is that there could be some discussion about cases today. And I want to remind the board that we should not discuss pending cases by name so as to make sure that we don't generate any issues where it appears that cases have been discussed out of order.

There may be some cases that are past that we

Page 9

refrained from doing so. And so I just want to make sure so that we have a level playing field. I have a couple of initial comments to make from the chair just to do that.

It seemed to me like in the discussion in the press, there was some implication that the Attorney General's Office is the agency with authority to grant or revoke certifications of police officers. I think everybody on the board understands that that's not true.

But I would like to make sure that everybody understands that each player has their role to play here, that the board, ourselves, are hearing officers or serve in the role of hearing officers in the kinds of cases that we're talking about, which makes the board very much like a judge. And certainly we can delegate that authority to hearing officers. And so that's the judge function.

The director, and I think we have the director here, has the function of investigating and preparing actions and bringing them before the board. And I noticed -- and I hadn't seen this prior to the meeting, but I think it looks good -- that somebody prepared a flow chart of how all this works. And so I think that that's really going to help us with regard

Page 10 Page 12

1 to that.

The purpose of the AGO's office in this is to be the lawyer for the director. And so my civil division has a lawyer who represents the director in these agency actions. And I gather that we're going to have some discussion about the quality of that representation, for which I'm happy to have discussions.

I noted that in the newspaper that Mr. Ortiz was quoted as saying that his pleas to the Attorney General's Office for assistance were unanswered. And I gather that we'll get into that some. But I want to say up front that that statement is patently false.

When I became the Attorney General, there was a significant backlog. I don't know, are there -- who was on the board that was here when I became the Attorney General? Do we have anybody left? Chief Schultz, you've been here for longer than anybody I think.

So maybe some folks are not remembering that far back. But frankly when I became the Attorney General, I recall initiating a conversation with Mr. Ortiz that there was a big backlog and that we should do something to take care of that.

Actually there's a pretty good success story

about whether or not, you know, either our office or the board for that matter is allowing bad police officers to be out there on the street. And I will say that it's a lot better to bring those bad officers to light and do something about it than it is to allow them to stay in the dark. And I think this board has done some great work with regard to that.

I think that it's more likely that officers receive penalties from these actions and so they're more likely to hire lawyers to represent them. And I will say that of the cases that are open now, over 75 percent of them are current year cases and not the cases that are three or four years old as they were whenever we started this four years ago.

I think I have had -- and I didn't see if Mr. Ortiz is here. But I think -- there he is. That we did have a number of discussions over the course of time while I was the chairman and he was the director to talk about working down the backlog.

And I think there's a success story to be told there. And so I'm scratching my head as not understanding exactly what the issue is there. But I guess that we'll get into it.

I will say that if you look at the numbers, the backlog really started increasing in around

Page 11

to be told there. In March of 2007, there were 90 open cases. Over half of those cases came from the previous four years of hearings. As of June of 2009, which I will point out to you is a time period about a year after Mr. Jackson began representing the state's interest, there were 33 open cases.

So we had worked the backlog down to 33 cases. And actually -- and I have data for the board here, if you need backup data -- we worked that down to as low as 26 open cases.

Presently there are approximately I think actually about 100 open cases. I know the newspaper reported 60. But I think that it depends on how you define open cases I suppose.

I feel like there are several reasons for the fact that there are more open cases. One is -- and this board, very many of the members on this board have been involved in this -- we've worked hard in the last few years to make sure that all the police chiefs are doing a better job of reporting the disciplinary actions to the board.

And so the number of cases reported to the board has increased significantly over the last four years. And I think that that's a good thing.

And there was some discussion in the press

December of 2010 into 2011. And, Mr. Ortiz, you left I believe about that time.

And as I recall there was actually a period of time where there was no director. And then we had an acting director. And then we had Mr. Marcantel, who was appointed as the director, who I think really had the intention of doing a good job but was wearing three hats as I recall. And then Mr. Marcantel moved on to something where I wish him a great deal of luck and hope he does well as the director of corrections.

And so we find ourselves now with a new director. And I will I think, when we get to the point of discussion, that it will be good to talk about, you know, what difficulties it's caused having instability in the director's office for the last year. But I hope that that's one of the things that will change for the better.

I think that this meeting more than anything has -- is a result of an issue that was raised with me by Member Nate Korn. You will see on the agenda the item that I think he probably designed which is called ineffective prosecution by the Attorney General's Office.

And will tell you up front that I think that that allegation is unsupportable and based on a

Page 14 Page 16

personal animosity that Mr. Korn has towards my staff attorney. I think that it escalated when he as a hearing officer encouraged me to replace Mr. Jackson.

1 2

And when I basically pointed out to him that it's not the job of the hearing officer to determine what lawyers represent the parties, that it's the job of the parties to make that determination, that he informed me that if I did not make a change that was acceptable to him, that he would be calling this meeting.

So here is from my perspective how we have come to be here. So I hope that we'll have some opportunity to talk about motivations for why these allegations may have been raised.

I also am concerned, because I don't know whether he told all the rest of you all when he was gathering your votes for this meeting, but I had informed Mr. Korn that one of the things I agreed with him on is that because of the way the cases are being brought now, where we have a lot more criminal defense lawyers that are representing the officers in their decertification hearings, is that it would be useful to have lawyers from the AG's office who have more prosecutorial experience.

And so I informed him that we're going to be

But it strikes me as interesting that the Journal would editorialize on this issue prior to this meeting when, you know, it would have an opportunity to hear both sides of the issue. But I suppose that that's a decision that their editorial board makes.

But one of the things that they mentioned in their editorial was that this was like a driver's license, that they seemed to imply that due process wasn't important and that we should just move along with these, that these officers who have had these allegations brought against them should just be decertified right away just because they must be bad actors.

And I don't think that any of us on this board feel that way. I think that we all feel like everyone who has allegations brought against them is entitled to a full fair hearing.

And that's what we're going to talk about today, is how to assure that they get a full and fair hearing and how we can move those along quickly and how we can make sure that those people who deserve punishment garner punishment and those people who don't will not garner punishment.

But I think, if nothing else, that the courts have ruled that professional licenses are different

Page 15

assigning an attorney from our office who has more background as a prosecutor to do these cases, upon which he informed me that he would like to have approval authority over who we appointed to do this.

And I informed him that it would be inappropriate for a hearing officer to request approval authority over the lawyers that represent the state.

And as a matter of fact, I passed along a little story about, in the Ninth Circuit in California, we've had this issue raised. We had a federal district court judge who tried to force our office to hire a certain attorney to represent us.

And we had to take that issue to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, federal Court of Appeals, but won that case, where they determined that the party, that the Attorney General of the State of New Mexico, has the authority to choose the attorneys that will represent them. And I think that that continues to be an important issue here.

There was one other comment that I thought was interesting. And I think that you all will agree with me here that, in the editorial in the Journal, which by the way -- I don't know if there's somebody from the Journal here.

from something like a driver's license, that they are somebody's lifeblood and life being, and that you cannot revoke those kinds of licenses without

4 appropriate due process.

And I will say this about everybody. Whatever the other motivations I think there are, I think that everybody here believes and agrees that this is a serious matter and that certifications should not be revoked from law enforcement officers because it revokes their ability to make a living except under appropriate circumstances.

I have a few other bits of data, but I think that they can -- that I can raise those when we get to the discussion about the quality of the representation. But I have some facts to talk about that will fit into our little flow chart that we have here. And so I think with that to set the stage --

MR. SHILLING: Mr. Chairman, a point of order.

MR. KING: Yes, Chief.

MR. SHILLING: I think for the record we missed roll call. You might want to do roll call.

MR. KING: An excellent point. Thank you, Chief. So let's indeed get to the agenda.

ITEM NO. 2: ROLL CALL

Page 18 Page 20 ITEM NO. 4: INEFFECTIVE PROSECUTION BY 1 1 MR. KING: Actually normally we just do that 2 by having everybody introduce themselves as they go 2 ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 3 3 down the row. MR. KING: Okay. The next item on the agenda 4 4 is ineffective prosecution by the Attorney General's So let's start down there on that end and 5 5 office. Mr. Korn. we'll have everybody introduce themselves and the 6 6 MR. KORN: Thank you, Chairman. If it would secretary will note the members that are here. I 7 think everybody is here to tell the truth. 7 please the chairman, may I speak from the podium so 8 MS. LOPEZ: Monique Lopez, admin assistant 8 that I can be better heard. 9 9 for the Law Enforcement Academy. MR. KING: Sure. MR. KORN: Thank you, Mr. King. I'd like to 10 10 MR. HUBBARD: My name is Bill Hubbard, I'm 11 the acting director of the Law Enforcement Academy. 11 thank the board for coming to the emergency meeting. 12 MR. MYERS: Mark Myers, I'm the line officer 12 And in regard to that, I know many of you traveled 13 13 representative for the board. from far parts of the state. And I appreciate your 14 MR. SCHULTZ: Ray Schultz, Chief of the 14 giving this matter such urgent concern. There are two things that I wanted to talk 15 Albuquerque Police Department, chiefs representative. 15 16 MR. SHILLING: Robert Shilling, Chief of the 16 about at the outset. One is what the meeting is not 17 New Mexico State Police. 17 about and the other what it is about. It's not my 18 purpose first and foremost to be slamming Mr. King or 18 MR. GRATTON: John Gratton, citizen 19 19 embarrassing him in any way. representative. 20 MR. COON: Rob Coon, Sheriff of Chaves 20 Mr. King has been a family friend of my wife 21 21 County, I'm the sheriffs representative. and I for almost two decades. My wife and I have 22 MR. KORN: My name is Nate Korn, I'm a 22 supported him in every campaign he's ever run in. The 23 civilian representative. 23 purpose of my bringing this motion is not to do any 24 MR. BETZ: My name is Harry Betz, Chief of 24 harm to Mr. King. 25 My purpose in bringing this is because I 25 Police Santa Ana, I'm the tribal representative. Page 19 Page 21 1 MR. SHANDLER: My name is Zach Shandler, I 1 perceive an urgent matter that we as responsible 2 work at the Attorney General's Office in the Civil 2 members of a board have to take into account. The way 3 Division, and I'm assigned as the board's attorney. 3 I look at things, we have an inept prosecutor by the 4 MR. HOLMES: My name is Ernest Holmes, I'm 4 Attorney General's Office that is marshalling cases in 5 5 front of our board. the investigator for the New Mexico Law Enforcement 6 6 Our board, if you -- as I look at you, are Academy. 7 MR. JACKSON: My name is Matthew Jackson, I'm 7 some of the most august people that are in law 8 Assistant Attorney General and the prosecutor for this 8 enforcement in our state. In addition to that, we 9 9 board. have a member from the community of Hobbs who is a 10 MR. KING: Okay. And I'm Gary King, I'm the 10 doctor from -- from a law enforcement academy in Attorney General and by statute am the chairman of the 11 11 Hobbs. board. So like I said it appears that we're all here. 12 12 And I look upon you and I say I'm honored to 13 ITEM NO. 3: APPROVAL OF AGENDA 13 be among you. But I also say that the information 14 MR. KING: Approval of the agenda, like I 14 that we receive from the prosecutors of the Attorney 15 said it appears that that's sort of been done already. 15 General are only as good as they are. And so even 16 But are there -- I don't think we can actually have 16 though you have all this knowledge and even though you 17 additions to the agenda since this is an emergency 17 have maybe 200 years of aggregate knowledge in law 18 meeting. But are there any comments on the agenda? 18 enforcement, what you're hearing is information that 19 Okay. None appearing, I'll take a motion to approve 19 pursues through the prosecutor who is basically a 20 20 the agenda. brand-new beginning lawyer without as far as I can 21 21 tell trial experience, without prosecutorial MR. BETZ: I make the motion. 22 MR. GRATTON: Second. 22 experience, and without supervision.

And the effects of that over time -- and I

think Mr. Ortiz is here. I'm assuming he's going to

speak to this matter. The effect of all of this is

in favor say aye.

MR. KING: I have a motion and second. All

(Those in favor so indicate.)

23

24

25

23

24

25

Page 22 Page 24

that, as the prosecutor prosecutes cases from such a small realm of knowledge, that what we have left is a big problem. We have a problem in understanding what the cases are all about and we have cases that have languished and deteriorated and sat forever waiting for them to be prosecuted.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, Mr. King complimented me. I don't know that he realized he was complimenting me. But it was I that had prepared that flow sheet, because that flow sheet is somewhat of my distillation of what the statute represents as far as the way we prosecute cases.

From the time it gets into the pipeline until a case is finally adjudicated can be as long as two-thirds of a year. If you add up all those timelines, you'll find they come basically to I think 270 or 280 days. However, those cases don't even begin to run until they get into the pipeline.

That dotted line you see at the top, that's the pipeline. What I think you're going to hear from members of the board themselves is that Mr. Jackson has basically warehoused cases. I've asked him how many cases we've had come to us this year. It's about 110.

Currently there are about 91 cases still

They'll go back to their departments in most instances, we'll never see them again, they'll have full careers, they'll retire, and they'll protect the service -- they'll protect the citizens that they promised to serve. Included in that group is a group of officers that have no business being officers.

Those people need to be found out and removed from our law enforcement community. Unfortunately these people don't wear signs that say I'm the good officer that made a mistake and I'm the bad officer that needs to be gone. And that's why due process requires that we move quickly.

You know, when a good officer comes through our academy, he has a cloud hanging over his head that isn't released until he's found -- until he gets the misconduct and the board assigns a penalty. And for that period of time, for that period of time that Mr. Jackson has warehoused cases until it gets into our pipeline, that person wears a badge of distinction.

Not a good badge. It's a badge that he has to carry. Meanwhile the officers that we should get rid of, those people are able to leave their departments and go on to other departments still carrying their certifications, still able to work as

Page 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pending. Of those 91 cases, approximately two-thirds of them have been sitting on Mr. Jackson's desk since the time they came in this year and before that.

And the problem is that, until Mr. Jackson releases them to enter the pipeline, that 270 days hasn't even begun to run. The other problem is the cases he's prosecuted have so much information lacking that we can't make proper decisions.

Let me talk about what we represent as a board. We all know because we've heard it many times said that the Law Enforcement Academy Board is one of the busiest boards in the state, as well it should be, because we carry the greatest weight of the state.

We carry the certifications of law enforcement officers on our shoulders. It's up to us to certify them to be the highest standards. It's what the public expects of us. It's the responsibility we took when we agreed to be board members.

This weight as Mr. King pointed out is one of great due process, because as we know as a board, the majority of people that appear before us, the majority of officers, are good officers that made mistakes. And those mistakes are mistakes that ultimately will return them to service.

law enforcement officers.

Given the fact that our board is so important, given the fact that we carry such weight, one would think that the prosecutor that the Attorney General would assign to us would be experienced, skilled, knowledgeable, and know about trial work. But one would be wrong.

Mr. Jackson came to us as a lawyer fresh out of law school. It's my belief that Mr. Jackson has no trial experience. It's my belief that he's never tried a case -- in a criminal case. It's my belief that he's never worked as an assistant DA. And I base that on my visions of him and the way I watch him prosecute cases.

The reason Mr. Jackson became somebody that I was watching is when I was preparing for the June 2011 meeting. There was a case in there -- the board meeting for June 2011. There was a case on there that was so bereft of information that it caused me alarm.

It was a case from of an Albuquerque police officer that entered a Circle K off-duty. And in that time he had an altercation with a customer who was also in the Circle K. Thereafter, that officer, when he was on duty, arrested the same customer for -stopped the same customer for a traffic infraction.

Page 26 Page 28

When that case came to us, it was basically the officer against the civilian. The two parties were arguing with each other about what happened. However, there was a third person that would have made our review as a board more clear-cut.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

which he wasn't.

That person was the clerk. And all this argument took place in front of the clerk. However, the clerk wasn't called. So by not calling the clerk, all we had was the officer as opposed to the civilian.

When the board came -- when the board came to review that case, we made the decision that there was not enough evidence to find that that officer had committed any infraction. It doesn't mean he didn't commit an infraction, I'm certainly not here to say he did. The point is that we had no evidence because Mr. Jackson didn't bring the one outside party that would have solved the issue of who is -- who is at fault.

What Mr. Jackson did do is he brought the Albuquerque IRO, the independent review officer. The IRO is somebody that's hired by the City of Albuquerque to basically take officer complaints. Mr. Jackson miscategorized him as a member of APD,

And for some reason known only to Mr. Jackson

Later I started doing felonies. I was involved in murders, in robberies. Prosecuting them I should say, not committing them. And ultimately I investigated and prosecuted organized crime. So I have a background I thought that might lend itself to our hearing as a hearing officer.

What I found was an abysmal lack of preparation, an abysmal lack of knowledge of the rules of the evidence, an abysmal lack of communication with his witnesses; enough that made his prosecution on the board seem almost laughable.

Now, the reason I'm bringing this up, the reason I'm so deep into the fact that there's incompetence here is because of what we do. I handled three cases. The cases I handled as a hearing officer went back one, two, and three years. These were cases that were all on Mr. Jackson's plate and never brought to the forefront.

My very first case was a case where the witness failed to show up. Mr. Jackson's witness. It was just Mr. Jackson, me, and the responding officer. In that case I asked Mr. Jackson where his witness was and he said he didn't know. I asked Mr. Jackson on the record if he ever called this witness. And he had

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 27

he thought that the IRO would shed some light on the matter. But the IRO had no personal knowledge of the events, he had no investigatory knowledge of the events, he was not involved in any way. And yet Mr. Jackson took the two parties and a person that had nothing to do with it.

So I thought at that time that it was odd. And I volunteered at that meeting to be involved as a hearing officer. The reason I got involved as a hearing officer is I thought I could give some benefit to the board from my experience. And I'd like to tell the board what my experience is.

Many of you know that I'm a lawyer. I hate to admit that I was admitted to practice in New York in 1974. It's the 1974 part I hate to admit. I'm proud of New York. So I practiced for 37 years. After I got admitted to New York, I was later admitted by having taken the bar exam to Connecticut. Then I sat for the bar exam in New Mexico. I'm a lawyer in three states.

For the first six to seven years of my experience, I prosecuted cases as an assistant DA. I was -- started from the bottom. I prosecuted traffic cases, worked my way up to speeding cases, worked myself up to DWI cases.

Page 29

I asked, well, how did you prepare for the case if you didn't call your witness. And Mr. Jackson said he didn't have the telephone number. I asked him if he knew what agency the witness worked for. Oh, yes, the Albuquerque Police Department. Well, do you think you could have found the telephone number I asked. And he said maybe I could have. But the point is that he didn't even try to contact his witness and indeed the witness didn't show up.

Luckily for Mr. Jackson the responding officer's attorney didn't show up and he asked for an adjournment. If his attorney had shown up, the attorney could have asked for a dismissal because, after all, the case was called for hearing, the witness wasn't there. Would we have had to dismiss that case because Mr. Jackson hadn't communicated? And I think the answer is probably yes.

When this case was rescheduled, Mr. Jackson's witness did show up. But incredibly again it was clear that Mr. Jackson had no communication with him. Mr. Jackson failed to establish the identity of the driver. The accused officer was accused of driving DWI. One of the basic elements of DWI is to prove that he's been driving.

And I'm well familiar with the fact that, in

Page 30 Page 32

a criminal trial, the evidence requires beyond a reasonable doubt. In our cases they simply involve preponderance of the evidence. But preponderance of the evidence does not mean conjecture or speculation. And that's exactly what Mr. Jackson left the case with.

1 2

When Mr. Jackson became aware that he hadn't identified the officer as being the driver, he decided he would then invite that officer to the stand to testify against himself. And he did that. And the officer took the stand and immediately refused to testify on the grounds that it might incriminate him.

As a result there was no evidence on the man's driving. So my point is here you have noncommunication and then you have communication that's nonexistent and no driving was produced.

The reason this is so important obviously is it's simply one case of many. Of the three cases that I had, two of them went on -- two of them were fired from their departments and went on to work in other departments. One of them resigned and went on to work in another department.

The second case I had as a hearing officer with Mr. Jackson was the case that was in the newspaper. That was the case of Mr. Smoker. In that

1 possibly not.

At any rate the problem that we have in this case is the defense attorney claimed that he was called to jury service and he asked for a delay. Now, all of us know that when defense attorneys on one side or the other ask for a reasonable delay, it's only courteous to provide it.

But the delay he asked for was almost two months. And Mr. Jackson agreed to a two-month delay. Well, we're the Law Enforcement Academy Board and he's our prosecutor. And a two-month delay means that the entire academy that would have decided that case would have been adjourned by an entire three months, because we only meet every three months. So Mr. Jackson was part and parcel to a continuation that should never have taken place.

As hearing officer I decided that that was excessive and unreasonable and I did not agree to the delay. And later it was determined by me doing investigation that the entire panel was dismissed. There was no need for any adjournment whatsoever.

In between this time, it's true, I brought my concerns to Attorney General Gary King. This is not a personal vendetta. And reducing it to the idea that it might be a personal vendetta does a disservice to

Page 31

case the witness -- I'm sorry. In that case the accused officer went back into society -- went back after being fired from the Santa Fe Police Department and went to work for the department of Cuba. He worked there for several years until he was able to retire with his full pension.

During that time the case was on Mr. Jackson's desk. At the hearing Mr. Jackson failed to interview his witness. His one and only witness that testified about defensive tactics that were employed by Mr. Smoker when he struck a handcuffed suspect, the one and only witness that Mr. Jackson had testified that that was a defensive strike that was properly applied as described by the City of Santa Fe Police Department.

That was the only evidence that Mr. Jackson produced. When that case was decided by the board, the board admonished Mr. Jackson and said to Mr. Jackson that he has to do a better job in presenting evidence before us.

The third case that I handled as a hearing officer had to do with a police officer that was fired from the City of Santa Fe. In that case Mr. Jackson produced three witnesses. Two I'm very certain were never talked to beforehand. The third possibly was,

me and to the board, Mr. King.

Nevertheless, I talked to you in September and I explained my concerns. I explained my concerns in detail. You at that time told me that you would look into it and have something done. A week later you and I spoke. And you advised me that Mr. Jackson would be replaced.

Then nothing happened. Nothing happened for weeks. Nothing happened for months. In November, when the next set of cases were presented for public hearings, I started calling your office again. I called your office multiple times as you know. I called you on your personal cell phone and I called your office. It was a total of 23 times.

I had no callbacks. I announced the purpose of my calls. Nothing happened. Finally I made a personal meeting with you and you were kind enough to meet with me. At that time I explained that the decision or the point of order had become very urgent, because I did not see how we as a board could continue to let Mr. Jackson prosecute for us when we knew the problems that he was doing.

You and I met as you recall. It was a difficult meeting. I don't think we have to go into it. But as a result of that meeting, you said that

Page 34 Page 36

there was a new prosecutor. And you identified Scott Fuqua as the man who would determine who the new prosecutor was and when he would be appointed. And you invited me to call Scott Fuqua, which I did. Now, I hope I'm pronouncing your name right, Scott.

MR. FUQUA: I gave up a long time ago.

MR. KORN: Okay. I contacted Scott Fuqua who is the supervisor of Matt Jackson. In fact, he runs the entire department. I called him multiple times and he was kind enough to call me back.

Mr. Fuqua announced to me that there was a new prosecutor that was going to be coming on board the board and that his name was Bill Moon. And Mr. Moon was described as being a gentleman who had multiple years of experience, who is a trained prosecutor, who was a man that wanted to move cases.

And I explained to Mr. Fuqua that this gentleman seemed like a perfect match for our board. Somebody experienced, well-qualified, somebody that could do a good job.

The only problem was that I felt as if Mr. Fuqua was pushing back, because Mr. Fuqua said no, no, he would not give Bill Moon the cases for December, the cases that concerned me the most. He wasn't going to put Mr. Moon on until after Matt

here we are.

I announced to Mr. Fuqua that I didn't want to ask the board for an emergency meeting because I didn't want to embarrass the AG's office. And Mr. Fuqua said bring the emergency meeting, nothing about it will embarrass the AG's office. So here we are.

Now, Mr. King may try to minimize my role by saying that this is a personal matter. But that's untrue. Mr. King might say that a judge doesn't get to pick his prosecutor. That is true. But a board does. A board does get to pick its prosecutor, because if it's an ineffective prosecutor, we should not be saddled as a board with somebody who can't do their job.

So I've asked this emergency meeting for two reasons. One, I'm asking the board to suspend our cases rather than let them go to Mr. Jackson, rather than let ineffective prosecution perpetuate. I feel that now that we know it, we have a responsibility to act on it.

And secondly, I'm very, very concerned about the volume of cases that are pending. I noticed that on our pending cases, there's people that -- well, there's one person in particular that was convicted of

Page 35

1 4.80

Jackson finished the cases in December.

That was a long conversation, because I asked Mr. Fuqua, why would you make that decision when you know the concerns in the first place for having him replaced for his inadequacy as a prosecutor. And Mr. Fuqua told me that it was his decision and his alone to make.

I asked him why Bill Moon couldn't be substituted earlier. And Mr. Fuqua told me that Mr. Moon was doing a hearing or a trial or something. He wasn't really sure, but he wasn't going to be coming.

And then when I finally in exasperation said why don't you just switch Mr. Moon and Mr. Jackson's responsibilities so that the board would have the opportunity to have Mr. Moon, Mr. Fuqua told me, as I've told you many times, Mr. Fuqua said, as I've told you several times already, it's my decision and mine alone to make.

I feel as if Mr. Fuqua is playing games with the board. I asked Mr. Fuqua what kind of supervision he gave to Mr. Jackson. And his answer was that he felt that, when people were hired and went through that vetting process, they were supervised enough and were already professional enough to do the cases. So Page 37

a felony -- of a federal crime. In fact, I think he's
serving federal jail time. And I believe that he's
still licensed as a law enforcement officer in the
State of New Mexico.

In June Mr. Jackson came to the board and said should we continue to prosecute cases that have criminal matters. And the board spoke in no uncertain terms, yes, the criminal matters are criminal matters. The board matters are board matters. We have the responsibility to decertify those people that shouldn't be involved in our board. Having given that mandate, Mr. Jackson did as far as I can tell nothing to act on it.

So I'm concerned about who is on those lists of outstanding cases. I'm concerned about the timeliness of those cases. I'm concerned about things that aren't in our pipeline even yet. And I believe that this emergency meeting should resolve that Mr. Jackson is not going to be the one to prosecute for us, that the cases that are outstanding are cases that we're going to go back and make sure are right. If we need to, we're going to extend as a board the timelines in our rules so that no case is dismissed out of turn.

I'm not here to start a fight with the

Page 38 Page 40

Attorney General's Office. I'm here to get resolution as a group. Mr. King serves on our board. He is our chairman. He's man with whom I have great respect. My goal is to bring us together; to identify the problem, bring us together so that we can solve it together.

It's true, I set up the agenda. After the public comment is items that we can move ahead with. In the items to move ahead with are motions that I would like to make, if the board will agree with me that these cases should be suspended so that Mr. Jackson doesn't touch them anymore. And I would make motions to bring other means to bear so that we can keep moving ahead as a board.

And what I would like to do is long after
Nate Korn and the rest of our board members are long
forgotten, that we create a board that the public can
be proud of, that we can have law enforcement officers
that are the best in the state, that we can stand up
among anybody and say we have the best, and that our
rules are going to start being enacted now. Thank you
for listening.

MR. KING: Actually don't leave. Yeah. No. I'm sorry. No -- generally, in these kind of committee hearings, we don't have applause. But

MR. KORN: Mr. King, I'm a little uncertain what you're asking. Are you asking if I did investigation of cases outside of a hearing?

MR. KING: No. What I'm saying is that you said that there's a backlog of 60 cases and that Mr. Jackson is warehousing those cases I think was the term you used; is that right?

MR. KORN: Mr. King, I think you're confusing my position as a hearing officer with my position as a board member. As a board member, of course, just like any board member would have the right to talk to the administrative staff of the Law Enforcement Academy Board and find out where are we on cases.

And that's exactly what I did. It has nothing to do with me being a hearing officer. And what I found out, if you'll refer to the flow chart that I made, yes, it's true, when the Law Enforcement Academy Board receives the LEA-90, which is the document that comes from independent agencies, the board director reviews that.

The board director reviews it with Mr. Holmes who makes sure it's sufficient. Once a determination of sufficiency is raised, what's been the practice so far -- and this should be a subject of our conversation as a board continues.

Page 39

Page 41

1 thanks for your comment.

Actually, Mr. Korn, I assume you're willing to stand for questions.

MR. KORN: Yes, sir, I would.

MR. KING: Okay. Questions of the board? Okay. I have a few.

Let me -- in some of these, there may be some comments built into some of these as in the nature of the questions. But I note that the first thing that you did was put all of the onus of the delay in the 60 cases that are sitting on Mr. Jackson.

Mr. Korn, have you done any analysis of those number of cases to determine -- because I notice that the first thing you have here is "LEA receives information about officer and reviews." Okay. Have you done any -- you said that you've gone out and done investigation on your own, which I want -- you know, I think your heart is in the right place.

But I have some difficulty with hearing officers doing investigations outside of the hearing room and what problems that may cause. But did you do any investigation into the nature of the reviews that were performed by the Law Enforcement Academy staff before those files were transferred to the Attorney General's Office?

The practice so far is to turn it over to the Attorney General's Office in the person of Mr. Jackson. Those cases have been turned over. I think there's going to be other people that will talk better than I that compiled those cases.

But to my understanding just this year alone, out of the 91 remaining cases, 92 of them are sitting on Mr. Jackson's desk. I'm sorry. Sixty-two of them are sitting on Mr. Jackson's desk.

MR. KING: And I'm not trying to make this a quiz because our analysis is that 44 of those case files were sent to the Attorney General's Office without any review by the Law Enforcement Academy staff other than just to open them up and see, yeah, they're a case or whatever, and send them on.

Would that cause you concern, if you knew that the Law Enforcement Academy staff wasn't doing any preliminary investigation or review of those files before they sent them to the Attorney General's Office?

MR. KORN: So if the question is would it cause me concern to know he's sitting on 44 cases that hadn't been reviewed instead of 62 cases? You know, I would say that Mr. Jackson's responsibility is a team player. Whoever becomes our prosecutor is a team

Page 42 Page 44

player, is in this with the group of us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I don't really think that we should say, oh, it's the director's responsibility to look these cases over before he sends them to Mr. Jackson. Looking them over is a perfunctory matter. Sending them over to Mr. Jackson is where the rubber hits the road for creating the Notice of Contemplated Action. I think Mr. Jackson should have been working on all those cases.

MR. KING: So here is the first bone of contention for the board just so you'll know. I mean it is not the position of the Attorney General's Office that there is no function for the Law Enforcement Academy staff to perform other than putting the folders in an envelope and sending them over to the AG's office.

As a matter of fact, I think your statement is wrong when you said that it's not within the purview of the director. And suppose that we'll have some opportunity. These -- some of my lawyers have a little more expertise than I do on that. So maybe, during the public comment period, they'll be able to talk about it.

But that actually is -- if you're going to solve a problem, this is my -- this is my issue, is whether you're defending the actions of Mr. Jackson as his boss.

MR. KING: Well, I'm -- I'm just -- I'm asking you questions about your understanding of the process. Since you're raising the issue that somehow or another that all of the problems in the process are caused by one person, I think that it's important for the board to understand where other problems might lie. So that was the purpose of that first question.

MR. KORN: So are you saying that, of the 62 cases that are on Mr. Jackson's desk for the year, he has no responsibility to administer them? I mean I don't see how you can minimize -- whatever you want to take out for Mr. Jackson's responsibility, you're still left with a whole bunch of cases that he hasn't done anything with. Surely you're not -- you're not excusing this.

MR. KING: Well, let's get to that next, because you have through anecdotal evidence talked about three cases that you're aware of that Mr. Jackson has done. And we may get into sort of whose -- you know, whose opinion is the better opinion there.

But my understanding and my documents would show that Mr. Jackson has done about 200 cases, files

Page 43

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you actually have to recognize where the problem resides. And it's been very simple for you to say that the problem all resides with one person. And I'm going to get into that a little bit more.

But the truth is there are a lot of different people in this process that have parts of this that they have to carry out. And I don't even know -- and I gather like I said Mr. Ortiz may speak some.

So, Mr. Ortiz, you might be -- it might be worthwhile for you to be thinking about, when you're giving your comments, whether or not you agree that the director has no function in this, but it's all the Attorney General's Office, because I think that the statutes are fairly clear that the authority to revoke certifications resides with the director, not with the Attorney General's Office.

The Attorney General's Office provides the legal representation. So we have a legal representation issue. But I think we could read back the comment. But I think your comment was that the director has no purpose to serve in this, and I don't agree with it.

MR. KORN: Well, first of all, Mr. King, I'm unsure whether you're talking to us now as the chairman of our Law Enforcement Academy Board or that he's handled in the board, and that all but 25 of those have resulted in some sort of action against the police officer. So whenever you said that he had no experience, frankly he has a significant amount of experience, three years' worth of experience, in prosecuting just these kind of cases.

MR. KORN: Mr. Jackson has learned from Mr. Jackson. An inexperienced, unqualified lawyer is doing the same thing over and over. That doesn't make him experienced. If you're ineffective and inept at the beginning and you're learning how to continue that job, then at the end you're inexperienced and inept, Mr. King.

MR. KING: And how, Mr. Korn, would you -would you think that you would determine whether a lawyer was inept? Would it be that they lose all of their cases?

MR. KORN: No. I think that the way you determine if a lawyer is satisfactory or not is you have somebody like Mr. Fuqua take the position of authority that he supposedly has over him.

I would say that he should supervise him, be in there in the room, watch him do cases, ask him to see what his case file is. So far, from my understanding with Mr. Fuqua and as you said anecdotal

Page 46 Page 48

evidence talking with others, there's been none of that.

MR. KING: And I do recall in our conversations that I volunteered to have two lawyers sit in the room. And that you basically said, as long as Mr. Jackson was one of them, that you would not find that to be acceptable. Was I wrong on that?

MR. KORN: Can you give me the background of that.

MR. KING: I think, when you complained about Mr. Jackson, I actually volunteered to do just what you said, to have you and Mr. Fuqua or one of the other lawyers in the agency sit in on a couple of those trials and first chair it. And as I recall you said that that was not acceptable to you, that as long as Mr. Jackson was in the room, that you perceived that that would be inappropriate.

MR. KORN: That would have been in response to my answer that he was lazy and ineffective. I also disclosed to you that we sat down with Mr. Jackson as a group. That would be Director Gregg Marcantel, myself, Zach Shandler, and Mark Myers as I recall.

And we all sat down with him and said, hey, you have to call your witnesses ahead of time, to which he said what if they're working swing or

take those cases one by one and scrutinize the evidence in the prosecutions that he's done, I have a feeling that we would find two-thirds of them are lacking in evidence.

And I would also point out to you, because you do have trial experience, people in the outside, the jurors, the hearing officers, the judges, have no idea what goes into a case before it comes to trial. But the prosecutor does.

And that prosecutor goes through a weaning process. And he decides what's important and what's not, what he's going to put in and what's not. If a man has no experience in deciding that, then what you get out is trash. And I would say that we would be able -- if we were to examine those cases from what he had originally to what he presented, I bet you we would have deep problems with that.

And I don't even know why we're having this discussion. I mean the point of this discussion is not so much to defend Mr. Jackson. It's to segue into Mr. Moon.

And the problem with segueing into Mr. Moon is that Scott Fuqua is pushing back and saying no, I'm not going to do it. I'm Scott Fuqua and I'm not going to give you who you want. Even though I admit he's

Page 47

graveyard, to which I said, well, you can call them at nine o'clock at night, to which he said I don't work after five.

So from this I get the feeling that this is not the man we want prosecuting cases. And I think I described that with you too. So yes, so long as Mr. Jackson is involved, I don't see him as somebody that we should keep on.

I have to tell you, Mr. King, although I am a lawyer, for the last 30 years I've been involved in private industry. In private industry we're a little different than government. If a guy is working out, great. We encourage him, we bring him up.

If a guy is demonstrating that he has no drive to be doing his job, we move him out.

Mr. Jackson is somebody that needs to be moved out.

He may have another place in your office. But I'm telling you, sir, I do not feel that the Law Enforcement Academy Board is that place. And that's what I told you in the office.

MR. KING: So you think that the 200 cases that he's done prior to the three that you had experience with him in would indicate that he doesn't have the drive to do these cases?

MR. KORN: I'm telling you that if we were to

Page 49

better, even though I admit he's replacing Jackson, he's not going to come in for your five cases.

And let me tell you, sir, those five cases in December represents a third of all the cases that Jackson has done for the entire year. So they're a significant quantity. All we're doing is pushing back and forth about why can't we get an appropriate prosecutor that you admit is going to be coming in.

MR. KING: But you're expressing today that it's your determination, before you ever even get into court, that he -- that he would not do a good job with those cases. And that's why you want the board to set those cases off. Is that essentially your position?

MR. KORN: Let's back up. Let's back up and look at what we really want to do, if I may, if you'll allow me to beg that question. If you agree and I agree and Scott agrees that the best man to do the job going forward is Bill Moon, then why are we even having this argument?

Why don't you just take the December cases and let Bill Moon do them. Why are we arguing about whether he's ineffective or not when you've already conceded and you've moved him along?

MR. KING: No. Actually I think that's where you're misstating either my or Mr. Fuqua's intention.

Page 50 Page 52

I'll let him have a chance to say that. I don't think that I ever said that I thought that one lawyer was better than another or not.

As a matter of fact, I think that, on every occasion where you told me that you thought Mr. Jackson was not a capable attorney, I told you that I didn't agree with you; that I have had an opportunity to watch him and that I think that he is a good quality attorney and that I think that you don't agree with some of the tactics that he's used in hearings. And I think that you're entitled to not agree with those tactics he has used in the hearings.

But here is -- as long as we're on that point, let's talk to the board about that. If you have a lawyer that's been working on and preparing cases, does it make any sense to take him off of those cases a week before the case just because you have some fear, which I think is unfounded, that those cases will not be presented appropriately.

I think that the issue here is moving these cases along effectively. And the reason they're not moving along effectively right now is that Mr. Korn is going to ask the board to not move those cases.

But I think that there is -- that there is a clear record here to show that Mr. Jackson has been

But I'm asking you, to the best of my knowledge, you've never, ever watched Mr. Jackson prosecute a case in open hearing, have you?

MR. KING: Not in front of a hearing officer, not in front of you. But I've seen him do other cases, yeah. I've interviewed him, I've worked with him, I know Mr. Jackson.

MR. KORN: Jackson has never -- you've never seen Jackson prosecute a case so you have no idea how he does. And I would make the same challenge to Mr. Fuqua. Have you ever seen Mr. Jackson prosecute a case, Mr. Fuqua?

MR. FUQUA: I have actually, yes.

MR. KORN: A hearing officer at the Law Enforcement Academy?

MR. FUQUA: A Law Enforcement Academy Board hearing, yes.

MR. KORN: Okay. So Mr. Fuqua has. So now your question to me was do you have the right to assign an attorney to our Law Enforcement Academy Board. Was that your question?

MR. KING: Uh-huh.

MR. KORN: You have the right to assign whoever you want as the Attorney General. The board at the same token has the right to accept or reject.

Page 51

successful in bringing a number of these cases. We'll talk about one or two of the anecdotal ones a little more specifically here.

But frankly it is true that the decision of what attorneys to assign to represent the state's interest in a particular case probably reside in the Attorney General. Do you agree with that?

MR. KORN: I'll answer that. Let me back up for just a minute. When you say that you've seen by personal knowledge the effectiveness of Mr. Jackson, you've never seen to the best of my knowledge a single case at the Law Enforcement Academy Board hearing, have you?

MR. KING: As a matter of fact, one of the interesting things, and I think you're aware of this, is that it would be a conflict of interest for me to sit on the board whenever one of my lawyers is presenting a case. And so I have not sat here on the board to listen to cases. I have sat in the back of the room and watched, yeah.

MR. KORN: Well, let me back up here. Mr. King, first of all I don't concede that it's a conflict of interest at all. It's in the statute that you are our chairman. It doesn't say you back out when one of your people do a case.

I firmly feel that the board is empowered to pick whoever they want to. And if the board doesn't think that Mr. Jackson is doing a qualified job, then they

4 can make that determination.

I am not the only hearing officer that's ever heard Mr. Jackson. I believe Mr. Coon has. I believe there's another hearing officer here that also has. I know it would be way simple to personify it as me versus Jackson. But it's not that simple, Mr. King.

MR. KING: You know, this may be a good point to at least raise the issue that I disagree with you yet on whether or not the board even has the authority to determine who is going to represent them. I think that it's a misunderstanding on your part of who the client is.

But my understanding -- and once again, Mr. Ortiz, I'm going to ask you to address that too because you've had to deal with this -- is whether or not the client is the director or the client is the board. And so if you think about that, I'll have you address that too whenever you talk.

And you may -- I don't know who you're going to agree with. My perspective is that the board is like the judge. The board defers their authority to a hearing officer to hear a case, to hear all the facts

Page 54 Page 56

and such, and then make a determination and bring that to the board.

But the board is the final determiner of whether a certification is removed or not. Does everybody agree with me on that? The board has the decertification authority.

The director brings the cases before the board. They prepare the files. And they do that with the representation of the Attorney General's Office who does that. But the statutes are clear and the regulations are clear that the director brings the cases. And they use the Attorney General's Office to do that.

So my perspective is that the director has the authority to determine whether they should be represented by the Attorney General's Office or not. And the new director, after he listens to this discussion today, will have some opportunity to decide probably whether he thinks that the Attorney General's Office should continue to represent them.

But having the board determine whether a lawyer can appear in front of them or not is indeed tantamount to having a judge decide whether or not a lawyer can appear in front of their agency.

MR. KORN: You keep putting me back as the

MR. KORN: There were two witnesses. What I said that only one witness testified in regard to defensive tactics.

MR. KING: And it's my understanding that the video of Mr. Smoker assaulting the person was also submitted as evidence in that case; is that correct?

MR. KORN: That's correct, sir.

MR. KING: And you had an opportunity to review that video and that evidence?

MR. KORN: Many times.

MR. KING: And the way I read the regulation, it says that you have the authority as the hearing officer to make a determination once you've reviewed that video as to whether or not excessive force was used or not.

MR. KORN: Are you asking a question? MR. KING: No. Do you agree with me? MR. KORN: Well --

MR. KING: Have you read the regulation?
MR. KORN: Oh, I read the regulation, yes.

The only way a hearing officer can make a determination is if he's presented with evidence that allows him to understand what he's -- what the case is about.

When we're talking about a case that is

Page 55

hearing officer. I'm a board member. And I put the board as a company board. The board has a chairman of the board. That's you. And we have a company president. And that's whoever the director is.

We direct the director what to do. The director is just an arm of the board. Of course, the board decides whether we have an ineffective prosecutor or not. We would be bereft of our responsibility if we let happen something that we knew was bad.

MR. KING: Well, I think that that's where everybody will see that that is one of the bones of contention here, is that -- is that I think that it's important, if you're presenting in front of the board, that you understand what everybody's statutory and regulatory functions are. So we may get to that.

Let's talk about one of your anecdotal cases, the Smoker case. That's over so we can talk about that one.

MR. KORN: Sure. Everything I talked about is a matter of public hearings and public record. So in my opinion we can talk about everything.

MR. KING: So what you told the board was that only one witness was presented in the Smoker

charged with excessive force, a layperson such as myself and in many instances board members that may have no defensive tactic experience would need somebody to describe, A, if there's defensive tactics employed that are legitimate and correct; B, whether they have been taught those defensive tactics

techniques by their department; and C, whether they were employed properly. Mr. Jackson provided no support about that.

I note that in the newspaper with APD there were the two gentlemen that were charged with assaulting a man by kicking him in the head. The very first thing that Deputy Chief Paiz did as noted in the paper was she called the academy to find out exactly those things. Were there definitive tactics that were taught that were in concert with that, was this part of our SOP to allow that, and was this properly employed, because this is the standard of the industry. Everybody knows that.

By Mr. Jackson presenting nothing except for one witness that testified that they were appropriate use of force in the department and that it was by the SOP that he learned it, he presented no evidence from which a hearing officer could draw a conclusion otherwise.

Page 58 Page 60

1 MR. KING: You decided that. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KORN: I did decide that.

MR. KING: But you reviewed -- you reviewed the video. Did you think from reviewing the video that -- I mean did it seem to you like it was excessive force?

MR. KORN: I decided the video based on evidence that was before me. And based on the lack of evidence before me, I decided that as a hearing officer my hands were tied. Based on what I was presented, I said that I had no information other than what the officer testified to. And what he testified to was that it was appropriate procedures.

MR. KING: After viewing the video, you decided to recommend no sanction for that.

MR. KORN: I did indeed. And then, when it went into the board, people with greater knowledge than I as to definitive tactics had another opinion. Not necessarily about the strikes that the one witness talked about. But about some of the other actions that the witness stood on the background and had no opinion on.

So yes, as a board we were able to make a decision. But as a hearing officer, I was not. I'm a layperson. I'm a lawyer, I'm a businessman, but I'm analysis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KORN: Are you turning the inquiry from Mr. Jackson in your office to me?

MR. KING: I just -- actually I want the board to hear your answers to these questions. And then they'll make their determination.

MR. KORN: But are you pushing against me or are you sitting as a board member that's trying to resolve a serious issue?

MR. KING: I am very much trying to resolve a serious issue.

MR. KORN: Because it sure seems like you're being a defensive employer instead of an active board member. And I say that with all due respect. You're the Attorney General of the state.

MR. KING: I understand that. I'm being an active board member. But you sort of forgot to tell everybody, when you talked about the evidence that he presented, that he actually presented the video of the event; and that that video is sufficient frankly for anybody on this board to have determined that excessive force was used; but that you, rather than making that determination, which you could have done as a hearing officer, decided to essentially sanction Mr. Jackson because you felt like he didn't provide

Page 59

not a police officer.

MR. KING: So maybe that's a problem with the training that we give our hearing officers.

MR. KORN: Yes, you're right. This could all be my problem. You're absolutely right. It's not Mr. Jackson's fault for not producing evidence. It's the hearing officer's fault for not making proper decisions without evidence. Is that what you're saying, Mr. King?

MR. KING: I'm saying that you had evidence before you and you made a determination based on that

MR. KORN: Sir, you're sitting up there as a board member. But you're acting like the employer of Mr. Jackson. And I think it does a disservice to the board.

MR. KING: Actually just a couple of minutes ago, you said that you thought that all of us should be on the board; even if one of my lawyers was appearing in front of us, that I probably should sit on the board, which actually I don't agree with that either.

But no. I'm just asking you some questions about -- that get to the issue of whether or not your analysis of Mr. Jackson's capability is a good

evidence that you wanted him to provide.

MR. KORN: Sir. at the end of that hearing. after it went to the board for determination, the board members that were there including Mr. Gratton and myself, we're civilians. We don't know.

But the board members that were there that have better knowledge about defensive tactics in public hearing, in public board meeting, chastised Mr. Jackson for not presenting the right evidence. So the entire board heard it. The entire board looked at the video. And the entire board chastised Mr. Jackson. Where are you going with this?

MR. KING: Where I'm going is that every attorney, when they present a case, has to tactically decide what evidence they think is sufficient to put on the case. And this not being a criminal case but being a civil hearing relating to certification is that it might be that he determined that that was sufficient evidence to make a determination.

MR. KORN: Are you not indignant that that sat around for three years until Mr. Jackson decided to prosecute it?

MR. KING: Actually we're going to talk -- we can talk about it now, if you want to. I have a lot of reasons here for why that case sat around for three

Page 62 Page 64 years. And I don't think that they're all a result of 1 1 don't have that. 2 Mr. Jackson. Let's look at the Smoker case. I have 2 MR. KING: And that Mr. Ortiz sent a request 3 3 some information here on it. asking for a review on March 9, 2009, and that the The Smoker case stems from a November 2007 4 4 approved NCAs for -- there were actually several 5 5 officers involved in this incident, were returned on incident captured by a security video where a Santa Fe 6 police officer assaulted a juvenile who was 6 March 10 of 2009. 7 handcuffed. That's kind of the general. The draft 7 MR. KORN: What I have in the case chronology 8 NCA was sent to the Attorney General's Office by the 8 that was exhibits at the hearing is that on March 18 9 9 the NCA was filed and served on the accused officer. director on February 26, 2009. So the Attorney 10 10 General's Office actually had this in our hands to MR. KING: So essentially those things all 11 deal with --11 occurred pretty much in the timelines that they're 12 MR. KORN: Two and a half years. 12 supposed to occur in, correct? 13 13 MR. KING: -- two and a half years. MR. KORN: A couple of months. I would say 14 MR. KORN: Only two and a half years. 14 that would be appropriate. 15 MR. KING: Right. But what I am saying 15 MR. KING: Now, it's also my understanding 16 basically is that that was held in the director's 16 that the -- that this then initially got sent to a 17 office, not in the Attorney General's Office. 17 hearing officer who was -- the first hearing officer MR. KORN: No, sir. Your facts are wrong. 18 potentially was our member, Mr. Coon. Is that right? 18 19 It did happen in the middle -- in 2007, that's true. 19 MR. COON: Yes, sir. 20 But Santa Fe did not report that until 2009. It sat 20 MR. KING: And then apparently Mr. Coon had in the director's office about a week, if I remember 21 to recuse himself. You recused yourself in that case? 21 22 my chronology correctly. 22 MR. COON: Yes, I did. 23 MR. KING: Okay. So you're saying -- so now 23 MR. KING: After some period of time, a month 24 24 you've actually said that -- you have actually studied or two or something. MR. COON: I think I had done Mr. Rael. And this case a little bit. You said it sat in the Santa 25 25 Page 63 Page 65 1 Fe office for two years, that they didn't report it 1 then this one came up so I recused myself. 2 2 for two years. MR. KING: And so then it got assigned to 3 MR. KORN: It sat in the Santa Fe Police 3 Arsenio Jones as the hearing officer. Is Mr. Jones 4 Department before they reported it. 4 here? 5 5 MR. KING: All right. So then what I have MR. COON: He's no longer on the board. 6 here, and I suppose that we can document this, is that 6 MR. KING: He's not on the board. Okay. The 7 it was sent to our office for review on March 9, 2009, 7 hearing was set for January 22nd, 2010. A joint 8 and that the approved NCA was returned on March 10, 8 request to reschedule was filed so parties could try 9 9 2009. That's a one-day turnaround. Does that seem and work out a discovery dispute that was going on. 10 10 like too much to you? So there was a discovery dispute, correct? MR. KORN: Sir, are you reading from the case 11 11 MR. KORN: The discovery dispute is the heart 12 12 of the matter. And the discovery dispute went on as chronology? 13 MR. KING: I'm reading from a bit of a case 13 far as I can tell for two years. The discovery 14 chronology that I asked about because I thought -- I 14 dispute should never have been a dispute. If there 15 note that the Smoker case was listed in several of 15 was a more experienced trial attorney, that discovery 16 these. And so --16 dispute would have been over in about two days. Instead what happened is they went back and 17 17 MR. KORN: Excuse me. Let me just get my 18 18 forth, wrung their hands, tried to figure out what to chronology. 19 MR. KING: Okay. Good. 19 do. They knew no -- they knew nothing about how to 20 20 MR. KORN: I'm sorry. Tell me again your resolve that discovery dispute, which was a discovery 21 21 request far in excess of anything that the accused timeline. 22 MR. KING: Okay. I'm showing that the draft 22 attorney's -- accused officer's attorney was entitled 23 23 NCA was sent from the director's office to the to. This was -- this was a blatant error I believe on Attorney General's Office February 26th, 2009. 24 24 the part of Mr. Jackson.

MR. KING: Well, here is where we get to that

MR. KORN: That could possibly be correct. I

25

25

Page 66 Page 68

sticky due process question. I doubt that Mr. Yohalem would agree with you on that, the attorney for the --

MR. KORN: Mr. Yohalem was the best attorney in this matter. Mr. Yohalem was able to stall this matter for two years while Mr. Smoker finished his -- finished his matriculation at Cuba and then got his -- got his retirement.

So all he was trying to do from what I can tell is stall. And all Mr. Jackson did was respond to him, not realizing how to get it off of stall.

MR. KING: So do you think that Mr. Jackson was responsible for the fact that there were four different hearing officers on this case, was that his responsibility?

MR. KORN: The hearing officer issue is a -- is a -- is a wild card, sir. The hearing officer issue happened very quickly. It was the stalling for the time before the documents. That's the main issue. And that's what I believe Mr. Jackson failed at.

MR. KING: Well, that's your position. So after --

MR. KORN: Well, it's my position. It's also -- this is one of the first matters that I dealt with with Mr. Ortiz. And he can talk at great length about how your attorneys did such a poor job on

MR. KING: So I think that everybody here needs to understand why it is that you're saying that he's ineffective. And you basically have just said here you blamed all of delay in this Smoker case on him in what you were saying. And now, when I asked you about all these other things that caused a delay in the case, you go those aren't important.

MR. KORN: You know, Mr. King, the reason I'm here, the reason I asked the board to come for the emergency hearing is to move Mr. Jackson along and put Mr. Moon in there. This isn't about me. It's really not about you. It's only about getting Mr. Moon to a position where he can prosecute our cases effectively.

And all I'm saying to you, sir, is why are we even having this discussion about Jackson and the old cases. Why don't we just go ahead and say we're not going to have Jackson do the December cases. We're going to give that to Mr. Moon.

So long as there's a cloud over it, let's not have that cloud. Let's move along. Let's look at our public that really has to have confidence in us rather than have this cloud of Mr. Jackson. Why defend him? You've already moved him along. Let's move on.

MR. KING: Well, actually I do think that there's a point here. One is that -- I mean you're

Page 67

the one that chose the agenda item.

MR. KORN: Yes. I did.

MR. KING: If the agenda item had been how can we deal with the backlog, then I would have a different set of questions probably, although I intend to get to that. But I do think that that -- that that should be the issue, is how we deal with the backlog.

But I actually don't think that it's appropriate for you to basically claim just so that you can get what you want that a lawyer who has appeared in front of you is not a capable attorney, because I know that he is. People in my office know that he is.

I think, after people listen to a little of this interplay, they will understand sort of the basis of your complaints about Mr. Jackson. And they do sound very personal to me. I mean they don't -- if you look at it in terms of is a lawyer entitled to make tactical decisions and present cases and you in your determination have decided that you don't like the way that he presented the cases and so then you come to me and tell me you want me to take him off of the cases, there are -- there are several steps in there that I think are inappropriate.

And I think that it's worthwhile for us to

standing up for the rights of the director that this thing stalled for two years.

MR. KING: Can you tell me whose

MR. KING: Can you tell me whose responsibility it was to appoint the hearing officers?

Was it Mr. Ortiz's responsibility?

MR. KORN: You're asking me?

MR. KING: Yeah.

MR. KORN: Is that part of this -- is that really part of this inquiry? I mean do we really --

MR. KING: It's my question.

MR. KORN: Well, I know it's your question. But it's obfuscation. I mean what we -- don't we really want to sit here and resolve how to get Mr. Moon trying the cases, how we get all this backlog of cases into Mr. Moon's hands so he can resolve them quickly.

Isn't that what we should really be instead of our banter back and forth. I mean this has no purpose, this is historical. Let's move forward and look to the for -- to the future.

MR. KING: Well, the purpose is that you're making an allegation. And here I am acting as the boss of an attorney. You're making an allegation against an attorney that he's ineffective.

MR. KORN: Okay.

Page 70 Page 72

bring those to the fore here today. And that's the purpose of my questions. And if my questions make you uncomfortable, I apologize for that.

MR. KORN: Well, your questions are -instead of dealing with the problem, you're getting
into an interplay with me. My points were that
Mr. Jackson didn't prepare his witnesses, Mr. Jackson
didn't prepare his cases, Mr. Jackson did this not
only to me as a hearing officer, but to the other
hearing officers, to Mr. Ortiz, to Mr. Coon.

I'm not an isolated case. And for you to sit here and argue with me about whether or not the minutiae of these cases is something that you can defend Mr. Jackson on is ridiculous. You know, we should be moving along and saying, okay, you've replaced him. Let's get the new guy in.

But instead I'm not only getting pushback from Mr. Fuqua, I'm also getting pushback from you. And you're trying to characterize me personally as having some sort of misplaced antagonism towards Mr. Jackson that's clouding the issues. And I, sir, think that that might be something that you and Mr. Jackson agree with. But I'm not so sure that the board is agreeing with you.

MR. KING: Well, I think this interaction

person in this room. Not one.

MR. KING: Let's make sure that that's true.

MR. COON: I mean I think we've all seen it firsthand, myself as a hearing officer and what goes on in our meetings that are called every quarter. I have not talked to one person on this board about this.

But it comes to a point where you just want to pull your hair out when you leave the academy board meetings, that not only do we want to prosecute the bad guys, the bad cops, but you can't leave good guys, good cops that might have made that little mistake or maybe didn't make any mistake at all with this tremendous cloud over their head.

And that affects their family life, that affects their personal life, and that affects their careers and their supervisors or their bosses that hire them. You know, if there's a bad apple there, let's get them out. But if there's somebody salvageable or somebody that maybe didn't do what they've been alleged to do, let's clear them and go on about it.

We're sitting here on cases that are so old. It's embarrassing to bring these guys up and say I'm sorry, you know, we find you not guilty of charge,

Page 71

Page 73

might inform them of that a little bit. We'll see.

MR KORN: Mr Coon -- Sheriff Coon by

MR. KORN: Mr. Coon -- Sheriff Coon had a question.

MR. KING: Sheriff Coon. I've been asking a lot of questions.

MR. COON: Mr. King, I think what we have here, and I'm pretty sure it's a unanimous, that the board just has a vote of no confidence in Mr. Jackson. I mean we can paint it, we can put lipstick on a pig, whatever you want to do. The deal is we as a governing body don't have faith or confidence in Mr. Jackson's ability.

And we can just cut to the chase. And what we're asking is just to replace Mr. Jackson. Mr. Korn has came up, you know, with a simple solution. Just replace him. I mean we can beat this to death all night long until tomorrow. But I think if you took a vote with this board right now, we just don't have the confidence in Mr. Jackson's pros --

MR. KING: Yeah, I understand that, Commissioner Coon. And it strikes me that your conversation would indicate that you all have had that discussion prior to the meeting and you know how you want to vote already.

MR. COON: No. I have not talked to one

hope that cloud goes away from over your head. You know, that's what -- that's what causes divorces, that's what causes illness, and sometimes a lot worse than that.

So just saying I think our board as a whole, we just have a vote of no confidence in Mr. Jackson. That's very simple. We could -- we could beat this to death with statistics and folders full of cases. But the bottom line is we're a board and -- that you're the chairman of. We would sure like to have a change in prosecution. That's all we're asking. And we would like it done as soon as possible.

MR. SCHULTZ: Mr. Chairman, if I may.

MR. KING: Chief Schultz.

MR. SCHULTZ: Obviously I've been on the board a long time, probably the longest one that's up here. And when I first came on the board in 2005, we were hearing and dealing with cases from the 1990s.

So we gave very clear direction to the director that we wanted these things to move quicker, we wanted people to be held accountable for their actions, and we actually as a board developed a matrix. And because of that matrix being in place, the director was able to go in and resolve most of the cases.

Page 74 Page 76

What's happened is attorneys who represent the officers involved in these cases will always follow the path of least resistance. So the cases were being dealt with. And the numbers went down as you said earlier to just a handful of cases, 30 or so.

MR. KING: And do you recall that Mr. Jackson was the one that was doing those cases during that time period?

MR. SCHULTZ: Because most of the cases were being resolved at the director level. We only have on average two to three cases that go to a formal hearing. And as you said yourself, as Mr. Korn said, five out of 15 cases this year. What's happened is, when the case goes to a formal hearing, the attorneys realize that's to the advantage of the officer that they represent. And that's why the number of cases have started to increase.

The case that goes to a formal hearing should be the easiest case to deal with because the department has already done the Internal Affairs investigation. The entire case is packaged together.

There's even a table of contents that tells you how the case came together, there's copies of the reports, there's pictures, videotapes, there's statements. It's all provided. It's easy to follow

And they can move quickly. But it takes the right person to be there, to show up.

And what you'll see is the caseload will go back down, because the attorney is going to say, you know what, I just want to deal with the director and get this over with.

MR. KING: Well, I think you've put your finger on the other thing. And I guess, you know, this has been kind a long soliloquy. But I mean you've said it yourself, is that it requires some useful action by the director as well.

And one of the points that we haven't really discussed here too much today, although I raised it, is the fact that we have had four and a half directors this year. And, you know, I'm hopeful -- I don't know that -- how long Mr. Hubbard is going to be staying.

And so I think that there are lots of things that need to be done to move these cases along. I think that it is patently unfair to put them all on one lawyer in the AG's office.

I think that there are issues with the director, I think that there are issues with the staff for the Law Enforcement Academy Board, I think that there are issues, notwithstanding what you said, Chief, about the quality of the packages that are

Page 75

that process.

And because the cases are being put together, if this was clear direction to the director, a lot of those cases were being resolved and the officers are being held accountable. But now they're saying, you know what, if I deal with the director, looking at this matrix, this officer is going to lose his or her certifications for a certain amount of time, let's go back and take our chances in the hearing. It's the same thing that we all see in court all the time. Let's overload the system.

And what -- I think what Mr. Korn is saying, what the board is saying, okay, let's get back to good, strong, positive cases that's going to take a prosecutor that's going to take that IA case, he's going to be prepping his witnesses, he's going to be subpoenaing all his witnesses.

He is going to get that Internal Affairs case presented as part of the entire case review file to be reviewed by the hearing officer. You have to remember, by the time the cases get here, 90 percent of the cases the department has already taken action.

And the level that we use is just a preponderance of evidence. It's not beyond a reasonable doubt. So these cases should move quickly.

coming out of the agencies.

And so I am happy, if you all want to move along, to recommend that we actually and appropriately schedule a meeting, you know, develop a plan whereby the board will move forward to analyze all of those problems and come up with an idea to deal with each and every one of those problems.

And you all have already heard that I agree that we -- that we do need somebody who is a criminal prosecutor because of the way that these cases are doing and that we have an attorney assigned to start taking over those cases.

But frankly it's my analysis that it would -it would delay things further to have -- to assign a
different attorney to take over the cases in the
December docket on short notice. It's easy for
Mr. Korn say, oh, well, you know, the two attorneys
could just flip-flop their cases.

You know, go talk to the attorneys that are doing cases that you guys have brought the evidence on and figure out whether or not you want your district attorneys to swap out the prosecutor that they've got, you know, the week before the trial and how you think you'll do on the trials in those cases. I mean I think that there are --

Page 78 Page 80

MR. KORN: Sir, that was a conversation in November.

MR. KING: -- that need to be made with regard to that. But I think, Chief Schultz, that you -- that you have put your finger on a couple of the problems. And frankly if the board -- I know that it's going to be snowy in getting out of here. And if you all want to move on, I'm happy to move on. Chief.

MR. SHILLING: Just real quick, sir, to recap what he said, I think we generally would understand that switching the case files in the middle of December and expecting those to be successfully prosecuted is probably not prudent.

But Mr. Korn did mention the board would consider suspending those cases and moving them into the next calendar year for hearing to give the new guy a chance to catch up. And I just wanted to be sure we were clear.

MR. KORN: Thank you, Chief Shilling. That's what I was suggesting.

MR. KING: You know, Mr. Korn, I think that I'm actually at the end of my questions. So thank you very much.

MR. KORN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. KING: The next item on the agenda is --

And that's the board's decision. But in the face of stiffer punishments, there will be more formal hearings. That is another reason, and I think probably a stronger reason, that there is an increase in formal hearings.

I would disagree with Mr. Korn's assessment of the governing law for this board. I would also disagree with his self-acclaimed expertise of evidentiary principles.

The board is charged with the discipline of certifications by statute. The board has delegated that by rule to the director. And the rule says that the director may seek to deny, suspend, or revoke a certification.

It also says that the parties to the hearing may have representatives. And that representative language is the closest that the rule comes to appointing a role for the prosecutor. The process by rule is heavily focused on the director.

And I had hoped that during my tenure as a prosecutor, when I was working with then Director Ortiz, that it was a productive relationship. I thought that we did clear a lot of cases. There were certainly some tensions. But I thought that they were productive tensions.

Page 79

MR. JACKSON: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. May I address the board.

MR. KING: You know, I was just going to go to public comment. But I think that it's fair for Mr. Jackson to have an opportunity. Do you guys agree with that? Mr. Jackson, I'm willing to give you an opportunity to do that since you're the one everybody has been talking about.

MR. JACKSON: Mr. Chair and members of the board, I would like to respond to some of the things that Mr. Korn has said to clarify some of the issues on particular cases. I will not be speaking on the merits of pending cases.

It is true that I am an inexperienced attorney, that this was my first role coming out of law school. But there are some -- well, while there are some mistakes that are borne of that inexperience, I believe that I have learned from them. And it has been a growing process.

I would point to the fact that the docket has been managed prior to this year, the caseload reduced drastically. Now, I would also note that there was some sterner guidance given beginning in September of 2010. The board decided that it was going to take a more aggressive stance towards dishonesty.

Page 81

I haven't had really anybody to deal with at the academy in 2011. The position was entirely vacant for a month. There was an acting director who had other duties. Then there was a director appointed, except that that director was actually appointed a deputy secretary. And what had been a full-time job for Director Ortiz was only a third of this man's responsibilities.

And then, while he was still the deputy secretary and still ostensibly overseeing the academy and the disciplinary process as part of that, he was pulled into a transition into his current role as the corrections secretary, where again I do wish him well.

Now there's another acting director. This instability in what is by rule the essential actor in the disciplinary process has been a significant factor in contributing to the swelling caseload.

I would also note that neither the board -the board's organic act, the statute, nor the rules
that the board has provided give any guidance on how
long the director should wait before issuing a notice
of contemplated action to start the disciplinary
process.

There's no statute of limitations. For many of our other boards and commissions that work under

Page 82 Page 84

the Uniform Licensing Act, there is a two-year statute of limitations. The legislature did not see fit to put one in the Law Enforcement Training Act. And the board, despite its protestations that it wants to move this thing along quickly, has not seen fit to put one in the rule.

Now, we've known that this transition was coming for some time. My initial hope was that I would help to ease the new director into the process and then hand this off to another prosecutor after the retirement of Director Ortiz. I never had anybody to hand the process off to. And so here we are.

Because this transition was being planned, I chose to put a lower priority on cases for which no Notice of Contemplated Action had been issued by the director. This is because there's no clock running. Once the notice goes out, the clock starts running. And then the transition becomes more complicated.

So that's the goal -- that's the view that I have taken as part of this transition process. But I did also want to speak to some of these particular cases.

The June 11th -- the case that was heard at the June 2011 meeting that gave Mr. Korn pause is a case of Alfred Walck. And while Mr. Walck's case was

"Answer: I was not involved in the investigation.

3 "Question: Who was involved in the
4 investigation?
5 "Answer: The Albuquerque Police D

"Answer: The Albuquerque Police Department as far as I know, as far as I can remember.

"Question: Did the academy conduct any investigation?

"Answer: Not on that case. The only thing that was done from the academy was serve the subpoenas.

"Question: And so do you know whether -- or did you speak to any potential witnesses in connection with the case?

"Answer: I don't recall. I've got so many cases going on, I don't recall on this one talking to any witnesses."

Now, the rule also provides that the director may seek to discipline an officer's certification after investigation and consultation with the employing agency. There was no investigation by the academy in this case. Regardless of what the facts were proved, that by itself might be reversible error.

Without jeopardizing pending matters, I would note that that is the only time that Mr. Holmes has

Page 83

dismissed, it is public record that that's who it was.

Now, I have the testimony of the complaining witness. And I think that the record reveals, and I think the hearing officer felt and I think the board also felt, that this gentleman had some serious credibility problems. But his testimony was what I had apart from the summary report of the IRO. And I called the IRO, Mr. Deaton, just to talk about the process of developing that report.

Now, it is true that there was a 7-11 clerk. Now, the 7-11 clerk didn't witness the alleged incident that gave rise to the act because that was, of course, the abuse of power on the subsequent stop. But the witness' credibility could have been boosted if we had had the 7-11 clerk.

I don't even have the 7-11 clerk's name. And I think it's instructive to look to the record on this, because Mr. Walck's attorney, Brian Thomas, called the investigator for the academy to the stand. And I want to read in pertinent part his testimony.

"Question: Are you familiar with the investigation of Officer Walck?

"Answer: Somewhat.

"Question: Were you personally involved in the investigation of Mr. Walck?

testified during my tenure as prosecutor. And I did not call him.

I want to speak not on the merits but on one of the cases that Mr. Korn heard, a case that was initially set for July. It was known going into the hearing, and this is in the record, that it was at most a 50 percent chance that the respondent's counsel would show up. He had some health issues.

Now, I'm not going to say I know this because I don't. But I believe that had my witness been there, Mr. Korn would have proceeded with the hearing, denying the respondent his opportunity to counsel, a fundamental due process right.

I would also state for the record that, prior to the subsequent setting of that hearing, I did speak to the witness, although Mr. Korn has somehow averred knowledge that I did not. And I remember speaking to that witness with particularity because I had a lot of trouble getting this witness to call me back. It took a call from Director Marcantel to one of the deputy chiefs at the APD.

Now, this case is essentially a metro court DWI. Now I know that there are a lot of cases in metro court that fall by the wayside because the officer doesn't show up. That's not a comment on the

Page 86 Page 88

prosecutor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would also note on the Smoker matter, and we can talk about this at some length because it is closed, I acceded to two requests for a continuance to try and resolve the discovery dispute. Now, Mr. Korn has said that an experienced attorney would have resolved that in a day. Well, it's not quite that simple.

The rule provides a limited provision for discovery. It says that "Discovery shall be limited to interrogatories, requests for production, and requests for admission." Now, it was requests for production that were at issue here. The rule also explicitly proscribes prehearing motions.

So what's the mechanism to resolve the dispute? I think that it's proper for the parties to work in good faith to see if there's a production that could be made that would satisfy the respondent's counsel and make him feel comfortable that his client was getting a fair hearing.

Now, we weren't able to come to that agreement. And the discovery dispute eventually went before the board in December of 2010. But there's no mechanism other than at the hearing to hash that out. So you have I suppose multiple settings of the

Mr. Smoker was no longer represented by counsel and that he admitted the incident and that he stipulated to the video.

And again while there may not have been expert testimony, I invite again the members of the board to look at that video again. I invite the members of the public that are here to look at that video, which is available. And I invite the media who broadcast the video when it was fresh to look at it again.

And think about whether you would need expert testimony to determine whether that was appropriate. I maintain that it would be analogous to a medical malpractice case, where you called an expert to say, you know what, it's wrong that the doctor cut off that leg. It wasn't that leg that was the problem.

There are some systemic issues that I don't think are issues to which blame attaches but I think that the board should be aware of as it moves forward with a new prosecutor. One of those is what investigation takes place and where does it take place.

Now, Director Ortiz, when he was on the board, fought hard with the police -- the police chiefs association and the sheriffs association to

Page 87

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hearing. And if the hearing had been set, then perhaps that could have been resolved by a hearing officer in some sort of interlocutory fashion. But it wasn't set.

I don't set the hearings. And after the December 2010 meeting, because I think that this record -- this hearing officer issue that's a wild card according to Mr. Korn, I think it does bear further examination.

There was a Notice of Hearing and Scheduling Order signed by our other hearing officer, Mr. Radosevich, in January of this year. Now, Mr. Radosevich could not preside over the -- over this hearing because he had been a prior adverse party to Mr. Smoker in some other litigation. So his recusal was proper.

Unfortunately, because of the transition, there was not a quorum of the board to appoint a new hearing officer. And no hearing officer was appointed until June of this year. That is six months of delay that is ascribable solely to the lack of quorum on the board.

Once a hearing officer was appointed, the case did proceed to hearing in short order. I chose a fairly abbreviated presentation, particularly as

negotiate a rule to increase the reporting. It put some obligation on the agency heads to report their misconduct. And as a result misconduct reports have more than doubled, which is great. As previously stated, it means that this stuff is coming to light.

Now, it's not clear what the agency's obligation is in reporting that misconduct. Is it just enough to say I've got a misconduct, here is the guy, or do they need to provide the full reports that they've got.

We have some variation here even within one department. The Albuquerque police, for example, sometimes they provide quite a bit of data. However, frequently they also just provide the LEA-90 misconduct report and the administrative decision. That's not enough to go on.

Now, as the administrative prosecutor I don't have any investigative resources. I'm not supposed to be the first pass. That's charged by rule to the director, again a position in which there hasn't been any stability this year.

But compounding that investigative quandary is that the legislature did not see fit to give the board documentary subpoena power. So that raises a question that you guys are going to have to wrestle

Page 90 Page 92

with as to how the investigator can obtain the documents needed to prosecute a case properly and fully without that subpoena power.

I don't have a good answer for you there. But I can tell you that I have prosecuted every case that I have prosecuted to the best of my ability with the facts that I had available to me. Thank you.

MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. Jackson.

MR. COON: Can I ask him a question.

MR. KING: Questions for Mr. Jackson.

MR. COON: Mr. Jackson, you said that there wasn't a quorum of the board on the Smoker to get a hearing officer.

MR. JACKSON: That's correct, Sheriff Coon. MR. COON: I don't know what you're talking about. I've been on this board for like three years. We have never not had a board meeting where there wasn't a quorum.

MR. JACKSON: Well, and that's -- I think that's accurate. But I would also note that there was no spring meeting this year.

MR. COON: Okay.

MR. KING: Okay. Other questions? Thank you, Mr. Jackson.

ITEM NO. 5: PUBLIC COMMENT

Basically Damon is 44 years old. He's a Registered Nurse. He works in a trauma intensive care unit, he has for years. He is working towards a degree, an advanced nurse practice degree, that's actually very competitive to get into. And he's also served in the military for over six years.

Some of his awards included he was the honor graduate for his Ranger class. And he also was given a commendation for complete honesty by the Second Ranger Battalion when he was in the Army.

I'm here to tell you what happened in April of 2007. There was a police officer from Los Lunas at the time, he now works for APD. He arrested Damon in our driveway for aggravated fleeing. Damon never saw a police officer or a police officer behind him.

There was an off-duty police officer that was a witness who is also an APD officer. And that police officer, once he was found three years later after this incident, denied everything that was in the criminal complaint by the first former Los Lunas police officer.

This officer that I'm talking about has been before the board in September of 2008. He was reprimanded for untruthfulness. And this officer in the criminal complaint against Damon said that he

Page 91

Page 93

MR. KING: All right. The next item on the agenda is, and this was sort of part of that item I suppose, public comment. I have a couple of people that have signed up already and there may be others. But the first one I have on my list is Lise Sevigny.

MS. SEVIGNY: Hi. Thanks for pronouncing my name correctly. That doesn't happen very often.

MR. KING: You might want to spell it for the reporter, though.

MS. SEVIGNY: I will, I'll give that to you. Like you said my name is Lise Sevigny. And I'm actually here in place of my fiance. His name is Damon Lewis. He is in the hospital right now.

He had to have a heart ablation and catheterization this morning. And there were complications so he's there a little longer than we expected. I'm basically here to personalize what happens to somebody when -- with all of these delays. That's part of why he's in the hospital, is due to the stress.

I want to back up a little bit, though, because I do want to thank the board and everybody that's associated with the board for everything that you do. I also thank the law enforcement officers in the state because they work hard.

was -- that the off-duty police officer witnessed -had seen him pursuing this truck, which was supposedly Damon, and also that there were children in the area that could have been in danger from this.

Well, there were no children we found out three years later once this officer was found. And he looked at the criminal complaint. And he denied what was in there. He said there were no children, he never made that statement. There was never a police officer behind the truck that he saw and he had never made such a statement.

And basically what I'm trying to get to is we worked with Director Ortiz. And he was very helpful. But we couldn't do anything because we didn't have the witness. Finally we were given the witness name and were able to get information from him. Like I said he denied everything.

And Director Ortiz was able to forward this case to the AG's office. This was November of 2010. So now it's been 13 months. So Director Ortiz, since he was leaving, said if we had any questions or to see how the case was progressing, he said to contact Mr. Holmes.

Well, Inspector Holmes -- and he's been very -- you know, very good to deal with. And he

Page 94 Page 96

contacted the AG's office this past summer to see how things were progressing. Well, as of now I don't think this case has even been looked at. I don't know if there's an actual statute of limitations, but I believe it's five years. That five years is up in April. It's four months from now.

You know, we're not asking you to take this officer's certification away. We're not even asking you to prosecute him. We're just asking you to take a look at this case and make some sort of determination as to whether or not what this officer did was just or not. And, you know, we've been to several meetings, you know, and have kind of gotten -- you might have seen us.

I've seen also what these delays do to the officers. There are officers out there that may or may not have done anything or, if they did, it was some sort of odd situation that they just ended up in. And these officers are waiting for months, if not years, to be seen and heard.

And like you all are saying, it's hard on them. It makes them look bad. And it's stressful, it causes divorces, it causes, you know, all these health problems. We -- you know, it's just the stress of it. So we're just -- I just would like to implore you to

Vecinos United has been around a long, long time, 20 years. We have looked at cases.

We have gone through I guess you might say in short hell and high water over police accountability. We are strictly concerned -- I think we have proven our genuine concern for police accountability.

I might add that one of the reasons why I'm running for U.S. Senate is because not only do we have problems, deep problems in Albuquerque and in New Mexico, but also throughout the nation.

It appears very much as though we have a rampant problem of lack of human and civil rights in this whole country. And we're going to need some national legislation as well as local legislation to start to resolve some of these problems.

Vecinos United has always accused law enforcement of having a system that permits rogue cops to roam around the state with impunity. And that it has officers working for various police departments that we question their integrity, that we question whether or not they should be police officers.

And we knew that somebody in the state had authority to decertify officers, but we never thought it was the police academy itself. It in the sense seems from the outside looking in as though it's

Page 95

Page 97

please work together.

1 2

You know, you may not all agree on things. But you're here to service the law enforcement officers of the state and the people of the state. And we really appreciate, you know, if you could -- I don't know what's going to make it better. It sounds like there's too much work to go around.

So maybe there needs to be a little bit more help. I don't know, you know, these are your -- these are the things that -- you know, why this board is put together. So again I do appreciate your being here and your trying to work this out, because it is very important.

MR. KING: Excellent point, Ms. Sevigny. And I agree with you. So I think we'll all take a look at that.

The next item -- or the next person that I have signed up here is Andres Valdez. Mr. Valdez. Mr. Valdez, I'll remind you, we usually limit public comment to like ten minutes. So when we get to the ten minutes, I'll let you know. I don't know what you have to say.

MR. VALDEZ: You say I have 20 minutes. I guess I must have heard wrong. My name is Andres Valdez, I am the executive director of Vecinos United.

another case of the fox-watching-the-chicken-coop syndrome; that is, law enforcement watching law enforcement.

You know, looking around the room, I ask myself, you know, I see -- I see several signs that say citizen representative. Well, you know, with all due respect, we don't even know who you folks are.

You know, Vecinos United has been around 20 years. Don't you think we ought to know who you are? John Gratton. Who's the other guy, is he up there, that represents citizens? Oh, Nate Korn. I've heard of you. But in the distance, in the far remote distances of our communities.

We have big problems. You know, in following many issues, we always questioned why Tom Lujan, who shot and killed Francisco Pancho Ortega in Santa Fe, was allowed to come into work for the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Department. And as far as I know, he still works there.

You know, we have the assailants of Andres Arellanes. Andres Arellanes was interestingly enough accused of choking police horses. Now, they work for the Corales Police Department, if I'm correct.

We have the human waste disposal that still works for the police department. And then we have --

Page 98 Page 100

we have a police chief that was found liable of framing -- or excuse me, found liable of violating Sam Costales' civil rights that sits right on your board. You know, we question how partial or how impartial I should say this board is.

1 2

Should it be made up maybe of independent people that possibly would not come up or being accused of violating citizens' rights. You know, should there be like real genuine citizen representations such as the civil rights groups that fight against the police shootings. And Vecinos United has fought against police shootings for years and police beatings.

You know, we question, you know, is there any justice, you know. I mean, well, we've known there is a lack of justice. It's interestingly -- it's interesting to us. I think we have finally come to the crux of at least why rogue officers are allowed to roam all the over the state.

And it's interesting to us, you know, the chief mentioned a backlog of what, I guess ten years. I guess you'll be hearing cases in 2000 that were cases in -- you know, that were heard in the 1990s. That's unacceptable.

I don't know, you know, what your whole

and talk about any problem in the community that we ask him to talk to us about. So I expect that we're going to have the same rapport over this issue. And thank you so much.

MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. Valdez. Sheriff Coon.

MR. COON: Mr. Valdez, you -- I think you've kind of insulted this board, number one. Well, not I think. You have insulted this board. Just because your group didn't know this thing existed, it's been around a long time. All you've got to do is get a MICI black book or get the rules that the legislature puts out.

We've been around a long time. I hadn't been -- none of us have been on this board forever. But just because you all didn't know it was here doesn't mean it hasn't been here for a long, long time.

And we have provided a service. We have policed the police. Just because you don't like the outcome sometimes. Sorry. But that's -- we have done our best to do this. There's a lot of experience on this board. We've got an attorney and a doctor on this board.

Just because your organization didn't know

Page 99

process is. But right now I would like to at least ask the Attorney General to meet with us and catch us up on, you know, how do you guys operate. You know, what are your rules and regulations, why are you guys backlogged for ten years or however many years you're backlogged, you know.

You know, the fact that this is going on is just unacceptable. We -- Vecinos United actually should have some representation in making some decisions about officers, whether or not they should be certified. I mean you really need some more genuine representation.

You know, no offense to Mr. Korn and Mr. Gratton. You know, maybe you folks should try to establish some sort of a rapport with us. You know, and talk to us, let us know what's going on, if you truly represent the citizens.

I have to really I guess seriously accuse you folks that you don't really represent citizens, because I mean it's clear, we've never heard of you. Nevertheless, I'm glad I'm here today, I'm glad -- I hope that we can have a meeting.

You know, one thing I'd like to say about the Attorney General, that he's always been open to us. You know, he's always been willing to sit down with us

who they are or know who we are, shame on you. We've been around a long, long time. So don't come up here and tell us how bad we are because you all don't know us. We're here.

MR. VALDEZ: Sir, Mr. James Coon, sheriffs representative. That's interesting. You know, we've been around 20 years and we don't know who you are. And you're saying shame on us for not knowing who you are.

MR. COON: We've been around a lot longer than you.

MR. VALDEZ: I think that's --

MR. KING: Actually I think you've had a chance to answer the question, I think you've had a chance to ask it.

MR. COON: Thank you.

MR. KING: Let me add something, Mr. Valdez, that you should be aware of, is that I think that most of the members of the board are appointed by the governor.

And so I think that, if you all want to have representation on the board, it would be a good idea for you to, you know, contact the governor, although I suspect that -- I don't know whether the governor has been willing to meet with you all or not. But I'm

Page 102 Page 104

certainly more than happy to as you know to meet with you and talk to you about the process.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. VALDEZ: Great. Thank you so much. I appreciate that. And I didn't mean to insult anybody here. I just know there is a problem. And we want participation into helping to solve the problem. We've been around 20 years. And I'm glad to know you folks.

MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. Valdez. We appreciate your comment. And I appreciate your brevity. All right. That's the only two people I have on my list.

MR. VALE: I signed up back there. Martin, Martin Vale.

MR. KING: Do we have a different sheet? It doesn't make any difference. I'm willing to let you speak. We try and do these to make it organized. But you've been here for the meeting. Just come up and introduce yourself. Actually I notice on here it says five minutes on the sheet you guys signed up on. So I gave you a little bit of extra time.

MR. VALE: Hi. My name is Martin Vale of VIP New Mexico. I do a lot of work with Channel 27 and the community. And, you know, a little bit about what he just said, about how, you know, you guys been here

there he was yelling at me, threatening me, while I'm cuffed, my shoulder is dislocated.

I'm like, yo, take me to the hospital. He never did. And then they finally did when I got to the jail, they took me to the hospital. And then my case was dropped, you know what I mean. I lost my job, you know.

So if there's a background check on me, this stuff is going to come up, you know what I mean. Like this is ridiculous. And then I'm calling the city, I'm like, yo, I need my ambulance bills paid. This happened twice. Two ambulance bills. I need them paid.

You know, I wouldn't even be here right now if they would have said, you know what, we are wrong. You know, we didn't train our officers properly. He shouldn't have told you he was going to punch you in your f'ing face, he shouldn't have dislocated your shoulder.

And then he was driving erratically. I'm like, yo, can you slow down because I'm not buckled. And he slammed on the brake, causing my face to hit the glass, took off, did it again. You know, he stopped, he talked to some chicks, hey, what's up, baby, you know, taking this jerk to jail. He took me

Page 103

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for a long time and we should know who you are.

You know, I watched the news today. And it was very a brief segment, they didn't say where the meeting was. I had to call the news and they had to call me back. I had to call them again to find out where it was.

Like this room should be packed right now with concerned citizens, you know what I mean. All these cases that are backlogged. What about all the cases that, you know, if you didn't get past -- what are they called.

Like I have had some issues with the cops where I was arrested for no reason. I've been beaten up, maced, my shoulder dislocated. And I went to the people that supposedly investigated and they don't want to hear it, you know what I mean.

I had a cop tell me he was going to punch me in my f'ing face like ten times. I'm like are you serious. I'm with the media, you know, I'm going to have your job. I'm going to go to the people, I'm going to tell them what happened.

He's like, oh, go ahead, I got a belt tape recorder. So I went through hell and high water to get that tape. And he didn't even turn it on until after we were at the jail. So the whole time over

Page 105

to jail, you know what I mean.

And then I talked to the people that -- the police that are supposed to investigate it. Never did hear nothing back. That happened twice. And, you know, the cops -- you know, there's a lot of good cops. And it's unfair for them -- for the few bad ones to tarnish all of them, you know. And we are in the desert. We're in the dirty desert. And we have bad cops.

You know, Albuquerque is the land of manana. And like what he said, oh, there's no time limit so I chose to put that off another time. Like who is he to choose what he does. Doesn't he have a boss to report to or something like that, you know what I mean.

And, you know, he came and was yelling and talking about all kinds of dates. Like how does he remember so many dates when he puts everything off for another time, you know. And I'm sorry that I'm emotional. But I mean I've had abuse by the cops, you know.

And I like you guys. You know, I don't know what's going on. You know, you got the downtown hit squad at night picking up chicks and abusing men, you know, causing people to fight back, getting beat up, you know what I mean. Like however many cases you Page 106 | Page 108

guys have that are unsolved, you've got two more because I have filed, you know. And I've never heard nothing back.

And, you know, that's it, you know what I mean. And at the same time, I'm here for all the citizens -- I'm here for New Mexico, you know what I mean. I've got better things to do than to be here with you guys, you know.

And I'm here because you guys don't promote it properly. Like where is the TV cameras, where is Channel 16, how come we're not at the Vincent Griego Center, you know what mean, how come this ain't filmed for the public to see, you know what I mean.

This is like a hidden back room board meeting. And you guys don't want the public here. You've just got a few media people. You know, I don't know who these people are, cops and, you know, people that work for you, you know what I mean.

This room should be packed with concerned citizens, because they're out there. But they don't know what's going on, you know what I mean. But I'm here with my Mac trying to put this stuff on the Internet, you know what I mean.

But I come here when you guys retire and you guys are giving out plaques and awards and honoring

they owe me money.

I've been sent to collections for ambulance bills. Like seriously, if a cop dislocates your shoulder, you know, like he ought to take me to the hospital. Why can't he just drive me to the hospital, why do they got to call a damned ambulance and charge you another arm and a leg, you know what I mean.

Or I can't call my mom or my chick and be like, hey, this cop dislocated my shoulder, can you pick me up so I don't have to pay this hospital bill. But other cops can come and get their wives and chicks out, you know what I mean.

Like you guys just have to be fair and worry about everyone, because we're all in this together, we're all in the same car, we're trying to, you know, make this a better community. You know, now I'm trying to work with the homeless people. I can't believe the way that this city treats the homeless people, you know.

I talk to them and they're like, oh, yeah, we have a bus, we drive up and down Central. Are you kidding me. There's homeless people all over the city, in Rio Rancho. They should have buses all over this town picking them up, because people are dying it's so cold outside, you know what I mean.

Page 107

cops for doing good deeds and honoring citizens for doing good deeds. I've put that on the Internet too, you know what I mean.

But I don't understand how you guys can abuse people. And then, when this stuff goes through your system, like nothing gets back to the people, you know what I mean. All I was worried about is I lost my job with public access. I was a volunteer.

I lost my volunteer position because the cops knew who I was. I was on the Albuquerque Police Watch, I was filming him. And the cop told me, you know, I'm going to call your job and you're going to get fired. Go ahead. And sure enough I did get fired.

I've been on there for three years. But I still do my stuff, I still put it on TV for no reason, you know what I mean. And then I go to court and the cops don't show up and my case gets dropped. But I still lost my job. I was convicted by my job because the cops, you know, they went beyond their way to call and get me fired, you know what I mean.

But then, you know, when I go to the Internal Affairs, nothing gets handled. So that's it. Hopefully we can talk later and find out my cases because, you know, I really feel that Albuquerque --

Page 109

But I mean that's it. And, you know, I really want to find out what's going on with my Internal Affairs investigation, because, you know, if that stuff is closed, that ain't happening, you know, because, if anything, APD owes me \$10, because I came and I found that tape and I bought it. And that tape wasn't even turned on. The guy just had it on when he was --

MR. SHANDLER: I'm going to interrupt for a second, not because I'm cold-hearted. But it may lead to a complaint and may come in front of the board. So no disrespect. But they can't hear the facts in advance of a case.

I also suggest that maybe at five minutes to five we take a bathroom break, we come back at five, and have a two-part resolution that I want to sell the board to. So that gives around ten more minutes of public comment, a bathroom break, and then I have two proposals for the board.

MR. KING: That sounds reasonable. Thank you, Mr. Shandler. I think he's got a good point. Actually it's Mr. Vale, correct?

MR. VALE: Well, that's my name. I'm not telling you my real name because I might get shot. I was talking to him. And he said that I can work up

Page 110 Page 112

with him and help the police. I'm like, man, I already --

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SHANDLER: Okay. So when I interrupted and was mean before, I apologized. Now I'm going to have to interrupt again. I have asked you to stop. We can't have you prejudice the board on a case.

MR. VALE: I'm not being prejudiced.

MR. KING: Enough interaction. All I was going to say to you is, just for the record, to make it clear that as far as I know, that staff sent notification of this meeting to every press outlet in New Mexico.

MR. VALE: Well, as far as I know, I even called 311 and they didn't know. They called the Albuquerque Police Department press lady and she didn't know. And then finally someone on the other line, an APD officer, said, oh, this is my name, APD Officer blah, blah, are you talking about this meeting. I said yes, sir.

That's how I found out. 311 didn't know. because when this stuff is on the news and someone wants to know what's going on, they call 311. And they should be able to tell them where it is, because the news is like, oh, there's a meeting at 2:30.

MR. KING: That's an excellent point. All

want to see how many officers we're going to decertify. I think -- you know, I see that in papers and stuff. That's not our job. Our job is to serve the citizens and it's to educate those officers, that they're -- this is a profession.

And this board and the citizens will not tolerate that type of misconduct. If they do get involved in that misconduct, we have to aggressively work at it together to get a resolution, to let the other officers see -- one of the things that really bothered me is I had 108 cases backlogged.

I met with this one guy, I sent him a letter. He says three years ago, he said, I was shocked to get this letter. I thought this case was done. Why is this coming now. And that really hit me to get aggressive, work with your office, and move forward.

Mr. King, what I'm asking you to do now is to maybe not just have one attorney, but have two attorneys. Because what I was doing -- and my predecessor, Mr. Lyon, they were having -- and it was under Patricia Madrid. But the AG's office was preparing the NCAs.

Well, when I got there and I got educated by Mr. Lyon and Mr. Holmes, if you -- I know you're probably short-handed. But I don't find that an

Page 111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

right. Thank you.

MR. ORTIZ: I'd like to speak.

MR. KING: No. That's fine. We're done. As far as I know, Mr. Ortiz, your name is on the list

MR. ORTIZ: Thank you, sir. I'm not going to belabor the point, just briefly. First of all I just want to thank everybody. It's good to be back here. And, no, Bill Hubbard, I'm not taking the job back. I know you'll do an excellent job. He's the right man for the job.

I just want to say, looking at all this, I'm not up here to throw blame or anything. But let's get some resolution to it. Mr. King, what I think we need to do, at one time we did -- I did have a problem with an attorney in your office. I met with you, you made a change, that was great, I thank you for your support.

Now what I see is -- like I said we all work very hard together to get the agencies reporting and the cases are going to go up. What you need is somebody like Mr. Hubbard. I know he'll do a good job in the director's office. But that is a full-time

And the message we want to send is we don't

Page 113

1 excuse. I took it upon myself to prepare the NCAs 2 with Mr. Holmes. We would prepare them, we would send 3 them out, and we were doing everything to help your

4 office expedite these things.

> And I'm just asking you now I think as a way to resolve this is maybe have two attorneys. This is very important. You're the top law enforcement officer in the State of New Mexico. And I still to this day agree this should be one of your top priorities.

And when you -- nothing against Mr. Jackson. But he's fresh out of law school. I think you should have your top prosecutor in your office dealing with these cases to send that strong message to law enforcement officers that we are not going to tolerate this.

You get in trouble, you're going to get the sanctions imposed by the board. If you lose your gun and your badge, then so be it. But to move on quick. And I'm not going to belabor it. I just thank you. And I know you guys will get it taken care of. And I stand for any questions.

23 MR. KING: Any questions? 24 MR. KORN: I have a question. 25

MR. KING: Mr. Korn.

Page 114 Page 116

MR. KORN: Mr. Ortiz, did you have an opportunity to work with Mr. Jackson during your tenure?

MR. ORTIZ: Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KORN: Could you tell the board how you found him as far as prosecuting cases on your behalf.

MR. ORTIZ: Well, we've had our bumps in the road. One of the things like I said is one of the problems I ran into was preparing the NCAs and then sending them to Mr. Jackson to review. Some of them were sitting there too long.

Then in an email I told Mr. Jackson I'm going to give you five days. Mr. Holmes would prepare these and get the meat and the potatoes out of the reports that the agencies would send, and he would condense it into a brief synopsis.

And so it would take Mr. Jackson five to ten minutes to read that and see if we could proceed. There was many times when Mr. Jackson never got back. And I said, I'm sorry, we're not going to wait. It's a simple DWI, it's a simple case, we're going to move forward.

So I would send it out. And you would see in the meetings, and it's on the record, that Mr. Jackson recused himself from presenting the cases because he 1 Everything is on video. Any common person can look at 2 it and say that is not right. So for that case to 3 take so long is ridiculous.

4 And I agree with you 100 percent. I agree 5 with the due process. But most of the officers that I 6 dealt with, they wanted to see these things done 7 quick. And let them get on.

MR. KING: And are you familiar, do you know how many NCAs the staff has done this year?

MR. ORTIZ: Not this year. When I left in December, I was doing them all. I did 391, or I've got to say Mr. Holmes did 391. He would do them, I would look them over, and then I would email them or get them to Mr. Jackson for his approval.

And for awhile he was doing fine approving them. Then I don't know what happened, he said you tasked him with other duties in his office so he didn't -- wasn't able to commit it full time.

And what I ask you today is you've got to at least commit one person full time, if not two. And you need to keep the caseload down and send that strong message to the officers. And our goal is to reduce the misconduct and keep the public trust.

MR. JACKSON: If I may.

25 MR. KING: Sure.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 115

had no involvement. The reason he had no involvement is because he did not read the NCA and approve it.

But I wasn't going to let it sit there. It's not fair to the officers. So I moved it forward and Mr. Holmes presented the case himself.

MR. KORN: Mr. Ortiz, how many cases did you wind up doing NCAs for yourself because Mr. Jackson wouldn't do them?

MR. ORTIZ: We did them all. I did -- I inherited 108 eight cases. And we did 499. So I believe there was 391 cases that I worked in that office. When I left, I left with 50 open cases. And 17 of those were going to a formal hearing.

And in -- and I was glad to see the board getting tougher, because before it was retroactive suspensions. Now we're doing actual time. And I saw the board wanting to get tougher. And I agree, they started rejecting some of my recommendations.

But, Mr. King, to be honest the reason I was recommending sometimes a little bit lower was to resolve it in a stipulated order, because if it went to a formal hearing, it was stagnant for up to three years.

And I agree with you 100 percent. You see that video of Smoker. It's a plain, simple case.

MR. JACKSON: I did want to address one of the things to clarify some of the numbers that you asked about and also to clarify a point that Mr. Ortiz made.

One is that, since his retirement -- and he was preparing for approval by our office on factual basis issues and usage of the forms. He was preparing the NCAs, he was preparing the NFDs based off the NCAs, and I think we did make some progress that way. And again those are responsibilities that are assigned by rule to the director.

Now, in 2011 the responsibility for the initial drafting and review devolved onto me. So I was aware of that. And I did draft some NCAs and I drafted all of the NCAs for this year. In addition, the responsibility for drafting the Notice of Final Decision also devolved onto me.

Unfortunately nobody told me that. That was something that was just left in the air. And so there's this thing that's the responsibility of the director by rule and it's not being done.

Now, there are roughly 20 cases, maybe a couple more, between 20 and 25, in which Director Ortiz issued an NCA without approval of the Attorney General's Office. These actually comprise three

Page 118 Page 120

1 categories.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. KING: Matt, hang on a second. I don't know that we -- we might get into this when we talk about alternatives for future prosecution or whatever. But as far as public comment, I think that that's a little more detailed than we need. Let me go back to the board members and see, are there other board questions?

MR. KORN: Yes, I have another question. MR. KING: Yes.

MR. KORN: So, Mr. Ortiz, if you could just clarify, you started to say that the reason that you started making stipulated orders that were below the level of what the board would approve was for a particular reason. And then you said, if you let it go to a formal hearing, it would sit forever.

MR. ORTIZ: That's correct.

MR. KORN: Could you please expand on that for the board.

MR. ORTIZ: Yes. Like in the Smoker case. the defense attorney -- it was an outlandish request for 99 files and records. And I invited him to come down. I thought it was ridiculous.

And I do think there is one way to resolve it. And one thing that I see, that there's a lot of

I do is I would contact Zack. I would send him an email. And then Mr. Holmes would prepare a red file. And everything was turned over. And at that point the director's office is out of it.

I went above and beyond my call by serving subpoenas for the AG's office. Mr. Holmes said that's not our job. And I said, Mr. Holmes, if we don't get these subpoenas served, nothing is going to get done.

On the one with Mr. Walck, I'm sorry, Mr. Jackson, but you erred. I'm the one who drove down from Santa Fe to Albuquerque and I met with the IRO officer.

MR. KING: You know what --

MR. ORTIZ: Okay. I apologize. But I met with that IRO officer. And I provided him the information to contact that IRO officer. So my office was doing your job, serving subpoenas, contacting witnesses, providing Mr. Jackson with those telephone numbers.

MR. KING: Well --

MR. ORTIZ: See, I just wanted to clarify. He made the statement that there was no investigation done in the director's office and there was. I came to Albuquerque and met with the IRO officer.

MR. KING: It's an interesting point of view

Page 119

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fear in the AG's office is we don't want to go to district court and appeal this. Well, why not? Why not?

I think there's one case in the four years that I was there that the guy threatened to appeal the board's decision and take it to district court. Well, he did. And guess what. The board -- the judge upheld it for the board.

I think we need to be firm. And if they threaten that they're going to appeal it, let them. That's what the district courts are there for. But this one of Smoker's, you know, back and forth for over two years, fighting for the discovery.

Tell him no. Our decision is made. You're not getting these records. We're proceeding. If you don't like it, you have the right and the due process to appeal it to district court and let a district judge decide. Why your office played this ping-pong match for two years I don't know.

MR. KING: Well, let me ask you this, Mr. Ortiz. I mean maybe I'm missing something here that you've got. But who sets the hearings? It's not the AG's office, is it?

24 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, it is. Zack Shandler sets the -- once I get a request for a formal hearing, what

as to who is going to do the staff work on those 2 things. I mean you're saying that it's not the Law 3 Enforcement Academy staff's job to do that, but it's 4 the AG's job.

And I think that our position has indeed been that it is the staff's job to do those kinds of things. And so the reason I even mention that at all is because, as we're talking about what needs to be done, it might be that the board needs to make it very clear whose job it is to serve subpoenas and all that other kind of stuff.

Frankly I think, just jumping ahead a little bit, that we need to all go to the legislature or if we can do this by rule to make it very clear what authority -- it's actually the board that issues subpoenas, correct?

MR. ORTIZ: Yes.

MR. KING: So -- you know, and right now it's my understanding that the board can issue subpoenas but that they can't -- that those subpoenas cannot include the production of documents.

MR. ORTIZ: Right. MR. KING: Correct? MR. ORTIZ: Yes, sir.

MR. KING: And so frankly, as we talk about

Page 122 Page 124 1 1 what we need to do, we need to make sure that the resolution. Is that right? 2 board has sufficient authority so that the Attorney 2 MR. KORN: That's fine. I just want to make 3 General's Office has the tools that they need, so that 3 sure that we're --4 the Law Enforcement Academy Board staff has the tools 4 MR. KING: No. We'll give -- we'll give 5 that they need to compel attendance at the meetings. 5 you -- if guys want to talk -- you didn't sign up. 6 Would you agree with that? 6 But if you want to talk, we'll try and make that 7 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, sir. 7 available to you. Ten-minute break. 8 MR. KING: Okay. I think those are all good 8 (Break.) 9 9 points. MR. KING: We're back in session. Maybe I 10 10 read this wrong. I'll ask this again. And it will be MR. ORTIZ: Okay. 11 MR. KING: Now, did you think about my 11 up to the board. It's my feeling that the board -- I 12 question about who it is that's got the authority to 12 don't have anybody else officially signed up for 13 determine who represents the director? 13 public comment. I am willing to open up the time for 14 MR. ORTIZ: Well, it's my understanding that 14 a little bit more, if you want. 15 the attorney is assigned to the director's office for 15 The next item on the agenda is alternatives 16 the prosecution to attend informal hearings and see for current and future prosecution. I may give folks 16 17 the whole process through. 17 some opportunity to have input on particular ideas 18 I hate to say, Mr. King, and I'm just being 18 that we have. But my feeling is the board wants to 19 honest, but your office would drop the ball many times 19 move on to ideas for how to fix this problem. Is that 20 on that, where your attorney would not appear for the 20 right? 21 informal hearing. And that's why Mr. Holmes and 21 MR. KORN: Mr. Chairman. 22 myself would get it done and move it through. All 22 MR. KING: Yes, Mr. Korn. 23 those numbers -- well, I don't want to go into the 23 MR. KORN: I believe that there are at least 24 blame game. I'm sorry. I apologize. 24 two other members of the public that have come for 25 MR. KING: No. As a matter of fact, I think 25 public comment. I don't know where the list was or Page 125 Page 123 that probably what you've done is open the door for us 1 why they didn't sign up. But they're here, they want 1 2 2 to testify. I for one think they should be allowed to to look at that a little bit. So we'll be taking a 3 3 look at that. speak. 4 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, sir. Thank you. 4 MR. SCHULTZ: And, Mr. Chairman, actually on 5 5 MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. Ortiz. Any other my way out during the break, I did see a list back 6 6 questions from the board? there next to the door. And I think there were about 7 MR. ORTIZ: I wish you all the best. Thank 7 nine people who had signed up. 8 8 MR. KING: You know, that wasn't the public you. 9 9 comment side, that was the "we're attending" side. I MR. KING: All right. 10 MR. KORN: Mr. King. 10 have the public comment side. And I looked and 11 MR. KING: Yes. 11 there's nobody else signed up on that. But it's up to 12 MR. KING: I think there's two other 12 the board. If you guys want to go on with public 13 witnesses that have come. Mr. Lvon is here and 13 comment, I'm happy to do that. 14 Mr. Radosevich is both here as witnesses to -- they 14 MR. KORN: They came for public comment. 15 want to testify to the board. 15 They didn't sign the right list. 16 MR. KING: I honestly don't have them on my 16 MR. KING: Okav. 17 MR. KORN: I don't think we should stand on 17 public comment list and I didn't even see that they 18 went out and talked to the press and all those things. 18 ceremony. 19 I'm still going to call a bathroom break. 19 MR. KING: Is that what the board wants to 20 20 But if they want to, when we come back, we do? 21 21 can do that, or if they want to be involved -- if you MR. COON: Mr. Chairman. I make a motion we 22 want to involve them in the discussion of alternatives 22 let some more public comment in. 23 23 for current and future prosecution, you can. MR. KING: Okay. 24 MR. GRATTON: I'll second that, Mr. Chairman. 24 But my understanding was that the feeling of

MR. KING: All right. If I don't see any

the board was bathroom break and then let's talk about

Page 126 Page 128

opposition, we'll just move on. So we're back on that item on the agenda, public comment. So since I don't have a list, I'll just have to say raise your hand I guess. Who is next? Mr. Radosevich.

MR. RADOSEVICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the board. My name is Mark Radosevich. I've had the good fortune or the opportunity for the past year and a half to serve as a hearing officer on a variety of cases.

The first one and probably that had some direction in the way I do things goes to Sheriff Coon, because I had to sit and listen to him and watch him conduct a hearing. So if it's not done correctly, I would ask you to refer to Sheriff James Coon with regard to that.

MR. COON: Throw me under the bus.

MR. RADOSEVICH: But seriously this has -- it has been a -- it's been a learning and exhilarating experience. It's a process, it's a work in progress.

And I certainly recognize that the director and the board are charged with a very awesome responsibility, to ensure that our professional licenses, both as police officers and telecommunicators, reflect the public trust.

And I recognize the expectations of the

report that serves as a substantial basis for the complaint. And I think the chiefs association, the sheriffs and chiefs association, others have worked really hard to get this reporting process in line. And we're seeing some of those results now I think.

But we've got to get a timely setting for these hearings, whatever they are. We've got to get that -- it has to be timely. That we've got to identify -- how do I say this right. We need to get -- we need to identify the proper people, the witnesses, and the evidence that is actually going to be presented at these hearings. And certainly notice needs to be given, they have to be prepared to present that testimony.

The cases need to be held as scheduled. And I've got to compliment the board in giving me that direction on no more continuances. Once it's set, we're moving these things forward. And I think that's made my job a whole lot easier.

I'm more accustomed to a more organized aggressive presentation by the state when it comes to the prosecution, including witnesses that have some firsthand knowledge or information regarding the offenses. That agency investigation complemented by the academy's investigation I think is exceedingly

Page 127

public changes. The oath the officers take is rather sacred to most. And there are a very small percentage of the officers that violate that trust and ultimately come before this board for some type of sanction.

But it's been my experience in my career that most, most of the officers that are involved in a disciplinary process are going to be subject to a relatively minor sanction, meaning that their professional license will be -- will not be revoked.

So I think that I've tried to keep in mind that I want to move hearings forward relatively quickly, because most of these guys and women need to get back to work. We don't need to penalize them, their family, and the department for an extended period. That discipline should be contemporaneous with whatever act brings them before the board.

Now, I want to -- my comments I think need to be prefaced with the fact that my background is primarily from law enforcement and from a criminal background. So I may see things a little bit differently than maybe the defense bar or individuals involved in civil practice.

But I would like to see as far as the process goes, and I think we're moving in this direction, is that we have an accurate, detailed investigative important to what we're doing.

Now, I recognize these proceedings differ from a criminal trial. But I do believe that the bar is higher, and it should be higher. We're dealing with an individual's professional license, their career.

So I recognize the civil standard is just a preponderance of the evidence. But I think for these professional licenses, it's incumbent upon me to delve a little bit deeper, to give you the information you need to make the decision on that particular license. And I think that's important.

Now, there is a backlog of cases. I don't know how many. I don't know the reason. But I do suspect that it has something to do with the rule, because I've worked closely with Zack to make time available literally each week to conduct hearings.

But they seem to come in spurts. Rather than one hearing a week, we have like four or five scheduled this week as opposed to one per week. And that may be a function of the rule and the timing that I think we need to take a look at.

Now, I've come across a couple instances that I think the board needs to consider how they should be dealt with. Occasionally the board has sanctioned

Page 130 Page 132

officers and they're on probation, yet we get a second or subsequent violation that -- I think that there needs to be some expedited process so that we can deal with these limited but serious cases when they come up like that.

And I will say, in this year and a half that I've been doing these hearings, I've had the opportunity to spend a lot of time with staff. I think everyone from the academy has been extremely helpful and courteous to me to facilitate these hearings, to make things happen. It's been tremendous, I couldn't ask for anything more.

Mr. Chairman, your staff from the Attorney General's Office, while they may not agree with my decisions or the way I want to do things, they've been professional, they've been receptive to my suggestions on how things should go. I think with time everybody kind of moves together and we kind of follow that path. But I do have to express my appreciation for that.

Now, I think we all look at things through our own window based on our own experience and the way we see things. I see a real need to get the hearings to be a little more structured, a little more aggressive in the presentation of their evidence, and

there is. And we'll work -- we'll do with it as we can. But there's another situation that is not -- has not been addressed formally. And I use this opportunity only to mention it. I think the public looks at this board, that

I know there are times when maybe that's all

when an individual's license is revoked or more appropriately suspended for any period of time, when that individual returns to work, that he or she currently meets the standards set by this board. That you take an individual that has had a long-term suspension -- and this would be a good time.

Someone has been on administrative leave for six or eight months, gets a lengthy suspension, comes back to work in June. I'd have to ask you, when they've gone to a new department, have I done my job and made the recommendations to you to make sure that their biennium training is current or is that new chief that's hiring them, saying this is Mark's recommendation, the board approved it, no idea on the training.

I have yet to make a recommendation to you that involves an instructor's certificate where people's licenses are suspended, yet their instructor's certificates are still valid and they're

Page 131

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

24

the timing is critical.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think there is a need for some rule changes to facilitate some of this. And it's not going to happen overnight. But I think it's necessary. I think, if we just look around the room and take a look at the media, there are issues that the public is concerned with. And this board is charged with dealing with those.

To the extent that you'll allow me, I want to be part of the solution and working on those changes in the rules, changes in the way things are done. The guidance that I need from the staff attorneys on how the reports should be prepared, the format that you want, and the content and the evidence that I'm expected to get or to listen to is critical.

And there may be a difference of opinion between what I think is necessary and sometimes what might be presented. And I would ask you just to think about a couple of these.

I don't think it appropriate that I submit a report to you that is simply based on an unverified synopsis or an agency report attached to an LEA-90 and the respondent's testimony against himself. I think there's probably more to a prosecution on someone's professional license than that.

using them. Guidance in that area is appropriate.

Individuals in the area of DWI, where they were not convicted but their license had been suspended, I'm not getting evidence to show or to let you know this individual's license is now suspended for two years, yet we're giving him his license back.

The minimum requirements for initial certification requires a driver's license. These are things that I am not gathering in the hearings. And I am not giving them to you in my reports. And I think that that may be something that I need some guidance on in the future, because I do want to give you the information you need in order to render an effective decision. Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the board.

MR. KING: Hang on a second. Questions.

MR. KORN: I have a question.

18 MR. KING: Mr. Korn.

MR. KORN: Mr. Radosevich, please --

MR. RADOSEVICH: I have -- time on the range.

21 MR. KORN: That's why I stood over there,

22 because I tend to be very quiet. When you indicated 23

that you're more accustomed to an aggressive organized presentation -- well, let me back up. You've been a

25 hearing officer for a year and a half?

Page 134 Page 136 MR. RADOSEVICH: About a year and a half, 1 something that will come in front of the board at some 1 2 2 point in time? sir. 3 3 MR. RADOSEVICH: Yes. MR. KORN: During that year and a half, 4 Mr. Jackson has been the sole prosecutor before you? 4 MR. KING: It's not clear to me that we 5 5 MR. RADOSEVICH: Yes. should continue discussing the individual. 6 MR. KORN: So when you say you're more 6 MR. KORN: Oh, it's only that one question, 7 7 whether or not there were any witnesses that accustomed to an aggressive organized presentation, Mr. Jackson presented at the hearing. The answer is 8 are you talking about the presentations of 8 9 9 no, he didn't present any witnesses. Mr. Jackson? 10 10 MR. KING: That's sufficient I think. You MR. RADOSEVICH: What I'm talking about is --11 what I'm talking about is my experience in the 11 don't have to go further. courtroom, primarily criminal. That a very structured MR. KORN: That's all I have for 12 12 Mr. Radosevich. Thank you. 13 aggressive presentation, that either the evidence is 13 14 completely presented or basically the defendant, if 14 MR. KING: Other questions for 15 you will, is found not guilty or released. 15 Mr. Radosevich? Okay. 16 MR. RADOSEVICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 What I have done in the hearings that I have 17 conducted, when I didn't think the evidence was fully 17 MR. KING: Go ahead. 18 presented, I have taken a fair amount of time asking 18 MR. LYON: Good evening. 19 questions of the respondent or the witnesses that 19 MR. KING: Go ahead. Introduce yourself. 20 might be there, which would be foreign to what I've 20 MR. LYON: Tom Lyon, former director of the 21 21 seen in a criminal court. New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy. I was appointed MR. KORN: So what you're saying is when you 22 22 in August of 2003, retired in August of 2006. I think 23 say more accustomed to an aggressive organized 23 the only two members on the board back then was Chief 24 Ray Schultz and Zachary Shandler as the attorney for 24 presentation, does Mr. Jackson give you an aggressive 25 the board. 25 organized presentation? Page 137 Page 135 1 MR. RADOSEVICH: If I were -- if I were 1 All I wanted to do is I saw this in the paper 2 structuring a hearing or I was presenting it, I would 2 the other day. I said they're still having a backlog 3 probably do it in a little different fashion. 3 problem. When I got there, we had 180 to 200 reports 4 However, I do think that his presentations have been 4 that we were backlogged on. I remember telling myself 5 5 consistent from the very first hearing that I what did I get myself into. And I started looking at 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 observed, from what I have seen. 7 I'm just accustomed to something a little 8 different. But it's in a different arena. I would 9 like to see -- if I were in charge, I would like to 10 see that move more towards the criminal style. 11 MR. KORN: There was one hearing that you 12 presided over last Friday by Mr. Jackson? 13 MR. RADOSEVICH: Yes. 14 MR. KORN: That was one of the five 15 criminal -- one of the five matters that I said were 16 up for December. And you were the hearing officer 17 last Friday? 18 MR. RADOSEVICH: Yes. 19 MR. KORN: Did Mr. Jackson present any 20 witnesses? 21 MR. RADOSEVICH: The only witness that was 22 there was the respondent himself.

MR. KING: Let me -- before you go further on

that, I mean I gather that that's something that will

come in front of the board -- I gather that that's

the stuff.

First went to the Attorney General's Office. And the one thing they told me back then was, oh, this guy will never be in law enforcement again, don't worry about that case. This guy will never be in law enforcement again.

MR. KING: That was prior to my service. MR. LYON: Yes, it was. Oh, yes, it was, sir. Back then. And I thought so, Matt, we still need to close this case. I started going through the database and looking at how many officers are still working that we had pending discipline on.

And we started handling them. But I must admit, the Attorney General's Office back then, very, very slow. And I was learning. So after a couple months, I said we're not getting anything out. You'd take them over and it seemed like it was sat on.

Chief Schultz, what he said earlier, back in about 2005, things started really rolling good. But what I found out what worked good for us was starting

23

24

Page 138 | Page 140

to look at this, take into consideration what type of disciplinary action did the agency take on this person, whether I can go retroactive, or as the case with some agencies, they just wanted us to be the ones to be the bad guy and they wouldn't take any action.

And if no action was taken, then, okay, you know what, I will put the hammer down and I will take some action. But it was up to me. I read every case along with Mr. Holmes. I don't know of one case he didn't investigate. I was very fortunate to have him on the staff.

But we went into what we call stipulated orders of agreement. And I could deal with the officers on that manner. And when it comes into continuations, of course, what I have to do is get a continuation from him, because I couldn't get this thing done until I went to the board and made the recommendation to the board and the board to approve it.

So we would get our continuations. But we could handle a lot of cases that way and get these continuations. Most -- the majority of these cases were handled by stipulated orders of agreement. Not many went to a hearing.

I shouldn't say not many. But there were

and encourage that. I think that will work good when revocation is not necessary.

What did happen to me, I had some people that had action taken on them, the case was two years old, exposing themselves to secretaries. I talked to the guy. Why did you do that. You know, they kept telling me, you know, show it to me, show it to me. I just couldn't take it no more so I did.

Well, here it is two years later. I got the case pretty late. And as a matter of fact, it wasn't even during my time, it was one I inherited. He's hired by another agency, got promoted to sergeant. Yeah. Should he be there?

And my thought was, if this guy gets some lady, arrests them, has them in the back seat, and that lady offers him something, does he have the ability to say no when he said I couldn't take no more from those secretaries. And my job is to ensure public safety.

Well, I think these things -- you know, as far as public safety, I get into that thing. They need to move them along. And it was an honor to be director. I have utmost respect for the King family and for you, Mr. Attorney General.

I would like to say one more thing. And I

Page 139

those that went to the hearing. But when they did, then it was out of my hands. And we let the attorney handle it that was the attorney at that time.

I just encourage these stipulated orders of agreement, if they're appropriate. I will admit we had some people -- I had cases ten years old that were never acted on.

In large part I'm going to say the director at that time -- before me and at that time and the Attorney General's Office said, well, they'll never be in law enforcement again, don't worry about it. We did close those cases. I wanted to leave it better for my -- whoever followed me, which is Art Ortiz. I know he wants to leave it better for the next guy.

And when I saw this backlog again, I just thought about, man, poor Bill Hubbard. You know, I hope that I can help in some manner to say how can we make this, you know, go along. And these stipulated orders of agreement are very easy for the director to do.

Take it to the attorney and say, you know, this is what I'm going to do, this is what I have worked out, can you draw up the Notice of Final Decision that's acceptable on this informal resolution. I'm just trying to get with this board

talk about precedents or perception. I'm in here,

2 sitting over here. And what I've done all my life

that's made me successful in the criminal justice system, working at the penitentiary after the riot,

5 dealing with criminals that know how to manipulate,

you have to have a good demeanor. Good demeanor,professional demeanor. That's what will make us

successful.

I'm just over here -- well, I have no idea. I've never met you, sir, before, Mr. Korn. But you're up here it seems like trying to get a thing. I've seen your demeanor. 100 percent to the positive.

But as I'm here and I'm just looking over here, and I know he's not here no more, that -- what was his name, Zucoff? How do you say the -- Fuqua. You're there and all it was was smiles. Like this is a joke.

MR. KORN: By me?

MR. LYON: No. By him.

20 MR. KORN: By Mr. Fuqua.

MR. LYON: And I could -- I could see that.

22 And I just want to make one thing -- perception.

23 Let's be -- how do we say it, the perception really

24 kind of hit me with a bad spot.

And the reason I bring that up, I was -- as

Page 142 | Page 144

director one of my bureau chiefs went out to Otero County and said that Tom Lyon is nothing but a political hack. That sheriff talked to me and said, you know what, if that person is going to talk about you like that, how is that person going to treat cadets.

Well, if this person is going to laugh at this, how is he going to go with these proceedings. And I just bring that up, that perception, the camera is always on us. And we've got to be professional. I leave it with that. Thank you.

MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. Lyon. Not exactly an explanation, but Mr. Fuqua's wife just had a baby ten days ago. And he might be lacking a certain amount of sleep. But you know what, I'll pass that along to him, because I think it's a valid point, that we all should be cautious of what our demeanor is.

MR. LYON: Thank you, sir.

MR. KING: I didn't ask for questions. Any questions? We're ready to move forward? I think actually both of those presentations were excellent to get us started on the discussion of what it is that we can do. Having said that, at least my understanding was that Mr. Shandler had some sort of recommendation about language.

first, yes.

MR. KING: Oh. Well, basically I said that any of the committee members that wanted to go first had priority.

MR. KORN: Thank you. If I may, Mr. Chairman, can I approach the podium.

MR. KING: Please.

MR. KORN: If I may, Mr. Chairman, members of the board, agenda item six is alternatives for current and future prosecution. And I've taken the liberty, since I made the agenda items, to perhaps get a frame of conversation. And I identified the four items that I thought would be germane to the conversation.

So obviously the very first question so that the board, when it votes in the next agenda item can be well informed, would be to Mr. King. And the question is just real direct.

Would Mr. King, as the head of the Attorney General's Office, assign Bill Moon to take over the cases if the board has no faith in the prosecution of Mr. Jackson for the rest of the December cases?

MR. KING: And the answer is probably pretty much the same answer as I gave you before, which is I think that it's inappropriate for the board to direct what lawyers are assigned from the Attorney General's

Page 143

Office.

I think that it's appropriate for the executive director in an attorney-client privileged way to sit down and talk with -- either with me as the Attorney General or with any of the attorneys that are assigned to represent him and request those kind of things. I think that we have said publicly that I am going to assign Mr. Moon to do cases.

I think that it is within the purview of the Attorney General and the executive director to make a determination as to who gets assigned to any particular case and that it would be inappropriate for a judge or a hearing officer or the board who sits as hearing officer to direct that a specific attorney be appointed to a case.

MR. KORN: Well, the board serves as hearing officer. But the board also sits as the determination of what the academy program would be and also in terms of the way the prosecutions will be. So the board employs the director. And the director is our member of our board. He's the secretary of our board. So the board and the director are synonymous when it comes to the prosecution of the cases.

So without beating around the bush, sir, I'm simply asking you, whether it comes from Mr. Hubbard,

But I'm happy to first off recognize any of the members of the committee. If you have comments or statements or whatever or if you want to -- if you think it will move us forward to have Mr. Shandler present what it is that he had in mind, I'm okay with that too.

MR. KORN: Just so I understand where we are in the program, are you asking for more comments on agenda item -- whatever agenda item we're on now, which is public comments?

MR. KING: Oh, no. I'm sorry. I assume that we're done with public comments. So I should make that very clear.

MR. KORN: So have we moved on to the next agenda item?

# ITEM NO. 6: ALTERNATIVES FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE PROSECUTION

MR. KING: We're moving on to item No. 6,
alternatives for current and future prosecution. And,
Mr. Korn, I have your name next to this. But like I
said, I'm asking the question. It sounded like
Mr. Shandler had some ideas. But if you want to -- if
you want to go first, Mr. Korn, go ahead.
MR. KORN: Well, I respect Mr. Shandler's
ideas. But it was my agenda item. I would like to go

Page 145

Page 146 Page 148

who is the acting director, or his successor, if he's not appointed permanently, or me as a board member, I'm simply asking you, sir, all we're asking is if the board determines a lack of faith in Mr. Jackson, will you assign Bill Moon the four cases that he now has remaining?

MR. KING: If the board makes a recommendation that they'd like to have a different attorney, then the Attorney General's Office will take that under due consideration and we will make an appropriate decision.

MR. KORN: Okay. And I'm sorry because I --I have to apologize. I'm just not a politician and I don't know how to -- I mean in my world you ask a direct question, you get a direct answer.

Without beating around the bush, will you just appoint Mr. Moon. I mean you've got him going there anyway. It's four hearings. If the board votes no confidence, will you just say yes, sir, please.

MR. KING: Actually what I'm telling you is that it's inappropriate to have this discussion about where the board would direct the Attorney General as to what attorney, any specific attorney they would assign to do a case. And so you can ask the question if you like. I have my own ethical obligations as the

MR. KORN: What is your -- what is your concern?

MR. KING: Well, it appears that there has been enough discussion amongst the board members to create these documents and the fact that there was a quick motion after -- after the first question that said, you know, will I guarantee you that I'll assign a certain lawyer to prosecute a particular case.

And so there certainly is circumstantial evidence to indicate that there have been sufficient discussions to decide that there won't be any opportunity for me or for anybody from my staff to talk about other alternatives that are useful.

MR. KORN: Sir, I think the motion came from Chief Schultz. And I don't know -- I can't speak for him. But I think he felt as I felt, that you were dancing more than Baryshnikov was dancing around the whole issue.

So rather than belabor it, he -- he apparently knew what the next agenda item was. I prepared all these things without board involvement. I thought it would speed the review of this along.

Nobody prior to my passing them out now knows the things that are on these documents. And I -frankly I resent the fact that you're accusing me of

Page 147

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 149

```
1
    Attorney General.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SCHULTZ: Mr. King, if I may. I'll make a motion we table item No. 6 and we move to item No. 7.

MR. KORN: I would second that.

MR. GRATTON: I will second that.

MR. KORN: That's a great idea.

MR. KING: All right. There has been a motion to move from item No. 6 to item No. 7, board actions regarding upcoming hearings, affected cases, et cetera. All in favor say aye.

(Those in favor so indicate.)

MR. KING: All opposed no. I'm going to show a no. So far up until this point, we've actually had some fairly good discussion on what needs to be done and such. I will renew my concern about discussions that might have violated the Open Meetings Act, because this obviously is something that's been discussed amongst various board members not including the chairman.

MR. KORN: Is the chairman making an accusation against board members?

MR. KING: I said I raised a concern about whether or not there are discussions that might have occurred that might violate the Open Meetings Act.

going outside the Open Meetings Act. I resent that. It's untrue.

3 MR. KING: Well, I'm just noting my concern for the record, Mr. Korn.

MR. KORN: Thank you, sir.

MR. SHILLING: Mr. Chairman.

MR. KING: Yes. Chief.

MR. SHILLING: For the record I would like to go on record saying that I've never had any discussions with anyone about these agenda items. And so, you know, the floating of the Open Meetings Act concern there, I'm going to go on record and say I didn't violate the Open Meetings Act concerning these agenda items.

MR. KING: Good. You know what, and I'm actually not at a point where I'm going to ask each and every one of you to tell me what all of your discussions were with Mr. Korn or with Mr. Ortiz or any other folks that might be here.

But I just -- frankly in this case I just want to let you know, because if you take an action that violates the Open Meetings Act, it's void from its inception. So I'm just encouraging you all to understand that we have -- we've been here for three hours, at which point we've had a lot of opportunity

Page 152 Page 150 1 which I've made available for discussion from 1 motion to suspend hearings in December being 2 everybody that Mr. Korn wanted to have discussion. 2 prosecuted by Matt Jackson passes. Am I right, six? 3 And now we're moving on to items which are --3 Seven? Sorry, I missed a vote. That was item No. 1. 4 you know, it's up to the discretion of the board to do 4 5 that if you want to. I'm merely pointing out the --5 MR. KORN: Sir, having resolved that, then 6 that the appearance is it's something that strikes me 6 items No. 2 and 3 go to the heart of the matter, which 7 as a little hinky. So if everybody is comfortable 7 is if Gary King will assign Bill Moon to prosecute 8 with that, then I'm ready to move forward. 8 Jackson's cases, when will he begin on that? And so I 9 ITEM NO. 7: BOARD ACTIONS REGARDING UPCOMING 9 would pose that to you. 10 HEARINGS, AFFECTED CASES, PINO, RELATED MATTERS 10 MR. KING: Let me -- here is one where I 11 MR. KING: So the next item on the agenda is 11 may -- you know, you guys have taken the action. So I 12 board actions regarding upcoming hearings, affected 12 can probably be a little more effusive about what 13 cases, et cetera. And, Mr. Korn, that's your item as 13 we'll do. Obviously I'll assign another attorney to 14 well. So please proceed. 14 do this right away. 15 MR. KORN: Thank you, Mr. King. The first 15 The attorney who has been sitting here in the 16 item I thought in this agenda items would be for the 16 room -- actually I don't see him around right now. 17 board to decide whether they may agree with me that 17 Mr. Moon has been sitting and watching this hearing so 18 Mr. Jackson should be relieved from future 18 that he can be up to speed as quickly as possible. So 19 prosecutions for the remaining cases he has in 19 it is most likely that I will appoint Mr. Moon. 20 December. 20 I believe that it probably is within my 21 So for that I would start this off by making 21 purview, I'm not sure whether all of you agree with 22 a motion to suspend all hearings in December that are 22 this or not, that if I have another attorney who is 23 going to be prosecuted by Mr. Jackson. 23 available and has the same general qualifications as 24 MR. KING: Okay. I have a motion. Is there 24 Mr. Moon, that I don't understand why the board would 25 a second? I actually think that that's probably 25 want to direct that I appoint a specific attorney when Page 151 Page 153 within the purview of the board to decide. 1 there may be other attorneys -- actually, as a matter 1 2 2 of fact, I think several of you said that you thought MR. COON: I'll second. 3 3 MR. KING: Okay. Second by Sheriff Coon. I ought to appoint my best prosecutor. 4 Any discussion? Seeing none, the secretary will call 4 Actually I guess Mr. Ortiz said that. So I 5 5 the roll. would like to reserve the opportunity to look in my 6 6 MS. LOPEZ: Attorney General Gary King. prosecutor corp and see who I think would be the 7 7 appropriate attorney to do this. MR. KING: I think usually the chair goes 8 8 last. And I continue -- I will express once again 9 9 MS. LOPEZ: Chief Robert Shilling. my concern that it is not appropriate and might very 10 10 well cause reversible error for the board to direct MR. SHILLING: Yes. 11 MS. LOPEZ: Sheriff Coon. 11 what attorney by name is going to prosecute this case 12 12 or any of these cases. MR. COON: Yes. 13 13 MS. LOPEZ: Chief Betz. MR. KORN: Mr. King, I think out of respect 14 14 MR. BETZ: Yes. to you, Mr. Ortiz didn't express all of the things 15 MS. LOPEZ: Chief Schultz. 15 that he was going to say, because everybody has a 16 MR. SCHULTZ: Yes. 16 great deal of respect for you. But there have been a 17 MS. LOPEZ: Mark Myers. 17 succession of attorneys from the Attorney General's 18 18 Office who have not met the standards that I think MR. MYERS: Yes. 19 MS. LOPEZ: Nate Korn. 19 other people have expected them, including, of course, MR. KORN: Yes. 20 myself. 20 21 MS. LOPEZ: John Gratton. 21 When we heard the name Bill Moon, and I spoke 22 MR. GRATTON: Yes. 22 to him and learned of his background and his zeal to 23 23 MS. LOPEZ: Attorney General King. prosecute cases, without ever having met the man 24 24 because if he's been in this room, I certainly didn't MR. KING: No. So I show by a vote of six in the affirmative and one in the negative that the 25 meet him, I feel as if he's someone who we should

Page 154 Page 156 seriously consider. So I would encourage you to make 1 a hearing officer, I decided that case four days after 1 2 Mr. Moon available so that his matriculation into our 2 30 days. 3 board can be steadfast and regular. 3 I did that because I was reviewing the 4 MR. KING: Well, and actually I think it 4 evidence ad nauseam to make sure -- to see if I could 5 5 find a notice of identification. So even in that one would be appropriate for all of you to recommend that 6 he would be a good attorney. I just don't think that 6 I would ask the board to amend its rules to allow it's appropriate for you to demand that I tell you 7 7 that. There's no error that goes to Mr. Pino since it 8 that he's going to be the attorney. 8 will still be decided in our January hearing. 9 MR. GRATTON: Mr. AG, is it appropriate then 9 So the motion would be one in regard to extending Mr. Pino's time for the hearing officer's 10 that we make a motion that we recommend that Mr. Moon 10 11 or someone with his qualities would be appointed to 11 resolution, extending the time for every hearing that 12 12 Mr. Jackson is currently doing, and also extending the 13 13 MR. KING: Absolutely. hearing on every matter that is before the board, both 14 MR. GRATTON: If that's okay, I will make 14 for the prosecution and the defense in the interest of 15 that motion. Is that okay, Mr. Korn? 15 fairness, so that we have a time to examine every case 16 MR. KORN: Yes, thank you. I would second 16 to avoid it from being lapsed. 17 Mr. Gratton's motion. 17 MR. SHANDLER: I'm going to interrupt. 18 MR. KING: Okay. We have a motion and a 18 MR. KING: Let me -- can I --19 second that the committee would recommend to the 19 MR. SHANDLER: This is important. 20 Attorney General's Office that they assign Bill Moon 20 MR. KING: We have a lot of questions. And 21 21 or an attorney that's equally qualified to prepare I'm going to -- first I'm going to ask Mr. Shandler if 22 these cases. I'm not sure that won't take a voice --22 we've got authority to do that. 23 or that we can't do that one on a voice vote. All in 23 MR. SHANDLER: You don't have authority to do 24 24 favor sav ave. that. 25 25 (Those in favor so indicate.) MR. KORN: Yes, we do. Page 155 Page 157 1 MR. KING: Any opposed. Okay. That one 1 MR. SHANDLER: No. You're wrong on the law 2 2 passes unanimously. Okay. Mr. Korn. on that. Okay. Specifically --3 MR. KORN: Thank you, sir. So the next item 3 MR. KING: Can you get close to a microphone, 4 is item No. 4, having already decided that the 4 Zack, because I think everybody on the committee needs 5 5 hearings in December will not be done by Mr. Jackson, to hear that. 6 that raises a question whether the succeeding attorney 6 MR. SHANDLER: When someone requests a 7 will have enough time to get prepared. 7 hearing, they have a certain deadline under the rule 8 Because some of these cases have a time frame 8 to get access to a hearing. And you'll find in your 9 9 packet I have prepared a sheet anticipating this might that had to be relatively quick to meet our rules, I'm 10 concerned about the time rules. Not only on those 10 happen, laying out the timelines and the deadlines. four cases, but also on all the cases that have been 11 11 So I'd like you to look at this ten-point 12 identified. And we haven't heard -- we haven't heard 12 sheet here. We can work through resolution. But 13 from Mr. Holmes who has prepared along with Monica 13 let's make sure that you don't lose cases here. 14 Medrano all of the cases that are backlogged. 14 So hearings under board rule, which is 15 But concerns about cases that are backlogged, 15 equivalent to a law, "shall be conducted within 90 16 I have concerns about the four cases, whether we'll 16 calendar days of the date of the filing of the request 17 meet our deadlines. And I think in the interest of 17 for hearing." If the officer wants to waive that, 18 justice, there is no hearing that we should lose, that 18 that's -- they can. 19 falls because of timelines because of all the issues 19 But the board can't tell the officer, no, 20 20 we have raised today. So my motion would be to extend we're extending your time, you're not going to get 21 21 your 90 days. And if the board goes ahead and does those times.

that, and you're free to reject my advice, I'm telling

right to have a timely hearing. So I think you have

Any judge will say you violated this person's

you, you will lose that case in court.

And one of the times in particular is a case

that I've had a process of handling. That's a case

that may go back to the board, and that's Mr. Pino

that I put down there. And in regard to Mr. Pino, as

22

23

24

22

23

24

25

Page 158 | Page 160

to start with that principle there.

On the chart here, you'll see the four cases that are currently scheduled for December. Okay. The board has made the motion to provide the process that those would be I guess cancelled for the time being. So we go to item No. 3.

I've laid out the request for hearing dates in the 90-day period. The one that immediately catches my attention is the first one there, Rodriguez. He has to have a hearing before Sunday, January 8, which is going to fall on the Friday before the 6th.

Hearing Officer Radosevich has calendared -- is ready to calendar that for you. So in terms of Rodriguez, you can go ahead and still schedule a hearing in front of -- on January 6th. Hopefully that's sufficient time for this new prosecutor to come on and do that case. That will still meet the 90-day deadline. That won't be an appealable issue.

The other cases, you'll see the other dates where the 90 days extends. Mr. Radosevich and I -- I can schedule those before those late January and February dates. These hearings won't get to you at the January meeting but could likely get to you at the springtime meeting.

back to the 30th. But that's run into a snag, because the meeting on the 17th is scheduled for a public rulemaking. And that's already been noticed.

And I don't have permission from Ryan who I think is the one presenting, it's the telecommunicator rule that he's been working on and presenting. So I don't have permission from him to say can you move that hearing. I don't think we have enough time to notice the public hearing and moving it to the 30th. We might have two days to do that, but I'm not sure.

MR. KING: Let me ask something real quick, Zack, because I don't know if you've thought about this or the board has. But can we just have two meetings in January? We're having a meeting in December that was only scheduled three days ago.

MR. KORN: If I can interject, Mr. Chairman, because Mr. Shandler took my spot. Mr. Shandler is saying that we can't make adjustments to the rules. And he's wrong.

And while I appreciate that he's the attorney assigned by the Attorney General to our board, I don't appreciate his advice. And I think he's incorrect. Rather I would point Mr. Shandler to the rule itself. The rule says in two places that the board has the right to change the times.

Page 159

And that's my point in bullet No. 4, that there are some ramifications. Even moving some of these hearings to January means that some of them won't be on the January meeting agenda. And also once a hearing is complete, under board rules which is equivalent to a law, the officer is entitled to a hearing within 90 days. That's jurisdictional. You can't extend that or you lose that case. There's case law on that.

So if the director requests a continuance based on the instruction today in the above hearings and the Rodriguez hearing is held on January 6th, Rodriguez is entitled to a board decision, a board meeting no later than April 5th.

So there's kind of two things to think about. One, meeting those deadlines. And also it impacts the scheduling of your springtime meeting. It can't be past I think that April date.

Now, I toyed in No. 6 about moving the January meeting back to the 30th, which would allow Mr. Radosevich time to maybe here all these hearings, because he's capable of doing two a day on Fridays, and allow him to do his hearing officer's report, allow the parties ten days to objections.

So I had suggested moving the January meeting

Page 161

In one place it says "Extensions of time shall be granted at the discretion of the hearing officer or the board," and that's talking in terms of times reflecting the proceedings for denial.

And in another space it says "In regard to the regulations," and this is in our rules equivalent to law, subsection F, that says "the board may alter and amend these regulations from time to time as it deems necessary."

Our timelines are part of these regulations. We can amend them from time to time as we deem necessary. And it goes on to say "Alteration or amendment of these regulations may be accomplished by a majority vote of the board."

So we can easily vote and not have to go through these dense manipulations that Mr. Shandler has done, we don't have to do two meetings. What we really need to do is sit back, give ourselves a little time to analyze where we are, figure out -- give Mr. Holmes and Ms. Medrano time to figure out what cases are in jeopardy, and at that informed time which would be at our January meeting, we can then understand where our cases lie, what's in jeopardy, and then make decisions from there.

I go back to my original motion where I say

Page 162 | Page 164

the board should extend time on all the matters so that we don't risk losing any cases and so we can see in a plenary way what's available. And while that's going on, hopefully you'll be assigning Mr. Moon who can get his arms around the cases and report to us in the January meeting, unless he sets up times before that.

MR. KING: We're on that item of the agenda and actually on that item on the motion, discussion of the motion. I recognized Mr. Shandler. Mr. Shandler discussed the motion. I actually didn't recognize you, but I didn't stop you.

MR. KORN: I'm sorry. You're correct.

MR. KING: So you're welcome to discuss the motion as well. We're still on that item, discussion of the motion. Anybody else have any questions with regard to the motion?

I'll weigh in this far. Mr. Shandler, as Mr. Lyon said, has been representing this board for many years and is pretty knowledgeable about administrative law. I don't know that Member Korn in his resume that he gave us talked very much about administrative law.

I have grave concerns about his statement that the board has enough authority to willy-nilly

we can change them for day forward cases, why can't we change them given the -- is there any -- is there any clause anywhere for emergent circumstances?

MR. KING: My gut -- yeah. Chief, my gut feeling is that it's that due process thing again. But, you know, I'm happy, I -- I actually have a bunch of lawyers here. And if I'm wrong, they can correct me if I'm wrong.

MR. LAMA: Mr. Chairman.

MR. KING: This is my chief deputy, Al Lama. MR. LAMA: There is New Mexico case law that talks about process as related to rulemaking. The board cannot waive a rule. It has to go through a rulemaking process if they're going to change a rule.

So I have serious concerns about adopting a provision in a rule that allows for the board to consider rule changes, because that still requires you under New Mexico law to go through a notice and public comment period before you change the rule.

So this is established case law. The case involving a licensing board dates back to the late 1970s. If you ask any lawyer who is familiar with administrative practice, they will advise you that that is not consistent with your responsibilities relative to your rulemaking authority.

Page 163

Page 165

extend time limits in cases that are set and in process already. I think that it probably is true that, if we wanted to change time limits to apply to new cases coming in the pipeline, to make them 120 days instead of 90 days or something, that we have enough authority to do that.

You all will have to decide how much risk you want to take on these cases. But I have found Mr. Shandler's advice to be pretty capable advice on issues of administrative law.

MR. SHILLING: Mr. Chairman.

MR. KING: Yes.

MR. SHILLING: Can I ask you a question, because you said -- we deal with NMAC all the time as well, about the amending of it and it requiring public meetings and stuff. But I was unaware of the language contained right in our NMAC rule that allows for that.

So on one hand you say we could conceivably day forward change the rules right here, but anything that's currently in the pipeline we would be at jeopardy, which I kind of understand because those came in the pipeline under that rule that's in existence today.

But at the same time, I'm compelled by Mr. Korn's argument that -- and your argument that if

So I concur with Mr. Shandler's assessment, that even if you have some authority to change the rules, that process has to go through notice and opportunity to be heard by the public for a rule change.

MR. KORN: And if I may be heard.

MR. KING: Please.

MR. KORN: I appreciate Mr. Lama's point of view, I appreciate Mr. Shandler's point of view. I'm the only one with the rules, reading directly from the rules. I mean the point is they can say what they want. They're part of the Attorney General's Office. This is obviously a hot-button issue.

But we're in this position because of the Attorney General's Office. And I don't put any stock in what they're saying. It says right here, "alternation or amendment of the regulations by a majority vote of the board." It doesn't say prospectively. It doesn't say for cases future in the pipeline or in the pipeline.

We can do what we want in the interest of justice. And this is a situation that calls for bold action. And I think that while we figure out what's going on, we should alter our rules to see what cases are affected and make sure that we don't lose any

	Page 166		Page 168
1	cases because they have attritioned out because cases	1	MS. LOPEZ: Nate Korn.
2	have been in the pipeline too long without regulation.	2	MR. KORN: Yes.
3	MR. SHILLING: Mr. Chairman, I think we	3	MS. LOPEZ: John Gratton.
4	already have his motion.	4	MR. GRATTON: Yes.
5	MR. KING: Oh, yeah, we're on discussion of	5	MS. LOPEZ: Mark Myers.
6	the motion. So if there aren't any other questions, I	6	MR. MYERS: Yes.
7	have one more bit of discussion on the motion.	7	MS. LOPEZ: Chief Schultz.
8	MR. SCHULTZ: Mr. Chairman, if I may.	8	MR. SCHULTZ: Yes.
9	MR KING: Chief Schultz.	9	MS. LOPEZ: Chief Betz.
10	MR. SCHULTZ: Just going back, looking at the	10	MR. BETZ: Yes.
11	rule, 10.29.1.13, Mr. Shandler talked about subsection	11	MS. LOPEZ: Sheriff Coon.
12	J, subsection L. It's very clear, it's one sentence,	12	MR. COON: Yes.
13	it says "Extensions of time shall be granted at the	13	MS. LOPEZ: Chief Shilling.
14	discretion of hearing officer or the board."	14	MR. SHILLING: Yes.
15	The way I read that the board does have the	15	MS. LOPEZ: Attorney General King.
16	authority. It's the same section that was commented	16	MR. KING: No. All right. By a vote of
17	or referred to by Mr. Shandler. It doesn't get much	17	seven in the affirmative, one in the negative
18	clearer than that.	18	actually I'm not sure that I know exactly what the
19	MR. KING: You know, we've given our advice.	19	language of that motion was. So, Mr. Korn, you'll
20	This is my philosophy about advice of lawyers to their	20	have to let the secretary know.
21	clients. It's that if the client wants to ignore the	21	But essentially the motion was to extend the
22	advice of their lawyer, they're welcome to do that.	$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	time periods on these cases by did you say how much?
23	They do it at their own peril.	23	Thirty days? As long as we want to?
24	So, you know, I think we'll end up taking a	$\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. KORN: I think Mr. Gratton.
25	vote on this. Here is what I think. A, I don't think	25	MR. GRATTON: I don't believe you stipulated
23	Page 167	23	Page 169
1	•	1	
1	it's worth taking the risk; B, I believe, especially	1 2	to an extension of time, Mr. Korn, that I recall.
2	if Mr. Radosevich is willing to do the hearings, that	2	MR. KORN: I would think 45 days would be the
3	it would not be outside of the capability of the Attorney General's Office to in order to meet what	3	first hook so that we could have the January meeting
5	you all have directed to get one of our lawyers up to	4 5	and see what kind of shape we're in.
	•		MR. KING: You know what, actually I'm going to I'll do this on a voice vote. I'll take a
6 7	speed where they could do this case by January 6th.  That's three weeks. It probably means	6 7	
8	somebody would have to work through the holidays. But	8	motion to reconsider so that we can add that 45 days into it. I'm not sure that we did that.
9	we can do that.	9	MR. GRATTON: I so move to amend the motion
10	MR. KORN: In responding to that, I	10	to add the 45 days
11	appreciate that. And I think that's a team effort	11	MR. KING: Well, let's this will just be a
12	that Mr. King has suggested. I think that's a great	12	motion to reconsider.
13	idea. But on the downside, in case it doesn't happen,	13	MR. GRATTON: Okay.
14	and for all the other cases involved, I think we	14	MR. KING: A motion to reconsider
15	should make the motion and try not to exceed any of	15	MR. GRATTON: So moved.
16	the timelines but have that as a safety valve in case	16	MR. KING: the action we just took. Is
17	we do.	17	there a second?
18	MR. KING: Any other discussion? I have a	18	MR. COON: I'll second.
19	motion. Do I have a second? Secretary, do I have a	19	MR. KING: Okay. All in favor say aye.
20	second?	20	(Those in favor so indicate.)
21	MR. MYERS: I'll second.	$\begin{vmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. KING: I'm not trying to do anything
22	MR. KING: Okay. We might have had a second	$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	funny here. Okay. We're back we're back on that
23	already. Okay. I think that this one might be	$\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{vmatrix}$	issue. Let's go back and state the motion a little
24	important to do a roll call on. Secretary, call the	$\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	more carefully. That was my fault. So the motion
25	roll.	25	would be to extend the time periods on just these
23	10111	L 22	moura of to exteria the time perious on just these

Page 170 Page 172 cases? 1 Items five, six, and seven are just agenda 1 2 2 MR. KORN: No. My motion is to extend the items. We -- you know, in light of the late hour, we 3 3 time period on all the cases that are currently before could postpone this until January or we can go through 4 the Law Enforcement Academy Board, to include the four 4 it now since we're all here. Does the board have a 5 cases that would be held in December, to include 5 feeling? 6 Mr. Pino, and to extend it for 45 days beyond what it 6 MR. KING: The feeling of the board? This 7 7 currently is. has been -- this was an emergency meeting. So if this 8 MR. SHANDLER: But to try to follow the 8 is something that will wait until our next regular 9 9 regulatory requirements. meeting, that doesn't seem to be a bad idea to me. 10 MR. KORN: Yes. But to try to follow the 10 But I'm happy to keep going if you guys want to keep 11 original regulatory requirements. 11 going. MR. KING: Okay. 12 12 MR. COON: Like Mr. Gratton I'm going to go 13 13 MR. GRATTON: I second. south. I don't know what the roads are going to look 14 MR. KING: We've got that motion written down 14 and seconded. Okay. You know what, I'm just -- all 15 15 MR. KING: He at least told me he's going to 16 in favor say aye. 16 go down to Carrizozo first and cut across. I've got 17 (Those in favor so indicate.) 17 to go through the canyon here one way or the other. MR. KING: All opposed. No. I'm still no. 18 So yeah. It sound like, Mr. Korn, you're 18 19 Okay. So the same group of votes. 19 willing to defer this to the next meeting. 20 MR. JACKSON: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. I do 20 MR. KORN: Yes. Yes, sir. I'm willing to --21 have one question on the practical effect of the 21 let me just make sure. Yes, sir. I'm willing to 22 motion. 22 defer these. I'll make them agenda items for the next 23 MR. KING: Well, we're sort of past it. But 23 hearing. 24 24 MR. KING: Okay. Anybody else on item No. 7, 25 25 MR. JACKSON: This is -- I'm not sure that board actions regarding upcoming hearings, et cetera, Page 171 Page 173 1 this was captured. But a hearing officer's was 1 et cetera? 2 2 report -- a hearing officer's report for Pino was MR. SHANDLER: Mr. Chairman. 3 issued. As Mr. Korn said, it was four days late. 3 MR. KING: Mr. Shandler. 4 Now, the time for objection on that is currently 4 MR. SHANDLER: I would propose this to the 5 5 running. Is that time also extended or is the board, that the chairman appoint a task force composed 6 deadline for objection on that still December 16th? 6 of people like the director or a designee, Mr. Ortiz 7 MR. KORN: In my opinion the timeline for 7 with his expertise, a board member, Mr. Radosevich, an 8 everything would be extended. So it would be extended 8 AG representative or designee, to study these issues. 9 9 by the -- for four days. I've been here a long time. Gregory Peck 10 MR. KING: Forty-five days. 10 could walk up to that podium. He's not going to solve 11 MR. KORN: Well, four days on the response. 11 the problems. They're structural problems. And let 12 MR. JACKSON: Okay. Understood. Thank you. 12 me explain what I think the task force should look at. 13 MR. KING: All right. 13 I'm going to use this flow chart that Mr. Korn provided. 14 MR. GRATTON: Mr. Chair, members of the 14 15 board, I need to depart. I've got to go to Carlsbad 15 I think the task force should look at the 16 for an interview. 16 role of the director and the staff and the AG prior to 17 MR. KING: We still have a quorum. 17 the, quote, unquote, pipeline, what their duties are. 18 MR. GRATTON: Thank you. 18 That's one. 19 MR. KING: Sorry to go this long. Thank you. 19 Number two, I think the task force should MR. GRATTON: Thank you all. Sorry. 20 20 look at timelines. Should there be hard timelines 21 MR. KING: Okay. The next item on the 21 from intake to the time that the director has to make 22 agenda. Or I mean actually we're still on agenda item 22 some type of written decision. No. 7. It looks like Mr. Korn still has motions. 23 23 Number three, I think that they should look 24 MR. KORN: I'd like to thank Mr. Gratton for 24 at removal of some of the steps. This board has 25 coming all the way up from Hobbs to attend. approximately 80 prosecutions or intakes a year. They

Page 174 | Page 176

have a step where there's an NCA that goes out, then an informal hearing, then an NFD, and then a statement of issues between the parties, and then the hearing. So that's five touches between the director and his prosecutor or the prosecutor.

My math is 80 times five, that's 400 touches for those cases. So you have to understand there is a structural load which leads to that. I think that's too many touches. And I think the task force should look at maybe consolidating some of those steps.

I think the task force, number four, should look at prehearing discovery. As Mr. Jackson pointed out, it's limited in the rules right now. And that may lead to some of the backlog. Maybe the hearing officer should be allowed more prehearing discovery.

Number five, the current rule allows the board -- the hearing officer does not have to follow the Rules of Evidence in the hearing. Maybe that's something that needs to be looked at based on the hearing officer's request today that they want some more formality.

Number six, maybe an expedited process when someone is a second offender. Maybe a show cause provision if someone violates their probation.

So I think those six things is what that task

proceedings.

So Mr. Chairman, forgive me for being so bold, but it's a late hour. I recommend as the staff attorney that the chairman appoint a task force, whatever composition you want, based on those general goals that can be expanded or limited to make a report to the January meeting to the board about how to improve the systemic issues with this important board that has such a high volume of cases.

MR. KING: You know, I think I probably have enough authority to do that because it's not any official action. But I'm not going to put anybody on the spot right here today, although we certainly had some real expertise here who spoke to us or not, either on the board or particularly specifically involved in cases right now.

And so to the extent that some of you all might be interested in doing that, we'll contact you. And unless there's objection from the board, we'll send a letter out to everybody on the board and let you know who is on the task force and who accepted.

But we are late at night. And I don't want to put anybody on the spot right now by appointing here. But it seems to me like that's a good recommendation. If that's good with you guys, maybe

Page 175

force should look at. I think, based on the mood in the room, I'm sure we could get a group together and give you a report by the January meeting and maybe even sketch out possible rule changes to move forward to the spring meeting.

I do think that everyone has to come to that task force willing to give a little bit. Some cases will have to be settled for lesser sentences because witnesses are impaired or deadlines are about to run.

I think there are some cases that may be lost, because if you're going to impose deadlines, the deadlines may not be met. The parties also have to give a little bit because they realize maybe the evidence is weak and the prosecutor is just not going to bring a weak case.

I think that everyone has to give a little bit. Some of the cases may require more witnesses than are traditionally called at administrative hearings. And if those witnesses can't be called, maybe the cases need to be settled in a lesser sanction.

And I think the parties also have to give a little bit that some cases may be okay to proceed even if you have one or two witnesses that are relying on hearsay, because hearsay is allowed in administrative

Page 177

we'll have something -- we'll make that an agenda item at our regular meeting. And we'll get this report from the task force and we'll have time to discuss it.

All right. Any further business to come before the board? Sheriff Coon.

MR. COON: Mr. Chairman, and I'm sure you all are all aware, and this is probably is not the right time. But we all got letters or emails from Jerrillyn Story from Capitan. And she wrote me one yesterday. And I don't know if I'm the only one that got this.

And I replied to her today -- or yesterday that I was coming up here to this meeting and I would try to pass this along. You know, I know we're not the Internal Affairs business. But I would like the board to maybe look at -- or the LEA look at it.

There is some -- and Capitan is such a small little community. And I think the Lincoln County Sheriff should stay out of this, but maybe get an agency in that vicinity to look into these allegations.

And again I know we're not in the IA business. But when you come from a little tiny town and the citizens think they've been wronged, somebody needs to look into it. And, you know, worst-case scenario I would send my guy over there to look into

1 it or somebody. But it needs to be from an agency 2 around there. 3 But I need to give this I just want to let 4 this lady know that I did bring this up. And 5 everybody has got her emails.	1 2 3 4	this too. ITEM NO. 8: ADJOURNMENT
2 around there. 3 But I need to give this I just want to let 4 this lady know that I did bring this up. And	3	ITEM NO. 8: ADJOURNMENT
3 But I need to give this I just want to let 4 this lady know that I did bring this up. And	3	
4 this lady know that I did bring this up. And		MR. KING: All right. I'll take a motion to
		adjourn.
J EVELYDOUY HAS GOT HEL CHIAIIS.	5	MR. COON: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to
6 MR. KING: Okay. Yeah, I think it may have	6	adjourn.
7 come to the AG's office too.	7	MR. BETZ: I'll second.
8 MR. COON: Oh, yeah. She sent it to	8	MR. KING: All those in favor say aye.
9 everybody. But I would like for us to maybe talk	9	(Those in favor so indicate.)
, ,	10	MR. KING: Any opposed. We're adjourned.
	11	(At 6:15 p.m. the hearing was adjourned.)
1 11	12	( p are areas and areas areas
1	13	
ĕ	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
, 6	20	
	21	
, 1	22	
	23	
	24	
ı	25	
Page 179		Page 181
1 about that.	1	
2 MR. KING: All right. Thank you, Sheriff	2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3 Coon. Chief Schultz.	3	I, JAN A. WILLIAMS, New Mexico CCR #14, DO
4 MR. SCHULTZ: Mr. Chairman, I believe item	4	HEREBY CERTIFY that on December 13, 2011, the
5 No. 6 as proposed on the agenda by Member Korn has	5	proceedings in the above captioned matter were taken
6 been tabled, that it at least be withdrawn before we	6	before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand
7 close the meeting.	7	the proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing
8 MR. KING: Did we table it or did we just	8	pages are a true and correct transcription to the best
0	9	of my ability.
10 MD MODN N 10 1 N 1	10 11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted
1	12	by the rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this
	13	case, and that I have no interest whatsoever in the
	14	final disposition of this case in any court.
	15	1
6	16	
16 didn't I think he got introduced to you guys	17	
17 previously. But I've brought on board in our office	18	
18 Dave Peterson who was the chairman of the House	19	
19 Judiciary Committee for a number of years and who has	20	TANA WHITTANG PPP
20 20 same years of ariminal local experience who will	21	JAN A. WILLIAMS, RPR
21 quite likely, when I'm not able to attend board	21	Bean & Associates, Inc. New Mexico CCR #14
	22	License Expires: 12/31/11
1	23	License Expires. 12/31/11
<u> </u>	24	
,	25	(2893K) JAW

	Page 182
1	RECEIPT
2	DATE: December 13, 2011
3	JOB NUMBER: (2893K) JAW
4	WITNESS NAME: Emergency Hearing
5	CASE CAPTION: DPS
6	**********
7	ATTORNEY: DPS/LOPEZ
8	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
9	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
10	REC'D BY: TIME:
11	**************************************
12	ATTORNEY: SHANDLER
13	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
14	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
15	REC'D BY: TIME:
16	
17	ATTORNEY:
18	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
19	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
20	REC'D BY: TIME:
21	***********
22	ATTORNEY:
23	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
24	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
25	REC'D BY:TIME:

#### 176:12 178:13 179:13 140:12 177:19 178:1 A actions 3:10 7:11,12,13 9:21 10:5 agencys 89:6 abbreviated 87:25 11:21 12:9 44:1 58:20 73:22 **agenda** 3:1,5 4:6 5:11,19 6:10,12 ability 17:10 71:12 90:6 140:17 147:10 150:9.12 172:25 13:20 17:24 19:13.14.17.18.20 181:9 20:3 38:7 69:1,3 78:25 91:2 active 60:13.17 ablation 91:14 actor 81:15 124:15 126:2 143:9,9,15,25 **able** 5:23 8:6 24:23,25 31:5 42:22 actors 16:13 144:9,11,15 148:20 149:10,14 48:15 58:23 66:4 73:24 86:21 actual 94:4 115:16 150:11,16 159:4 162:8 171:22 93:16,18 110:23 116:18 179:21 **ad** 156:4 171:22 172:1,22 177:1 179:5 **absolutely** 59:5 154:13 **add** 22:15 96:7 101:17 169:7,10 agents 178:23 abuse 83:13 105:19 107:4 **addition** 21:8 117:15 aggravated 92:14 **abusing** 105:23 additions 19:17 aggregate 21:17 **abysmal** 28:7,8,9 address 53:17.21 79:2 117:1 **aggressive** 79:25 112:16 128:21 academy 1:8,10 4:4 8:17 18:9,11 addressed 132:4 130:25 133:23 134:7,13,23,24 19:6 21:10 23:11 24:14 32:10,12 adjourn 179:12 180:4,6 aggressively 112:8 39:23 40:12,18 41:13,17 42:14 adjourned 32:13 180:10,11 ago 12:14 34:6 59:18 112:13 43:25 47:19 51:12 52:15,16,20 **adjournment** 3:12 29:12 32:21 142:14 160:15 57:14 72:9 76:23 81:2,10 83:19 180:2 **agos** 10:2 84:7,10,22 96:24 121:3 122:4 adjudicated 22:14 **agree** 15:22 32:18 38:10 43:11,22 130:9 136:21 145:18 170:4 adjustments 160:18 49:16,17 50:7,10,12 51:7 53:23 **academys** 128:25 **admin** 18:8 54:5 56:17 59:21 66:2 70:23 acceded 86:4 administer 44:12 77:8 79:5 95:2.15 113:9 115:17 **accept** 52:25 **administrative** 40:12 89:15.17 115:24 116:4.4 122:6 130:14 acceptable 14:9 46:7,15 139:24 132:13 162:21,23 163:10 164:23 150:17 152:21 **accepted** 176:21 175:18,25 agreed 14:18 23:18 32:9 access 107:8 157:8 admission 86:12 agreeing 70:24 accomplished 161:13 agreement 86:22 138:13,23 139:5 admit 27:14,15 48:25 49:1,8 account 21:2 137:19 139:5 139:19 accountability 96:4,6 **admitted** 27:14,17,17 88:2 agrees 17:7 49:17 **accountable** 73:21 75:5 admonish 8:4 **ags** 14:23 36:4,6 42:16 76:20 accurate 90:20 127:25 admonished 31:18 93:19 94:1 112:21 119:1,23 accusation 147:22 adopting 164:15 120:6 121:4 178:7 accusations 8:14 **advance** 109:13 **ahead** 38:8,9,14 46:24 68:16 **accuse** 99:18 advanced 92:4 103:22 107:13 121:12 136:17,19 accused 29:22,22 31:2 64:9 65:21 advantage 74:15 143:23 157:21 158:15 170:24 65:22 96:16 97:22 98:8 adverse 87:14 aint 106:12 109:4 **accusing** 148:25 advice 157:22 160:22 163:9,9 **air** 117:19 accustomed 128:20 133:23 134:7 166:19,20,22 **al** 164:10 134:23 135:7 **advise** 164:23 **alarm** 25:19 acknowledge 4:19 advised 33:6 **albuquerque** 1:10,11,24 18:15 act 7:8,12 36:21 37:13 81:19 82:1 **affairs** 74:20 75:18 107:23 109:3 25:20 26:20.22 29:5 84:5 89:12 82:3 83:12 127:16 147:17,25 177:14 96:9 105:10 107:10,25 110:15 149:1,11,13,22 **affirmative** 151:25 168:17 120:11.24 acted 139:7 ag 154:9 173:8,16 **alfred** 82:25 acting 13:5 18:11 59:14 67:22 **allegation** 13:25 67:22,23 agencies 40:19 77:1 111:20 81:3,14 146:1 114:15 138:4 **allegations** 14:14 16:11,16 177:20 action 6:7 42:7 45:2 75:22 76:11 agency 9:7 10:5 29:4 46:13 54:24 alleged 72:21 83:11 81:22 82:15 138:2,5,6,8 140:4 **allow** 12:5 49:16 57:17 131:9 84:21 89:2 128:24 131:22 138:2 149:21 152:11 165:23 169:16

asked 22:22 28:22.23 29:1.3.7.11 156:6 159:20.23.24 appearance 150:6 allowed 97:17 98:18 125:2 174:15 **appeared** 6:18 69:11 29:13 32:4,8 35:2,8,21 36:16 175:25 **appearing** 19:19 59:20 63:14 68:5,9 110:5 117:3 allowing 12:2 **appears** 7:23 19:12,15 96:11 **asking** 4:24 36:17 40:2,2 44:4 **allows** 5:21 56:23 163:17 164:16 52:1 56:16 59:23 64:3 67:6 71:4 148:3 174:16 applause 38:25 71:14 73:11 94:7.8.9 112:17 alter 161:7 165:24 **apple** 72:18 113:5 134:18 143:8,21 145:25 alteration 161:12 **applied** 31:14 146:3.3 altercation 25:22 **apply** 6:8 163:3 assailants 97:20 alternation 165:17 **appoint** 67:4 87:18 146:17 152:19 assaulted 62:6 alternatives 3:9 118:4 123:22 152:25 153:3 173:5 176:4 **assaulting** 56:5 57:12 124:15 143:16.19 144:9 148:13 **appointed** 13:6 15:4 34:3 81:4.5 assessment 80:6 165:1 87:19.23 101:19 136:21 145:15 **assign** 25:5 51:5 52:20,23 77:14 **ambulance** 104:11,12 108:2,6 146:2 154:11 144:19 145:8 146:5,24 148:7 **amend** 156:6 161:8,11 169:9 **amending** 163:15 **appointing** 80:18 176:23 152:7.13 154:20 amendment 161:13 165:17 assigned 19:3 65:2 77:11 117:10 appreciate 20:13 95:5,11 102:4,10 **amount** 45:4 75:8 134:18 142:15 102:10 160:20,22 165:8,9 122:15 144:25 145:6,11 160:21 **ana** 18:25 167:11 assigning 15:1 162:4 analogous 88:13 appreciation 130:19 assigns 24:16 analysis 39:12 41:11 59:25 60:1 approach 144:6 assistance 10:11 **assistant** 18:8 19:8 25:12 27:22 77:13 **appropriate** 8:10 17:4,11 49:7 **analyze** 77:5 161:19 57:21 58:13 64:14 69:9 88:12 associated 91:23 andres 95:18.24 97:20.21 131:20 133:1 139:5 145:2 associates 1:22 181:21 anecdotal 44:19 45:25 51:2 55:17 146:11 153:7,9 154:5,7,9 **association** 88:25.25 128:2.3 animosity 14:1 **appropriately** 50:19 77:3 132:8 **assume** 39:2 143:11 **announced** 33:15 34:11 36:2 **approval** 3:5 5:4 15:4,7 19:13,14 assuming 21:24 116:14 117:6,24 answer 29:17 35:22 46:19 51:8 **assure** 16:19 83:23 84:1,5,9,15 90:4 101:14 **approve** 4:5 19:19 115:2 118:14 **attached** 131:22 136:8 144:22,23 146:15 138:18 attaches 88:18 answers 60:5 **approved** 63:8 64:4 132:20 **attend** 122:16 171:25 179:21,22 antagonism 70:20 approving 116:15 attendance 122:5 anticipating 157:9 **approximately** 11:11 23:1 173:25 attending 125:9 anybody 10:17,18 38:20 60:21 **april** 92:11 94:6 159:14,18 attention 158:9 81:1 82:11 102:4 124:12 148:12 area 93:3 133:1.2 attorney 2:5 3:6 9:6 10:10,14,17 162:16 172:24 176:12,23 **arellanes** 97:21,21 10:21 13:22 14:2 15:1,13,17 **anymore** 38:12 arena 135:8 19:2,3,8,11 20:2,4 21:4,14 25:4 anyway 146:18 arent 37:17 68:7 166:6 29:11,12,13 32:3,23 38:1 39:24 **apart** 83:7 **argue** 70:12 41:2,12,19 42:12 43:13,16,17 **and** 26:23 57:10 85:21 92:13,17 **arguing** 26:3 49:21 50:6,9 51:7 52:20,24 54:9,12,16 **argument** 26:7 49:19 163:25,25 109:5 110:17.17 54:19 60:15 61:14 62:8,9,17 **apologize** 70:3 120:14 122:24 arm 55:6 108:7 63:24 65:15,22 66:2,3 67:23,24 146:13 arms 162:5 69:11 76:4 77:11,15 79:15 83:18 apologized 110:4 **army** 92:10 86:6 99:2.24 100:23 111:16 **apparently** 64:20 148:20 arrested 25:24 92:13 103:13 112:18 117:24 118:21 122:2,15 **appeal** 119:2,5,10,17 **arrests** 140:15 122:20 130:13 136:24 137:7.19 appealable 158:19 arsenio 65:3 139:2,3,10,21 140:24 144:18,25 appeals 15:15,15 145:5.10.14 146:9.9.22.23.23 art 139:13 **appear** 23:22 54:22,24 122:20 ascribable 87:21 147:1 151:6,23 152:13,15,22,25

153:7.11.17 154:6.8.20.21 155:6 160:20,21 165:12,15 167:4 168:15 176:4 182:7,12,17,22 attorneyclient 145:3 attorneys 15:18 32:5 51:5 65:22 66:25 74:1,14 77:17,19,22 112:19 113:6 131:12 145:5 153:1.17 181:12 attritioned 166:1 august 21:7 136:22,22 **authority** 9:7,17 15:4,7,18 43:14 45:21 53:12.24 54:6.15 56:12 96:23 121:15 122:2,12 156:22 156:23 162:25 163:6 164:25 165:2 166:16 176:11 available 8:16 88:8 90:7 124:7 129:17 150:1 152:23 154:2 162:3 average 74:11 averred 85:16 avoid 156:16 awards 92:7 106:25 aware 7:3 30:7 44:20 51:15 88:19 101:18 117:14 177:7 **awesome** 126:21 **awhile** 116:15 ave 19:24 147:11 154:24 169:19 170:16 180:8

#### B

**baby** 104:25 142:13

back 10:21 24:1 28:16 31:2,2 34:10,22 37:21 43:19 48:23 49:6 49:14,14 51:8,19,21,24 54:25 65:17 67:18 75:9.13 76:4 85:19 91:21 102:13 103:5 105:4,24 106:3.14 107:6 109:15 111:8.9 114:19 118:6 119:12 123:20 124:9 125:5 126:1 127:13 132:15 133:6,24 136:23 137:8 137:14,19,23 140:15 155:24 159:20 160:1 161:18,25 164:21 166:10 169:22,22,23 **background** 15:2 28:5 46:8 58:21 104:8 127:18,20 153:22 **backlog** 10:15,23 11:7 12:19,25 40:5 67:14 69:4,7 98:21 129:13 137:2 139:15 174:14

backlogged 99:5,6 103:9 112:11 137:4 155:14,15 backup 11:9 **bad** 12:2,4 16:12 24:10 55:10 72:11,11,18 94:22 101:3 105:6,9 138:5 141:24 172:9 **badge** 24:19,21,21 113:19 **ball** 122:19 **banter** 67:18 **bar** 27:18,19 127:21 129:3 baryshnikov 148:17 **base** 25:12 **based** 6:19 13:25 58:7,8,10 59:11 117:8 130:22 131:21 159:11 174:19 175:1 176:5 **basic** 29:23 **basically** 14:4 21:19 22:16,22 26:1 26:22 46:5 62:16 68:3 69:9 91:17 92:1 93:12 134:14 144:2 basis 69:15 117:7 128:1 **bathroom** 109:15,18 123:19,25 battalion 92:10 bean 1:22 181:21 bear 38:13 87:8 beat 71:16 73:7 105:24 **beaten** 103:13 **beating** 145:24 146:16 beatings 98:13 **beg** 49:16 began 11:5 **beginning** 21:20 45:11 79:23 **begun** 23:6 **behalf** 114:6 179:22 **belabor** 111:7 113:20 148:19 **belief** 25:9,10,11 believe 13:2 37:2,17 53:6,6 65:23 66:19 79:18 85:10 94:5 108:18 115:11 124:23 129:3 152:20 167:1 168:25 179:4 believes 17:7 **belt** 103:22 benefit 27:10 bereft 25:19 55:8 bernalillo 97:17 best 38:19.20 49:17 51:11 52:1 66:3 90:6 100:22 123:7 153:3 181:8 **bet** 48:16

31:19 41:5 44:22 49:1 50:3 61:7 95:6 106:7 108:16 139:12,14 betz 2:6 18:24,24 19:21 151:13,14 168:9,10 180:7 **beyond** 30:1 75:24 107:20 120:5 170:6 **biennium** 132:18 **big** 10:23 22:3 97:14 **bill** 2:12 18:10 34:13.23 35:8 49:18,21 108:10 111:9 139:16 144:19 146:5 152:7 153:21 154:20 **bills** 104:11,12 108:3 **bit** 4:4 5:12 7:16,18 43:4 62:25 63:13 71:1 89:13 91:21 95:8 102:21,24 115:20 121:13 123:2 124:14 127:20 129:10 166:7 175:7,13,17,23 **bits** 17:12 **black** 100:12 **blah** 110:18.18.18 blame 88:18 111:13 122:24 **blamed** 68:4 **blatant** 65:23 **board** 1:8 2:4 3:10 4:4 5:4,8,21,22 5:25 6:2,14,22,23 7:6,11,20 8:13 8:17 9:9,13,16,21 10:16 11:9,17 11:17,21,23 12:2,6 16:5,15 18:13 19:9,12 20:11 21:2,5,6 22:21 23:10,11,18,21 24:16 25:2 25:17 26:5,10,10 27:11,12 28:11 31:17,18 32:10 33:1,20 34:12,13 34:18 35:15,21 36:3,11,12,14,17 37:5,7,9,9,11,22 38:2,10,14,16 38:17 39:5 40:10,10,11,13,18,20 40:21,25 42:11 43:25 44:8 45:1 47:19 49:12 50:14,23 51:12,17 51:19 52:16,21,24 53:1,2,12,20 53:23,24 54:2,3,5,8,21 55:1,2,2 55:2,3,6,7,14,23 57:2 58:17,23 59:14,16,19,21 60:5,8,13,17,21 61:3,4,6,8,10,10,11 65:5,6 68:9 70:24 71:8,18 72:6,9 73:5,9,16 73:17,22 75:13 76:23 77:5 78:6 78:14 79:2,10,24 80:7,10,11 81:18.20 82:4 83:4 86:23 87:18 87:22 88:6,19,24 89:24 90:12,16

**better** 11:20 12:4 13:17 20:8

info@litsupport.com

90:17 91:22.23 92:23 95:10 98:3 98:5 100:8,9,15,23,24 101:19,22 106:14 109:11,17,19 110:6 112:6 113:18 114:5 115:14.17 118:7,7,14,19 119:7,8 121:9,15 121:19 122:2,4 123:6,15,25 124:11,11,18 125:12,19 126:6 126:21 127:4,16 128:16 129:24 129:25 131:7 132:6,10,20 133:15 135:25 136:1,23,25 138:17,18,18 139:25 144:9,15 144:20.24 145:13.16.17.19.21.21 145:22 146:2,4,7,18,22 147:9,19 147:22 148:4,21 150:4,9,12,17 151:1 152:24 153:10 154:3 155:24 156:6,13 157:14,19,21 158:4 159:5,13,13 160:13,21,24 161:3,7,14 162:1,19,25 164:13 164:16,21 165:18 166:14,15 170:4 171:15 172:4,6,25 173:5,7 173:24 174:17 176:7,8,15,19,20 177:5,15 179:17,21 **boards** 19:3 23:12 80:1 81:19.25 119:6 **body** 71:11 **bold** 165:22 176:3 **bone** 42:10 **bones** 55:12 **book** 100:12 **boosted** 83:14 **borne** 79:17 **boss** 44:2 67:23 105:13 **bosses** 72:17 **bothered** 112:11 **bottom** 27:23 73:9 **bought** 109:6 **brake** 104:22 brandnew 21:20 break 109:15,18 123:19,25 124:7 124:8 125:5 **brevity** 102:11 **brian** 83:18 **brief** 103:3 114:16 **briefly** 111:7 **bring** 12:4 26:16 36:5 38:4,5,13 47:13 54:1 70:1 72:24 141:25 142:9 175:15 178:4 **bringing** 9:21 20:23,25 28:12 51:1

**brings** 54:7,11 127:16 broadcast 88:9 **brought** 14:20 16:11.16 26:19 28:17 32:22 77:20 179:17 **buckled** 104:21 **built** 39:8 **bullet** 159:1 **bumps** 114:7 **bunch** 44:15 164:6 bureau 142:1 **bus** 108:21 126:16 **buses** 108:23 **bush** 145:24 146:16 busiest 23:12 **business** 24:6 177:4,14,22 businessman 58:25

#### C

**cadets** 142:6 **calendar** 78:16 157:16 158:14 calendared 158:13 california 15:11 **call** 3:3,4 4:1,2 5:5 17:22,22,25 29:2 34:4,10 46:24 47:1 85:2,19 85:20 103:4,5,5 107:12,20 108:6 108:8 110:22 120:5 123:19 138:12 151:4 167:24,24 callbacks 33:15 **called** 5:17,20,25 6:2 8:9 13:21 26:8 28:24 29:14 32:4 33:12.13 33:13 34:9 57:14 72:5 83:8.19 88:14 103:11 110:14,14 175:18 175:19 **calling** 5:21 14:9 26:8 33:11 104:10 **calls** 33:16 165:22 **camera** 142:9 **cameras** 106:10 campaign 20:22 cancelled 158:5 cant 23:8 36:14 49:7 72:11 108:5 108:8,17 109:12 110:6 121:20 148:15 154:23 157:19 159:8,17 160:18 164:1 175:19 **canyon** 172:17 **capability** 59:25 167:3 **capable** 50:6 69:11 159:22 163:9 capacity 178:22

caption 182:5 captioned 181:5 captured 62:5 171:1 car 108:15 card 66:16 87:8 care 10:24 92:2 113:21 career 127:5 129:6 careers 24:3 72:17 careful 8:17 carefully 169:24 **carlsbad** 171:15 carrizozo 172:16 carry 23:13,14 24:22 25:3 43:7 carrying 24:25 case 15:16 22:14 25:11,11,17,18 25:20 26:1,11 28:19,19,22 29:2 29:14,16,18 30:5,18,23,24,25 31:1,1,7,17,21,23 32:3,12 37:23 41:11,15 45:24 48:8 50:17 51:6 51:12,18,25 52:3,9,12 53:25 55:18,25 56:6,23,25 61:14,16,16 61:25 62:2.4.25 63:11.13.15 64:7,21 66:13 68:4,7 70:11 74:14,18,19,21,23 75:15,18,19 78:11 82:23,25,25 84:9,14,22 85:4,22 87:24 88:14 90:2,5 93:19,22 94:3,10 97:1 104:6 107:18 109:13 110:6 112:14 114:21 115:5.25 116:2 118:20 119:4 137:10,15 138:3,8,9 140:4 140:10 145:12,15 146:24 148:8 149:20 153:11 155:22,23 156:1 156:15 157:23 158:18 159:8.8 164:11,20,20 167:6,13,16 175:15 181:13,14 182:5 caseload 76:3 79:21 81:17 116:21 cases 3:11 7:20,21,23,25 8:3 9:15 11:2,2,6,8,10,12,14,16,22 12:11 12:12,13 14:19 15:2 21:4 22:1,4 22:4,12,17,22,23,25 23:1,7 24:18 25:14 27:22,24,24,25 28:15,15,16 30:2,18 33:10 34:16 34:23,24 35:1,25 36:18,23,24 37:6,15,16,20,20 38:11 39:11,13 40:3,5,6,13 41:3,5,7,22,23 42:3 42:9 44:11,15,20,25 45:6,17,23 47:5,21,24 48:1,15 49:2,3,4,12

capitan 177:9,16

**choking** 97:22 49:13,20 50:16,17,19,21,23 51:1 **certify** 23:16 181:4,10 51:19 52:6 54:7,12 55:17 67:14 cetera 147:11 150:13 172:25 **choose** 15:18 105:13 67:15 68:13,16,17 69:19,21,23 173:1 **chose** 69:1 82:14 87:24 105:12 **chair** 9:3 46:14 79:1,9 151:7 **chronology** 62:22 63:12,14,18 70:8,13 72:23 73:8,18,25 74:2,3 74:5,7,9,11,13,16 75:2,4,14,21 171:14 64:7 75:22,25 76:18 77:10,12,15,18 **chairman** 5:22 8:1.18 12:18 17:18 circle 25:21.23 77:20,24 78:15 79:12,13 80:23 19:11 20:6,7 38:3 43:25 51:24 circuit 15:10,15 82:14,22 84:16 85:4,23 96:2 55:2 73:10,13 78:24 124:21 **circumstances** 6:6 17:11 164:3 98:22,23 103:9,10 105:25 125:4,21,24 126:5 130:13 circumstantial 148:9 107:24 111:21 112:11 113:14 133:14 136:16 144:6,8 147:20 **citizen** 18:18 97:6 98:9 114:6,25 115:6,10,11,12 117:22 147:21 149:6 160:16 163:11 citizens 24:4 97:11 98:8 99:17,19 126:9 128:15 129:13 130:4 164:9 166:3.8 170:20 173:2.5 103:8 106:6.20 107:1 112:4.6 138:21,22 139:6,12 144:20,21 176:2,4 177:6 178:22 179:4,18 145:8.23 146:5 147:10 150:10 180:5 city 26:21 31:14,23 104:10 108:18 chairmans 5:12 150:13,19 152:8 153:12,23 108:23 154:22 155:8,11,11,14,15,16 chairs 8:23 civil 10:3 19:2 61:17 96:12 98:3 157:13 158:2,20 161:21,23 challenge 52:10 98:10 127:22 129:7 162:2,5 163:1,4,8 164:1 165:19 **chance** 7:6 50:1 78:17 85:7 101:14 civilian 18:23 26:2,9 165:24 166:1,1 167:14 168:22 101:15 civilians 61:5 170:1,3,5 174:7 175:7,10,17,20 chances 75:9 **claim** 69:9 175:23 176:9.16 **change** 13:17 14:8 73:10 111:17 claimed 32:3 catch 78:17 99:2 **clarify** 79:11 117:2,3 118:12 160:25 163:3,19 164:1,2,14,19 catches 158:9 165:2.5 120:21 **changes** 127:1 131:2,10,11 164:17 **class** 92:8 categories 118:1 catheterization 91:15 175:4 **clause** 164:3 cause 39:21 41:16,22 153:10 **channel** 102:23 106:11 clear 5:18 29:20 43:14 50:25 174:23 characterize 70:19 54:10,11 72:21 73:19 75:3 78:18 caused 13:14 25:19 44:7 68:6 **charge** 72:25 108:6 135:9 80:23 89:6 99:20 110:10 121:10 **charged** 57:1,11 80:10 89:19 causes 73:2,3 94:23,23 121:14 136:4 143:13 166:12 causing 104:22 105:24 126:21 131:7 clearcut 26:5 **cautious** 142:17 **chart** 9:24 17:16 40:16 158:2 **clearer** 166:18 ccr 1:22 181:3.21 173:13 **clerk** 26:6,7,8,8 83:10,11,15 **clerks** 83:16 **cell** 33:13 **chase** 71:13 **center** 106:12 chastised 61:8.11 **client** 53:15,19,19 86:19 166:21 **clients** 166:21 **central** 108:21 **chaves** 18:20 **ceremony** 125:18 **check** 104:8 **clock** 82:16,17 certain 15:13 31:24 75:8 142:14 **chick** 108:8 **close** 137:15 139:12 157:3 179:7 148:8 157:7 chicks 104:24 105:23 108:11 closed 8:7,10,11 86:4 109:4 **closely** 129:16 certainly 9:16 26:14 80:24 102:1 chides 6:15 126:20 128:12 148:9 153:24 **chief** 6:20 10:17 17:20,24 18:14 **closest** 80:17 176:13 18:16.24 57:13 73:14 76:25 78:4 **cloud** 24:14 68:19,20,22 72:14 **certificate** 132:23 181:2 78:8,19 98:1,21 132:19 136:23 certificates 132:25 137:23 148:15 149:7 151:9,13 clouding 70:21 **certification** 54:4 61:17 80:14 151:15 164:4,10 166:9 168:7,9 coffman 4:11 84:19 94:8 133:8 168:13 179:3 cognizant 8:2 **certifications** 9:8 17:8 23:14 **chiefs** 11:19 18:15 85:21 88:25 **cold** 108:25 24:25 43:15 75:8 80:11 coldhearted 109:10 128:2,3 142:1 certified 99:11 **children** 93:3,5,8 collections 108:2

**come** 14:12 22:16,23 49:2 68:9 completely 134:14 69:22 77:6 86:21 97:17 98:7,17 **compliance** 6:15,16 101:2 102:18 104:9 106:11,12 complicated 82:18 complications 91:16 106:24 108:11 109:11,15 118:22 compliment 128:16 123:13,20 124:24 127:4 129:18 129:23 130:4 135:25 136:1 complimented 22:7 complimenting 22:8 158:17 175:6 177:4,22 178:7 comes 24:13 40:19 48:8 72:8 composed 173:5 80:17 128:21 132:14 138:14 composition 176:5 145:23.25 compounding 89:22 **comfortable** 86:19 150:7 comprise 117:25 **coming** 20:11 34:12 35:12 49:8 concede 51:22 77:1 79:15 82:8 89:5 112:15 conceded 49:23 163:4 171:25 177:12 conceivably 163:18 concern 6:24 20:14 41:16,22 96:6 commendation 92:9 comment 3:8 15:21 38:8 39:1 147:16,23 148:2 149:3,12 153:9 42:22 43:20.20 79:4 85:25 90:25 **concerned** 7:19 8:13 14:15 34:24 91:3 95:20 102:10 109:18 118:5 36:22 37:14,15,16 96:5 103:8 123:17 124:13,25 125:9,10,13 106:19 131:7 155:10 125:14,22 126:2 164:19 concerning 149:13 commented 166:16 concerns 6:13 32:23 33:3.3 35:4 comments 6:20 9:3 19:18 39:8 155:15.16 162:24 164:15 43:11 127:17 143:2.8.10.12 concert 57:16 commissioner 71:21 conclusion 57:24 commissions 81:25 concur 165:1 **commit** 26:14 116:18,20 condense 114:15 committed 26:13 condolences 5:8 **committee** 38:25 143:2 144:3 conduct 84:7 126:13 129:17 154:19 157:4 179:19 **conducted** 134:17 157:15 committing 28:3 confidence 68:21 71:8,11,19 73:6 **common** 116:1 146:19 communicated 29:16 conflict 51:16.23 **communication** 28:9 29:20 30:15 confusing 40:8 communities 97:13 conjecture 30:4 community 21:9 24:8 100:1 connecticut 27:18 102:24 108:16 177:17 connection 84:13 **company** 55:2,3 consider 78:15 129:24 154:1 **compel** 122:5 164:17 compelled 163:24 **consideration** 138:1 146:10 competitive 92:5 **consistent** 135:5 164:24 compiled 41:5 consolidating 174:10 complained 46:10 consultation 84:20 complaining 83:2 contact 29:8 93:22 101:23 120:1 complaint 92:20,25 93:7 109:11 120:16 176:18 128:2 **contacted** 34:7 94:1 **complaints** 26:22 69:16 contacting 120:17 complemented 128:24 contained 163:17

contemporaneous 127:15 **content** 131:14 **contention** 42:11 55:13 contents 74:22 **continuance** 86:4 159:10 continuances 128:17 **continuation** 32:15 138:16 **continuations** 138:15,20,22 **continue** 33:20 37:6 45:11 54:20 136:5 153:8 **continues** 15:19 40:25 contracted 181:11 contributing 81:17 **conversation** 10:22 35:2 40:25 71:22 78:1 144:12.13 conversations 6:19 46:4 **convicted** 36:25 107:19 133:3 **coon** 2:6 4:7,10 18:20,20 53:6 64:18,19,20,22,25 65:5 70:10 71:2,2,4,6,21,25 72:3 90:9,11,14 90:15,22 100:6,7 101:5,10,16 125:21 126:11,14,16 151:2,3,11 151:12 168:11.12 169:18 172:12 177:5,6 178:8,14 179:3 180:5 **cop** 103:17 107:11 108:3,9 **copies** 74:23 **cops** 72:11,12 96:17 103:12 105:5 105:6,9,19 106:17 107:1,9,18,20 108:11 corales 97:23 **corp** 153:6 **correct** 56:6,7 57:5 63:25 64:12 65:10 90:14 97:23 109:22 118:17 121:16.23 162:13 164:7 181:8 **corrections** 13:10 81:13 **correctly** 7:17 62:22 91:7 126:13 costales 98:3 **couldnt** 35:8 93:14 130:12 138:16 140:8.17 counsel 85:7,12 86:19 88:1 **country** 5:1 96:13 **county** 4:12,24 18:21 97:18 142:2 177:17 **couple** 4:7 5:10 6:8 9:3 46:13 59:17 64:13 78:5 91:3 117:23 129:23 131:19 137:20 course 12:17 40:10 55:6 83:13

**complete** 92:9 159:5

**contemplated** 42:7 81:22 82:15

138:15 153:19 163:5,5 168:23 169:2,7,10 170:6 60:13 61:7 court 1:23 15:12,15,15 49:11 171:3,9,10,11 defer 172:19,22 75:10 85:22.24 107:17 119:2.6 deadline 157:7 158:19 171:6 **defers** 53:24 119:17 134:21 157:23 181:14 **deadlines** 155:17 157:10 159:16 **define** 11:14 **definitive** 57:15 58:18 **courteous** 32:7 130:10 175:9.11.12 courtroom 134:12 deal 7:2,14 13:9 53:18 62:11 69:4 **degree** 92:4.4 courts 16:24 119:11 69:7 71:10 74:19 75:6 76:5 77:6 **delay** 32:4,6,8,9,11,19 39:10 68:4 81:1 93:25 130:3 138:13 153:16 68:6 77:14 87:20 **cover** 6:12 create 38:17 148:5 163:14 delays 91:18 94:15 creating 42:7 **dealing** 4:9 70:5 73:18 113:13 **deld** 182:9,14,19,24 credibility 83:6,14 129:4 131:8 141:5 delegate 9:17 **crime** 28:4 37:1 **dealt** 66:23 74:4 116:6 129:25 delegated 80:11 **criminal** 14:20 25:11 30:1 37:7,8 death 71:16 73:8 **delivered** 182:9,14,19,24 **delve** 129:9 37:8 61:16 77:9 92:20,25 93:7 deaton 83:8 demand 6:7 154:7 decades 20:21 127:19 129:3 134:12,21 135:10 **december** 1:9 7:4 13:1 34:24 35:1 135:15 141:3 178:25 179:20 demeanor 141:6,6,7,12 142:17 criminals 141:5 49:4,20 68:17 77:16 78:12 86:23 demonstrating 47:14 **critical** 131:1,15 87:6 116:11 135:16 144:21 **denial** 161:4 crux 98:18 150:20.22 152:1 155:5 158:3 **denied** 92:19 93:7.17 **cuba** 31:4 66:6 160:15 170:5 171:6 181:4 182:2 **dense** 161:16 **cuffed** 104:2 decertification 14:22 54:6 **deny** 80:13 decertified 16:12 **denying** 85:12 **current** 3:9 12:12 81:12 123:23 124:16 132:18 143:16,19 144:9 **decertify** 37:10 96:23 112:2 **depart** 171:15 **decide** 54:18,23 58:2 61:15 119:18 **department** 18:15 29:5 30:22 31:3 174:16 **currently** 22:25 132:10 156:12 148:11 150:17 151:1 163:7 31:4,15 34:9 57:7,22 63:4 74:20 158:3 163:20 170:3,7 171:4 **decided** 30:8 31:17 32:12,17 58:1 75:22 84:5 89:12 97:18,23,25 **customer** 25:22,24,25 58:7,9,15 60:24 61:21 69:20 110:15 127:14 132:16 cut 71:13 88:15 172:16 79:24 155:4 156:1,8 **departments** 24:1,24,24 30:20,21 decides 48:11 55:7 96:19 D deciding 48:13 **depends** 11:13 **da** 25:12 27:22 decision 16:5 26:11 33:19 35:3,6 **deputy** 57:13 81:6,9 85:20 164:10 **damned** 108:6 35:18 51:4 58:24 80:1 89:15 describe 57:4 damon 91:13 92:1,13,14,25 93:3 117:17 119:6,14 129:11 133:14 described 31:14 34:14 47:6 dancing 148:17,17 139:24 146:11 159:13 173:22 desert 105:8.8 danger 93:4 decisions 23:8 59:8 69:19 99:10 **deserve** 16:21 dark 12:6 130:15 161:24 designed 13:21 data 11:8.9 17:12 89:13 dedication 4:19 **designee** 173:6,8 database 137:16 deeds 107:1,2 desk 23:2 31:8 41:8,9 44:11 **date** 157:16 159:18 182:2,9,14,19 deem 161:11 despite 82:4 182:24 detail 33:4 **deems** 161:9 dates 105:16,17 158:7,20,23 **deep** 28:13 48:17 96:9 **detailed** 118:6 127:25 164:21 **deeper** 129:10 deteriorated 22:5

> **defend** 48:20 68:22 70:14 **determination** 14:7 40:22 49:10 defendant 134:14 53:4 54:1 56:13,22 59:11 60:6 defending 44:1 60:23 61:3,19 69:20 94:10 **defense** 14:20 32:3,5 118:21 145:11,17 127:21 156:14 **determine** 14:5 34:2 39:13 45:15 **defensive** 31:10,13 56:3 57:3,4,6 45:19 53:13 54:15.21 88:12 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third St. NW, Albuquerque NM 87102

dave 179:18,24

163:19 164:1

day 86:7 113:9 137:2 159:22

days 22:17 23:5 65:16 114:13

142:14 156:1,2 157:16,21

158:21 159:7.24 160:10.15

122:13 63:23 76:14 111:23 120:4,23 **document** 40:19 63:6 182:8.13.18 **determined** 15:16 32:19 60:21 122:15 182:23 61:18 dirty 105:8 documentary 89:24 determiner 54:3 **disagree** 53:11 80:6,8 documents 44:24 66:18 90:2 determines 146:4 **disciplinary** 11:20 81:11,16,22 121:21 148:5.24 doesnt 26:13 36:10 38:12 45:9 develop 77:4 127:7 138:2 developed 73:22 **discipline** 80:10 84:19 127:15 47:23 51:24 53:2 85:25 91:7 developing 83:9 137:17 100:17 102:16 105:13 165:18,19 **devolved** 117:13,17 disclosed 46:20 166:17 167:13 172:9 didnt 4:10 8:9 12:15 26:13,16 discovery 65:9,10,11,12,13,15,20 doing 5:15 9:1 11:20 13:7 28:1 28:23 29:2,3,8,9,11 36:2,4 50:7 65:20 86:5,10,10,22 119:13 32:19 33:22 35:10 39:20 41:17 45:9 47:15 49:6 53:3 74:7 77:11 60:25 63:1 70:7.8 72:13.20 174:12.15 **discretion** 150:4 161:2 166:14 83:11 93:14 100:10,16,25 102:4 77:20 107:1.2 112:19 113:3 discuss 7:7,15,21 8:1 162:14 177:3 115:7,16 116:11,15 120:17 103:3,10,24 104:16 110:14,16 110:20 116:18 123:17 124:5 **discussed** 7:23 8:25 76:13 147:19 129:1 130:7 156:12 159:22 125:1,15 134:17 136:9 138:10 162:11 176:18 142:19 149:13 153:14,24 162:11 discussing 136:5 **dont** 6:22 7:14,22 10:15 14:15 162:12 179:16 discussion 7:19 8:3 9:5 10:6 11:25 15:24 16:14,23 19:16 22:7,17 die 179:13 13:13 17:14 48:19.19 54:18 24:9 33:24 35:14 38:23,25 42:2 **differ** 129:2 68:15 71:23 123:22 142:22 43:7,21 44:13 47:2,7 48:18 49:20 50:1.9 51:22 53:22 59:21 **difference** 102:16 131:16 146:21 147:15 148:4 150:1.2 **different** 16:25 43:5 47:12 66:13 151:4 162:9.15 166:5.7 167:18 61:5 62:1 64:1 67:12 68:16 69:8 69:5 77:15 102:15 135:3.8.8 **discussions** 6:21 10:8 12:17 69:17.20 71:11.18 76:15 83:16 147:16,24 148:11 149:10,18 146:8 84:15,16 85:10 87:5 88:17 89:17 differently 127:21 dishonesty 79:25 90:4,15 94:2,3 95:6,9,21 97:7,9 difficult 33:24 disks 182:8,13,18,23 98:25 99:19 100:20 101:2,3,7,24 dislocated 103:14 104:2,18 108:9 difficulties 13:14 103:15 105:21 106:9,15,16,20 difficulty 39:19 dislocates 108:3 107:4,18 108:10 111:25 112:25 dismiss 29:15 **direct** 55:5 144:17,24 145:14 116:16 118:2 119:1,16,19 120:7 146:15,15,22 152:25 153:10 dismissal 29:13 122:23 123:16 124:12.25 125:17 178:12 dismissed 32:20 37:23 83:1 125:25 126:2 127:13 129:13,14 131:20 136:11 137:9 138:9 directed 167:5 disposal 97:24 direction 73:19 75:3 126:11 disposed 6:3 139:11 146:14 148:15 152:16,24 127:24 128:17 disposition 181:14 154:6 156:23 157:13 160:4.7.8 **dispute** 65:9,10,11,12,14,14,16,20 **directly** 165:10 160:12,21 161:17 162:2,21 **director** 9:19,20 10:3,4 12:18 13:4 86:5,16,22 165:15,25 166:25 168:25 172:13 13:5,6,10,12 18:11 40:20,21 disrespect 109:12 176:22 177:10 178:12,20 42:19 43:12,15,21 46:21 53:19 **disservice** 32:25 59:15 door 123:1 125:6 54:7,11,14,17 55:4,5,6 62:9 67:1 distance 97:12 **dotted** 22:19 distances 97:13 73:20,24 74:10 75:3,6 76:5,11 doubled 89:4 76:22 80:12,13,19,21 81:3,4,5,7 distillation 22:10 doubt 30:2 66:1 75:25 81:14,21 82:9,11,16 84:18 85:20 distinction 24:20 **downside** 167:13 88:23 89:20 93:13,18,20 95:25 district 15:12 77:21 119:2,6,11,17 **downtown** 105:22 117:11,21,23 122:13 126:20 119:17 **dps** 182:5,7 **division** 10:4 19:3 136:20 139:8.19 140:23 142:1 draft 62:7 63:22 117:14 145:3,10,20,20,22 146:1 159:10 **divorces** 73:2 94:23 **drafted** 117:15 173:6.16.21 174:4 178:19.20.22 **docket** 77:16 79:20 **drafting** 117:13,16 directors 13:15 42:3 62:16,21 doctor 21:10 88:15 100:23 drastically 79:22

emergent 164:3 draw 57:24 139:23 events 27:3.4 drive 47:15,24 108:5,21 emotional 105:19 eventually 86:22 **employed** 31:11 57:5,8,18 181:10 driver 29:22 30:8 **everybody** 5:14 9:9,11 17:5,7 18:2 18:5.7 54:5 55:12 57:19 60:18 drivers 16:7 17:1 133:8 **employer** 59:14 60:13 68:1 79:7 91:22 111:8 130:17 driveway 92:14 employing 84:21 **driving** 29:22,24 30:14,16 104:20 **employs** 145:20 150:2.7 153:15 157:4 176:20 **drop** 122:19 empowered 53:1 178:5,9 **dropped** 104:6 107:18 enacted 38:21 everybodys 55:15 **drove** 120:10 **encourage** 47:13 139:4 140:1 evidence 26:12,15 28:9 30:1,3,4 **due** 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 154:1 30:13 31:16,20 44:19 46:1 48:2 60:14 66:1 85:13 91:19 97:7 encouraged 14:3 48:4 56:6,9,22 57:23 58:8,9 59:6 encouraging 149:23 116:5 119:16 146:10 164:5 59:8.10.12 60:18 61:1.9.15.19 **ended** 94:18 **duties** 81:4 116:17 173:17 75:24 77:20 128:11 129:8 **enforcement** 1:8 4:3,21 8:16 17:9 130:25 131:14 133:4 134:13.17 **duty** 25:24 dwi 27:25 29:23,23 85:23 114:21 18:9,11 19:5 21:8,10,18 23:11 148:10 156:4 174:18 175:14 133:2 23:15 24:8 25:1 32:10 37:3 evidentiary 80:9 **dying** 108:24 38:18 39:23 40:12,17 41:13,17 exactly 12:22 30:5 40:14 57:14 42:14 43:25 47:19 51:12 52:15 142:12 168:18  $\mathbf{E}$ 52:16.20 76:23 82:3 91:24 95:3 exam 27:18.19 earlier 35:9 74:5 137:23 96:17 97:2,3 113:7,15 121:3 examination 87:9 ease 82:9 122:4 127:19 136:21 137:9.11 **examine** 48:15 156:15 easier 128:19 139:11 170:4 **example** 89:12 **easiest** 74:19 ensure 126:22 140:18 exasperation 35:13 easily 161:15 **exceed** 167:15 enter 23:5 east 4:12 entered 25:21 exceedingly 128:25 easy 74:25 77:16 139:19 entire 32:12,13,20 34:9 49:5 61:10 excellent 17:23 95:14 110:25 editorial 6:14 15:23 16:5,7 61:10,11 74:21 75:19 111:10 142:21 editorialize 16:2 entirely 81:2 excepted 181:11 educate 112:4 entitled 16:17 50:11 65:22 69:18 **excess** 65:21 educated 112:23 excessive 32:18 56:14 57:1 58:6 159:6.13 **effect** 21:25 170:21 envelope 42:15 60:22 effective 133:13 **equally** 154:21 excuse 63:17 79:1 98:2 113:1 **effectively** 50:21,22 68:13 **equivalent** 157:15 159:6 161:6 170:20 effectiveness 51:10 ernest 2:13 19:4 excusing 44:17 effects 21:23 erratically 104:20 executive 95:25 145:3,10 **effort** 167:11 erred 120:10 **exhibits** 64:8 182:8,13,18,23 effusive 152:12 error 65:23 84:23 153:10 156:7 exhilarating 126:18 **eight** 115:10 132:14 escalated 14:2 **existed** 100:10 either 5:22 12:1 49:25 59:22 especially 167:1 existence 163:23 134:13 145:4 176:15 essential 81:15 **expand** 118:18 elements 29:23 expanded 176:6 **essentially** 49:13 60:24 64:10 **email** 114:12 116:13 120:2 85:22 168:21 **expect** 100:2 **emails** 177:8 178:5 establish 29:21 99:15 expectations 126:25 embarrass 36:4.6 **expected** 91:17 131:15 153:19 established 164:20 **embarrassing** 20:19 72:24 et 147:11 150:13 172:25 173:1 expecting 78:12 **emergency** 1:8 4:3 6:5 19:17 **ethical** 146:25 expects 23:17 20:11 36:3,5,16 37:18 68:10 **evening** 136:18 expedite 113:4 172:7 182:4 event 60:20 **expedited** 130:3 174:22

**experience** 4:21 14:24 21:21,22 25:10 27:11,12,22 34:15 45:4,5 45:5 47:23 48:6,13 57:3 100:22 126:19 127:5 130:22 134:11 179:20 **experienced** 25:5 34:19 45:10 65:15 86:6 **expert** 88:5,11,14 **expertise** 42:21 80:8 173:7 176:14 **expires** 181:22 **explain** 173:12 **explained** 33:3,3,18 34:17 explanation 142:13 explicitly 86:14 exposing 140:5 express 130:19 153:8,14 expressed 6:13 expressing 49:9 **extend** 37:22 155:20 159:8 162:1 163:1 168:21 169:25 170:2,6 **extended** 127:14 171:5,8,8 **extending** 156:10,11,12 157:20 **extends** 158:21 extension 169:1 **extensions** 161:1 166:13 **extent** 131:9 176:17 extra 102:21 extremely 130:9 F

face 80:2 103:18 104:18.22 **facilitate** 130:10 131:3 **fact** 8:9 11:16 15:9 25:2.3 28:13 29:25 34:8 37:1 42:17 50:4 51:14 66:12 76:14 79:20 99:7 122:25 127:18 140:10 148:5,25 153:2 **factor** 81:16 facts 17:15 53:25 62:18 84:22 90:7 109:12 **factual** 117:6 **failed** 28:20 29:21 31:8 66:19 **fair** 16:17,19 79:4 86:20 108:13 115:4 134:18 fairly 43:14 87:25 147:15 **fairness** 156:15 **faith** 71:11 86:17 144:20 146:4 fall 85:24 158:11

84:6 97:12,18 110:10,13 111:4 114:6 118:5 127:23 140:21 147:14 162:18 **fashion** 87:3 135:3 **fault** 26:18 59:6.7 169:24 **favor** 19:24,25 147:11,12 154:24 154:25 169:19,20 170:16,17 180:8.9 **fe** 31:3,14,23 62:5,20 63:1,3 97:16 120:11 fear 50:18 119:1 **february** 62:9 63:24 158:23 **federal** 15:12,15 37:1,2 **feel** 11:15 16:15.15 35:20 36:19 47:18 53:1 86:19 107:25 153:25 **feeling** 47:4 48:3 123:24 124:11 124:18 164:5 172:5,6 felonies 28:1 **felony** 37:1 **felt** 34:21 35:23 60:25 83:4,5 148:16.16 **fiance** 91:12 **field** 9:2 **fight** 37:25 98:11 105:24 **fighting** 119:13 **figure** 65:18 77:21 161:19,20 165:23 **file** 45:24 75:19 120:2 **filed** 64:9 65:8 106:2 **files** 39:24 41:12,18 44:25 54:8 78:11 118:22 **filing** 157:16 **filmed** 106:12 **filming** 107:11 **final** 54:3 117:16 139:23 181:14 **finally** 22:14 33:16 35:13 93:15 98:17 104:4 110:16 **find** 13:11 22:16 26:12 40:13 46:7 48:3 57:14 72:25 103:5 107:24 109:2 112:25 156:5 157:8 **fine** 111:3 116:15 124:2

**falls** 155:19

**false** 10:13

140:23

familiar 29:25 83:21 116:8 164:22

**far** 10:21 20:13 21:20 22:11 37:12

40:24 41:1 45:24 65:13,21 84:6

family 5:8 20:20 72:15 127:14

finger 76:8 78:5 **finished** 35:1 66:5.6 **fired** 30:19 31:3,22 107:13,14,21 **firm** 119:9 **firmly** 53:1 **first** 5:16,18 20:18 27:21 28:19 35:4 39:9,14 42:10 43:23 44:9 46:14 51:22 57:13 64:17 66:23 73:17 79:15 89:19 91:5 92:20 111:7 126:10 135:5 137:7 143:1 143:23 144:1.3.14 148:6 150:15 156:21 158:9 169:3 172:16 **firsthand** 72:4 128:23 **fit** 17:16 82:2.5 89:23 **five** 47:3 49:2,3 74:13 94:5,5 102:20 109:14,15,15 114:13,17 129:19 135:14,15 172:1 174:4,6 174:16 **fix** 124:19 **fleeing** 92:14 **flipflop** 77:18 **floating** 149:11 **floor** 4:6 **flow** 9:24 17:16 22:9,9 40:16 173:13 **focused** 80:19 **folders** 42:15 73:8 **folks** 10:20 97:7 99:14,19 102:8 124:16 149:19 **follow** 74:3,25 130:18 170:8,10 174:17 **followed** 139:13 **following** 97:14 **force** 15:12 56:14 57:1,22 58:6 60:22 173:5,12,15,19 174:9,11 175:1,7 176:4,21 177:3 **fore** 70:1 forefront 28:18 foregoing 181:7 **foreign** 134:20 foremost 20:18 forever 22:5 100:15 118:16 **forgive** 176:2 **forgot** 60:17 forgotten 38:17 formal 74:11,14,18 80:2,5 115:13 115:22 118:16 119:25

fing 103:18 104:18

**funny** 169:22 formality 174:21 **gives** 109:17 formally 132:4 **fuqua** 34:2,4,6,7,11,17,22,22 35:3 giving 20:14 43:11 106:25 128:16 **format** 131:13 35:6.9.16.17.20.21 36:2.5 45:20 133:6,10 **former** 92:20 136:20 **glad** 99:21,21 102:7 115:14 45:25 46:12 48:23.24 52:11.12 **forms** 117:7 52:13,16,18 70:18 141:15,20 **glass** 104:23 forth 49:7 65:18 67:18 119:12 **fuquas** 49:25 142:13 **go** 6:25 8:11 18:2 24:1,24 33:24 further 77:14 87:9 135:23 136:11 36:18 37:21 68:7,16 72:21 73:24 181:7 **fortunate** 138:10 177:4 181:10 74:11 75:8 76:3 77:19 79:3 **fortune** 126:7 **future** 3:9 67:20 118:4 123:23 89:16 95:7 103:20,22 107:13,17 **fortyfive** 171:10 124:16 133:12 143:16,19 144:10 **forward** 49:18 67:19 77:5 88:19 150:18 165:19 93:18 112:16 114:22 115:4 G 127:11 128:18 142:20 143:4 game 122:24 150:8 163:19 164:1 175:4 games 35:20 179:10 garner 16:22,23 fought 88:24 98:12 gary 2:5 19:10 32:23 151:6 152:7 **found** 24:7,15 28:7 29:6 40:16 gather 5:19 10:5,12 43:8 135:24 92:18 93:5,6 98:1,2 109:6 135:25 110:20 114:6 134:15 137:25 **goals** 176:6 **gathering** 14:17 133:9 163:8 **god** 4:25 **general** 2:5 10:14,17,22 15:17 four 11:3,23 12:13,14 66:12 76:14 19:8.11 21:15 25:5 32:23 51:7 94:6 119:4 129:19 144:12 146:5 52:24 60:15 62:7 99:2,24 140:24 146:18 155:11.16 156:1 158:2 145:5.10 146:22 147:1 151:6.23 170:4 171:3,9,11 174:11 152:23 160:21 168:15 176:5 foxwatchingthechickencoop 97:1 **generally** 38:24 78:10 **frame** 144:11 155:8 generals 3:7 9:7 10:11 13:22 19:2 framing 98:2 20:2,4 21:4 38:1 39:25 41:2,12 francisco 97:16 41:19 42:12 43:13,16,17 54:9,12 **frankly** 10:21 45:4 51:4 60:20 54:16,19 62:8,10,17 63:24 77:13 78:6 121:12,25 148:25 117:25 122:3 130:14 137:7.19 149:20 139:10 144:19,25 146:9 153:17 free 157:22 154:20 165:12.15 167:4 frequently 89:14 generate 7:22 fresh 25:8 88:9 113:12 gentleman 34:14,18 83:5 friday 135:12,17 158:11 gentlemen 57:11 **fridays** 159:22 **genuine** 96:6 98:9 99:12 **friend** 4:17 20:20 **germane** 144:13 front 10:13 13:24 21:5 26:7 52:4,5 getting 68:12 70:5,17,18 78:7 54:22.24 55:14 59:20 69:11 85:19 86:20 105:24 115:15 109:11 135:25 136:1 158:16 119:15 133:4 137:21 **full** 16:17,19 24:3 31:6 73:8 89:9 give 27:10 34:23 46:8 48:25 59:3 116:18.20 68:18 78:16 79:6 81:20 89:23 **fulltime** 81:6 111:23 91:10 114:13 124:4.4.16 129:10 **fully** 90:3 134:17 133:12 134:24 161:18,19 175:3 **function** 9:18.20 42:13 43:12 175:7.13.16.22 178:3.15 129:21 given 25:2,3 37:11 79:23 92:8 functions 55:16 178:16 93:15 128:13 164:2 166:19 fundamental 85:13

10:25 11:24 13:7,13 21:15 23:23 24:9,13,21 34:20 49:11 50:9 177:25 53:10 59:25 63:19 72:11.12 75:14 86:17 90:4 93:25 101:22 105:5 107:1,2 109:21 111:8,22 122:8 126:7 132:12 136:18 137:24,25 140:1 141:6,6 147:15 149:15 154:6 176:24,25 **gotten** 94:13 hack 142:3 **governing** 71:11 80:7 government 47:12 100:14 **governor** 101:20.23.24 hair 72:9 graduate 92:8 grant 9:7 **granted** 161:2 166:13 **hammer** 138:7 gratton 2:8 18:18,18 19:22 61:4 97:10 99:14 125:24 147:6 151:21,22 154:9,14 168:3,4,24 handful 74:5 168:25 169:9,13,15 170:13 171:14,18,20,24 172:12 grattons 154:17 **grave** 162:24 graveyard 47:1 **great** 12:7 13:9 23:21 38:3 47:13 67:15 139:2 66:24 89:4 102:3 111:17 147:7 153:16 167:12 hanging 24:14 greater 58:17 greatest 23:13 gregg 46:21 **gregory** 173:9 **griego** 106:11 **ground** 5:13 8:22 **grounds** 30:12 **happens** 91:18 **group** 24:5,5 38:2 42:1 46:21 100:10 170:19 175:2 **groups** 98:10 **growing** 79:19 guarantee 148:7 harm 20:24 guess 12:23 76:8 95:24 96:3 98:21 98:22 99:18 119:7 126:4 153:4 hash 86:24 158:5 guidance 79:23 81:20 131:12 133:1.11 hats 13:8 guilty 72:25 134:15 **gun** 113:18 **gut** 164:4,4 73:1 144:18 guy 47:12,14 70:16 78:16 89:9 **heads** 89:2 97:10 109:7 112:12 119:5 137:9

137:10 138:5 139:14 140:6,14 guys 72:11,11,24 77:20 79:5 89:25 99:3,4 102:20,25 105:21 106:1,8 106:9,15,24,25 107:4 108:13 113:21 124:5 125:12 127:12 152:11 172:10 176:25 179:16

 $\mathbf{H}$ hadnt 9:22 29:16 30:7 41:23 **half** 11:2 62:12,13,14 76:14 126:8 130:6 133:25 134:1,3 hand 82:10,12 126:3 163:18 **handcuffed** 31:11 62:7 handle 138:21 139:3 **handled** 28:14,15 31:21 45:1 107:23 138:23 **handling** 137:18 155:23 hands 6:1 58:10 62:10 65:18 hang 118:2 133:16 **happen** 55:9 62:19 91:7 130:11 131:4 140:3 157:10 167:13 happened 26:3 33:8,8,9,16 65:17 66:17 74:1,13 92:11 103:21 104:12 105:4 116:16 happening 109:4 **happy** 10:7 77:2 78:8 102:1 125:13 143:1 164:6 172:10 hard 11:18 88:24 91:25 94:21 111:20 128:4 173:20 harry 2:6 18:24 hasnt 23:6 44:15 89:20 100:17 hate 27:13,15 122:18 havent 76:12 81:1 155:12,12 **head** 12:21 24:14 57:12 72:14

health 85:8 94:23 hear 16:4 22:20 53:25,25 60:5 103:16 105:4 109:12 157:5 **heard** 20:8 23:10 53:6 61:10 77:8 82:23 85:4 94:20 95:24 97:12 98:23 99:20 106:2 153:21 155:12,12 165:4,6 hearing 9:13,14,17 14:3,5 15:6 16:17,20 21:18 27:9,10 28:6,6 28:15 29:14 30:23 31:8,21 32:17 35:10 39:19,20 40:3,9,15 48:7 51:12 52:3.4.14.17 53:5.7.25 55:1 56:12,21 57:24 58:9,24 59:3,7 60:24 61:2,8,17 64:8,17 64:17 65:3,7 66:13,15,16 67:4 68:10 70:9,10 72:4 73:18 74:12 74:14,18 75:9,20 78:16 80:15 83:4 85:6,11,15 86:20,24 87:1,1 87:2,7,10,11,14,19,19,23,24 90:13 98:22 115:13,22 118:16 119:25 122:21 126:8.13 129:19 133:25 135:2,5,11,16 136:8 138:24 139:1 145:13.14.16 152:17 155:18 156:1,8,10,11,13 157:7,8,17,25 158:7,10,13,16 159:5,7,12,23 160:8,9 161:2 166:14 171:1,2 172:23 174:2,3 174:14,17,18,20 180:11 182:4 hearings 3:10 11:3 14:22 33:11 38:25 50:11.12 55:21 80:3.5 87:5 119:22 122:16 127:11 128:7,12 129:17 130:7,11,23 133:9 134:16 146:18 147:10 150:10.12.22 152:1 155:5 157:14 158:23 159:3,11,21 167:2 172:25 175:19 hearsay 175:25,25 **heart** 39:18 65:11 91:14 152:6 **heavily** 80:19 heck 4:23 **held** 62:16 73:21 75:5 128:15 159:12 170:5 hell 96:4 103:23 111:22 help 4:14 9:25 82:9 95:9 110:1 113:3 139:17 **helpful** 93:13 130:10 helping 102:6 hes 20:22 21:24 23:7 24:15 25:10

25:12 29:24 32:10 37:1,2 38:3 **hubbard** 2:12 18:10.10 76:16 149:23 150:5,8 152:21 154:22 41:22 45:1 47:22 48:2,12,25 111:9,22 139:16 145:25 178:21 155:9 156:17,21,21 157:22 49:1,2,22 50:10 56:22,23 65:5,6 human 96:12 97:24 160:10 162:13 163:24 164:6,7,8 67:24 68:3 75:15,16 91:16,19 165:9 168:18 169:5.8.21 170:15 I 170:18,25 172:10,12,20,21 92:1,5 93:24 99:24,25 103:22 ia 75:15 177:21 109:21 111:10 113:12 140:11 173:13 175:2 176:12 177:6,10 **id** 5:16 20:10 27:11 99:23 111:2 141:14 145:21 146:1 153:24,25 179:21 132:15 157:11 171:24 154:8 159:22 160:6,19,20,22 immediate 6:7 idea 32:24 48:8 52:9 77:6 101:22 172:15 173:10 179:25 **immediately** 30:11 158:8 132:20 141:9 147:7 167:13 hey 46:23 104:24 108:9 **impacts** 159:16 172:9 hi 91:6 102:22 impaired 175:9 ideas 124:17,19 143:22,25 **hidden** 106:14 impartial 98:4 identification 156:5 **high** 96:4 103:23 176:9 implication 9:6 **identified** 30:8 34:1 144:12 **implore** 94:25 higher 129:4,4 155:12 highest 23:16 **imply** 16:8 **identify** 38:4 128:9,10 hinky 150:7 **important** 4:8 5:13,24 6:17 7:8,10 identity 29:21 hire 12:10 15:13 72:18 8:5 15:20 16:9 25:3 30:17 44:7 **ignore** 166:21 **hired** 26:21 35:23 140:12 48:11 55:14 68:7 95:13 113:7 **ill** 8:1,4 19:19 50:1 51:8 53:20 **hiring** 132:19 129:1,12 156:19 167:24 176:8 91:10 95:19.21 124:10 125:24 historical 67:19 **impose** 175:11 126:3 142:15 147:2 148:7 151:2 hit 104:22 105:22 112:15 141:24 **imposed** 113:18 152:13 162:18 167:21 169:6.6 hits 42:6 **improve** 176:8 169:18 172:22 180:3,7 **hobbs** 21:9.11 171:25 **impunity** 96:18 illness 73:3 holidays 167:8 inadequacy 35:5 **im** 5:5,7 7:3,18 8:12,24 10:7 12:21 **holmes** 2:13 19:4,4 40:21 84:25 **inappropriate** 15:6 46:17 69:24 18:10,12,21,22,25 19:3,4,7,10,10 93:23,24 112:24 113:2 114:13 144:24 145:12 146:21 21:12,24 24:9,10 26:14 27:13,15 115:5 116:12 120:2,6,7 122:21 inception 149:23 27:19 28:12,13 29:25 31:1,24 138:9 155:13 161:20 incident 62:5 64:5 83:12 88:2 34:5 36:17,22 37:14,15,16,25 92:19 homeless 108:17,18,22 38:1,24 40:1,4 41:8,10 43:3,23 honest 115:19 122:19 include 121:21 170:4.5 44:3,3,3 47:17,25 48:23,24,24 honestly 123:16 **included** 24:5 92:7 52:1 53:17 55:1 58:24,25,25,25 honesty 92:9 **including** 61:4 128:22 147:19 59:10,23 60:16 61:13 63:13,20 153:19 **honor** 92:7 140:22 63:22 68:8,14 70:11,17,18,23 **honored** 21:12 incompetence 28:14 71:7 72:24 76:15 78:8.22 79:6 **honoring** 106:25 107:1 incorrect 160:22 85:9 89:18 91:11,17 92:11,22 increase 74:17 80:4 89:1 hook 169:3 93:12 96:7 97:23 99:21.21.21 hope 13:10,16 14:12 34:5 73:1 increased 11:23 101:25 102:7,16 103:18,19,19 82:8 99:22 139:17 increasing 12:25 103:20,20 104:1,3,10,11,20,21 hoped 80:20 incredibly 29:19 105:18,18 106:5,6,9,21 107:12 incriminate 30:12 **hopeful** 76:15 108:16 109:9,10,23 110:1,4,7 **hopefully** 107:24 158:16 162:4 incumbent 129:9 111:6,9,12 112:17 113:5,20 **horses** 97:22 independent 26:20 40:19 98:6 114:12,20 119:21 120:9,10 hospital 91:13,19 104:3,5 108:5,5 index 3:1 122:18,24 123:19 125:13 128:20 **indicate** 19:25 47:23 71:22 147:12 108:10 131:14 133:4 134:10,11 135:7 **hotbutton** 165:13 148:10 154:25 169:20 170:17 139:8.22.25 141:1.9.13.13 143:1 **hour** 172:2 176:3 180:9 143:5,11,21 145:24 146:3,12,13 indicated 133:22 hours 149:25 146:20 147:13 149:3.12.15.16 house 179:18 indignant 61:20

individual 132:9.11 136:5 individuals 127:21 129:5 132:7 133:2.5 **industry** 47:11,11 57:19 **ineffective** 3:6 13:22 20:1,4 36:13 36:19 45:10 46:19 49:22 55:7 67:24 68:3 inept 21:3 45:10,12,16 inexperience 79:17 **inexperienced** 45:8,12 79:14 **inform** 71:1 **informal** 122:16.21 139:24 174:2 informally 178:25 **information** 5:23.24 21:13.18 23:7 25:19 39:15 58:11 62:3 93:16 120:16 128:23 129:10 133:13 178:15 **informed** 14:8,18,25 15:3,5 144:16 161:21 **infraction** 25:25 26:13,14 **inherited** 115:10 140:11 initial 9:3 82:8 117:13 133:7 initially 64:16 85:5 initiating 10:22 **input** 124:17 **inquiry** 60:2 67:9 inspector 93:24 **instability** 13:15 81:15 **instances** 24:2 57:2 129:23 instruction 159:11 instructive 83:17 **instructors** 132:23.25 **insult** 102:4 **insulted** 100:8.9 **intake** 173:21 **intakes** 173:25 integrity 96:20 **intend** 69:5 intensive 92:2 **intention** 13:7 49:25 **interaction** 70:25 110:8 interest 11:6 51:6,16,23 155:17 156:14 165:21 181:13 interested 176:18 **interesting** 15:22 16:1 51:15 98:17,20 101:6 120:25 **interestingly** 97:21 98:16 interject 160:16

interlocutory 87:3 internal 74:20 75:18 107:22 109:3 177:14 **internet** 106:23 107:2 **interplay** 69:15 70:6 interrogatories 86:11 **interrupt** 109:9 110:5 156:17 interrupted 110:3 **interview** 31:9 171:16 interviewed 52:6 **introduce** 18:2,5 102:19 136:19 introduced 179:16 **investigate** 105:3 138:10 **investigated** 28:4 103:15 investigating 9:20 investigation 32:20 39:17,22 40:3 41:18 74:21 83:22,25 84:2,4,8 84:20,21 88:21 109:3 120:22 128:24.25 investigations 39:20 178:23 investigative 89:18,22 127:25 **investigator** 19:5 83:19 90:1 investigatory 27:3 **invite** 30:9 88:5,6,8 **invited** 34:4 118:22 **involve** 30:2 123:22 **involved** 11:18 27:4,8,9 28:2 37:11 47:7,10 64:5 74:2 83:24 84:1,3 112:8 123:21 127:6,22 167:14 176:16 involvement 115:1,1 148:21 involves 132:23 involving 164:21 **iro** 26:20,21 27:1,2 83:7,8 120:12 120:15,16,24 isnt 24:15 67:17 68:11 isolated 70:11 **issue** 4:8 6:4,21 7:14 12:22 13:19 15:11,14,20 16:2,4 26:17 42:25 43:19 44:5 50:20 53:11 59:24 60:9,11 66:15,17,18 69:7 86:13 87:7 100:3 121:19 148:18 158:19 165:13 169:23 issued 82:15 117:24 171:3 issues 6:25 7:1,22 8:9,25 70:21 76:21,22,24 79:11 85:8 88:17,18 97:15 103:12 117:7 121:15 131:6 155:19 163:10 173:8

174:3 176:8 **issuing** 81:21 item 3:1,2 4:1 13:21 17:25 19:13 20:1,3 69:1,3 78:25 90:25 91:1,2 95:17 124:15 126:2 143:9,9,15 143:16,18,25 144:9,15 147:3,3,9 147:9 148:20 150:9,11,13,16 152:3 155:3,4 158:6 162:8,9,15 171:21,22 172:24 177:1 179:4 180:2 items 5:11 6:10,11 38:8,9 144:11 144:12 149:10,14 150:3,16 152:6 172:1.2.22 ive 5:19 22:22 35:17,17 36:16 47:10 52:5,6,6 71:4 73:15 84:15 89:8 90:16 94:15 97:11 103:13 105:19 106:2,7 107:2,15 108:2 116:11 126:7 127:10 128:16 129:16,23 130:7,7 134:20 141:2 141:10,11 144:10 149:9 150:1 155:23 158:7 171:15 172:16 173:9 179:17

#### J

jackson 2:13 11:5 14:3 19:7,7 22:21 23:4 24:18 25:8.9.15 26:16,19,23,25 27:5 28:21,22,23 29:2,10,16,20,21 30:5,7,24 31:8 31:12,16,18,19,23 32:9,14 33:6 33:21 34:8 35:1,22 36:18 37:5 37:12.19 38:12 39:11 40:6 41:3 42:4,6,8 44:1,21,25 45:7,8 46:6 46:11,16,20 47:7,16 48:20 49:1 49:5 50:6,25 51:10 52:2,7,8,9,11 53:3,6,9 57:8,20 59:15 60:3,25 61:9,12,21 62:2 65:24 66:9,11 66:19 68:10.15.17.22 69:16 70:7 70:7,8,14,21,23 71:8,14 73:6 74:6 79:1,5,6,9 90:8,10,11,14,19 90:24 113:11 114:2,10,12,17,19 114:24 115:7 116:14,24 117:1 120:10,18 134:4,9,24 135:12,19 136:8 144:21 146:4 150:18,23 152:2 155:5 156:12 170:20.25 171:12 174:12 jacksons 23:2 28:17,20 29:18 31:8 35:14 41:8,9,24 44:11,14 59:6

59:25 71:12.19 152:8

jail 37:2 103:25 104:5,25 105:1 james 2:6 101:5 126:14 ian 1:22 181:3.20 january 65:7 87:12 156:8 158:11 158:16,22,24 159:3,4,12,20,25 160:14 161:22 162:6 167:6 169:3 172:3 175:3 176:7 jaw 1:25 181:25 182:3 jeopardizing 84:24 jeopardy 161:21,23 163:21 jerk 104:25 jerrillyn 177:8 **job** 11:20 13:7 14:5,6 31:19 34:20 36:15 45:12 47:15 49:11,17 53:3 66:25 81:6 103:20 104:7 107:7 107:12,19,19 111:9,10,11,22,24 112:3,3 120:7,17 121:3,4,6,10 128:19 132:16 140:18 182:3 john 2:8 18:18 97:10 151:21 168:3 **joint** 65:7 ioke 141:17 jones 65:3,3 journal 6:14 15:23,25 16:2 judge 9:16,18 15:12 36:10 53:24 54:23 119:7,18 145:13 157:24 judges 48:7 judiciary 179:19 july 85:5 **jumping** 121:12 june 11:3 25:16,18 37:5 82:23,24 87:20 132:15 iurisdiction 178:24 jurisdictional 159:7 **jurors** 48:7 jury 32:4 justice 98:15,16 141:3 155:18 165:22 juvenile 62:6

## K

keep 4:22 38:14 47:8 54:25 116:21,23 127:10 172:10,10 kept 140:6 kicking 57:12 kidding 108:22 killed 97:16 kind 33:17 34:10 35:21 38:24 45:6 62:7 76:9 94:13 100:8 121:11

130:18,18 141:24 145:6 159:15 163:21 169:4 178:15 179:23 **kinds** 9:14 17:3 105:16 121:6 **king** 2:5 4:2 5:4 8:19,21 17:20,23 18:1 19:10,10,14,23 20:3,9,10 20:18,20,24 22:7 23:20 32:23 33:1 36:8,10 38:2,23 39:5 40:1,4 40:8 41:10 42:10 43:23 44:3,18 45:13,14 46:3,10 47:9,21 49:9 49:24 51:14,22 52:4,22 53:9,10 55:11,23 56:4,8,11,17,19 58:1,3 58:14 59:2.9.10.17 60:4.10.16 61:13,23 62:13,15,23 63:5,13,19 63:22 64:2,10,15,20,23 65:2,6 65:25 66:11,20 67:3,7,10,21 68:1,8,24 69:3 70:25 71:4,6,20 72:2 73:14 74:6 76:7 78:3,21,25 79:3 90:8,10,23 91:1,8 95:14 100:5 101:13,17 102:9,15 109:20 110:8,25 111:3,14 112:17 113:23.25 115:19 116:8 116:25 118:2,10 119:20 120:13 120:20.25 121:18.23.25 122:8 122:11,18,25 123:5,9,10,11,12 123:16 124:4,9,22 125:8,16,19 125:23,25 133:16,18 135:23 136:4,10,14,17,19 137:12 140:23 142:12,19 143:11,18 144:2,7,16,18,22 146:7,20 147:2 147:8,13,23 148:3 149:3,7,15 150:11,15,24 151:3,6,7,23,24 152:7,10 153:13 154:4,13,18 155:1 156:18,20 157:3 160:11 162:8,14 163:12 164:4,10 165:7 166:5,9,19 167:12,18,22 168:15 168:16 169:5,11,14,16,19,21 170:12,14,18,23 171:10,13,17,19 171:21 172:6,15,24 173:3 176:10 178:6,11,19 179:2,8,12 179:15 180:3,8,10 **knew** 29:4 33:21 41:16 55:9 65:19 65:19 96:22 107:10 148:20 know 4:11,25 6:22 8:21 10:15 11:12 12:1 13:14 14:15 15:24 16:3 20:12 22:7 23:10.21 24:13 25:6 27:13 28:23 32:5 33:12 35:4 36:20 39:17 41:22,23 42:11 43:7 44:22 48:18 52:7 53:8,10

53:22 61:5 67:11 68:8 69:12,12 70:14 71:15,23 72:18,25 73:2 75:6 76:5,8,15,15 77:4,17,19,23 78:6,21 79:3 84:6,12 85:9,23 88:15 90:15 93:25 94:3,7,12,13 94:23,24 95:2,5,6,9,9,10,21,21 97:4,5,6,7,8,9,14,18,20 98:4,8,14 98:14,15,20,23,25,25 99:3,3,6,7 99:13,14,15,16,23,25 100:10,16 100:25 101:1,3,6,7,23,24 102:1 102:5,7,24,25 103:1,2,8,10,16,19 104:6,7,9,14,15,16,23,25 105:1,5 105:5,7,10,14,15,18,20,21,21,22 105:24,25 106:2,4,4,6,8,12,13,16 106:17,17,18,21,21,23 107:3,6 107:12,17,20,21,22,25 108:4,7 108:12,15,16,19,25 109:1,3,4 110:10,13,14,16,20,22 111:4,10 111:22 112:2,24 113:21 116:8 116:16 118:3 119:12,19 120:13 121:18 124:25 125:8 129:14,14 132:1 133:5 138:7,9 139:14,16 139:18.21 140:6.7.20 141:5.14 142:4,15 146:14 148:7,15 149:11,15,21 150:4 152:11 160:12 162:21 164:6 166:19,24 168:18,20 169:5 170:15 172:2 172:13 176:10,21 177:10,13,13 177:21,24 178:4,12,14 179:24 179:25 **knowing** 101:8 knowledge 21:16,17 22:2 27:2,3 28:8 51:10,11 52:2 58:17 61:7 85:17 128:23 knowledgeable 25:6 162:20 known 26:25 82:7 85:5 98:15 knows 57:19 148:23 korn 2:8 8:18,20 13:20 14:1,18 18:22,22 20:5,6,10 34:7 38:16

39:2,4,12 40:1,8 41:21 43:23

44:10 45:7,14,18 46:8,18 47:25

49:14 50:22 51:8,21 52:8,14,18

52:23 54:25 55:20 56:1,7,10,16

56:18,20 58:2,7,16 59:4,13 60:2

63:3,11,17,20,25 64:7,13 65:11

60:7,12 61:2,20 62:12,14,18

66:3.15.22 67:6.8.11.25 68:8

69:2 70:4 71:2,14 74:12 75:12

77:17 78:1,14,19,21,24 79:11 82:24 85:4,11,16 86:5 87:8 97:11 99:13 113:24.25 114:1.5 115:6 118:9.11.18 123:10 124:2 124:21,22,23 125:14,17 133:17 133:18,19,21 134:3,6,22 135:11 135:14,19 136:6,12 141:10,18 141:20 143:7,14,20,23,24 144:5 144:8 145:16 146:12 147:5,7,21 148:1,14 149:4,5,18 150:2,13,15 151:19,20 152:4,5 153:13 154:15.16 155:2.3 156:25 160:16 162:13,21 165:6,8 167:10 168:1,2,19,24 169:1,2 170:2,10 171:3,7,11,23,24 172:18,20 173:13 179:5,10 korns 80:6 163:25

#### $\mathbf{L}$

lack 28:7,8,9 58:8 87:21 96:12

98:16 146:4 179:13

**lacking** 23:7 48:4 142:14 **lady** 110:15 140:15,16 178:4 **laid** 158:7 **lama** 164:9,10,11 lamas 165:8 **land** 105:10 language 80:17 142:25 163:16 168:19 languished 22:5 **lapsed** 156:16 large 139:8 late 140:10 158:22 164:21 171:3 172:2 176:3,22 laugh 142:7 laughable 28:11 law 1:8 4:3.21 8:16 17:9 18:9.11 19:5 21:7,10,17 23:11,14 24:8 25:1,9 32:10 37:3 38:18 39:23 40:12,17 41:13,17 42:13 43:25 47:18 51:12 52:14,16,20 76:23 79:16 80:7 82:3 91:24 95:3 96:16 97:2,2 113:7,12,14 121:2 122:4 127:19 136:21 137:9,10 139:11 157:1,15 159:6,9 161:7 162:21,23 163:10 164:11,18,20 170:4 laws 6:16.16

**lawyer** 10:3,4 21:20 25:8 27:13,19 45:8,16,19 47:10 50:2,15 54:22 54:24 58:25 69:10,18 76:20 148:8 164:22 166:22 lawyers 12:10 14:6,21,23 15:7 42:20 46:4,13 51:17 59:19 144:25 164:7 166:20 167:5 lav 5:12 **laying** 157:10 **layperson** 57:1 58:25 **lazy** 46:19 **lea** 4:12.24 39:14 177:15 **lea90** 40:18 89:14 131:22 **lead** 109:10 174:14 leads 174:8 learned 45:7 57:23 79:18 153:22 **learning** 45:11 126:18 137:20 leave 24:23 38:23 72:9,11 132:13 139:12,14 142:11 **leaving** 7:4 93:21 **left** 10:17 13:1 22:2 30:5 44:15 115:12.12 116:10 117:19 **leg** 88:16.16 108:7 **legal** 43:18,18 179:20 **legislation** 96:14,14 **legislature** 82:2 89:23 100:12 121:13 legitimate 57:5 **lend** 28:5 length 66:24 86:3 **lengthy** 132:14 lesser 175:8.20 **letter** 112:12,14 176:20 **letters** 177:8 level 9:2 74:10 75:23 118:14 **lewis** 91:13 liable 98:1,2 **liberty** 144:10 license 16:8 17:1 127:9 129:5,11 131:25 132:7 133:3,5,6,8 181:22 licensed 37:3 licenses 16:25 17:3 126:23 129:9 132:24 licensing 82:1 164:21 lie 44:9 161:23 **life** 4:13 17:2 72:15,16 141:2 lifeblood 17:2

**limit** 95:19 105:11 **limitations** 81:24 82:2 94:4 **limited** 6:10 86:9,10 130:4 174:13 176:6 **limits** 163:1.3 **lincoln** 177:17 **line** 18:12 22:19 73:9 110:17 128:4 lipstick 71:9 lise 91:5.11 **list** 91:5 102:12 111:4 123:17 124:25 125:5.15 126:3 **listed** 63:15 **listen** 51:19 69:14 126:12 131:15 listening 38:22 **listens** 54:17 lists 37:14 literally 129:17 litigation 87:15 **little** 4:4 5:12 7:16,18 15:10 17:16 40:1 42:21 43:4 47:11 51:2 62:25 69:14 71:1 72:12 91:16.21 95:8 102:21.24 115:20 118:6 121:12 123:2 124:14 127:20 129:10 130:24,24 135:3,7 150:7 152:12 161:18 169:23 175:7,13 175:16,23 177:17,22 **living** 17:10 **load** 174:8 local 96:14 **long** 22:14 34:6 35:2 38:15,16 46:5.15 47:6 50:13 68:19 71:17 73:16 76:9,16 81:21 96:1,1,1 100:11,14,17,17 101:2,2 103:1 114:11 116:3 166:2 168:23 171:19 173:9 longer 10:18 65:5 88:1 91:16 101:10 **longest** 73:16 **longterm** 132:11 **look** 12:24 21:3,6,12 33:5 42:3 49:15 62:2 67:20 68:20 69:18 83:17 88:6,7,9 94:10,22 95:15 116:1,13 123:2,3 129:22 130:21 131:5,5 138:1 153:5 157:11 172:13 173:12,15,20,23 174:10 174:12 175:1 177:15,15,19,24 177:25 178:18

**light** 12:5 27:1 89:5 172:2

**looked** 61:10 93:7 94:3 96:2 125:10 174:19 **looking** 42:4 75:6 96:25 97:4 111:12 137:5.16 141:13 166:10 178:15 looks 9:23 132:6 171:23 **lopez** 2:14 18:8,8 151:6,9,11,13,15 151:17,19,21,23 168:1,3,5,7,9,11 168:13,15 182:7 los 92:12.20 lose 45:16 75:7 113:18 155:18 157:13.23 159:8 165:25 **losing** 162:2 lost 4:23 104:6 107:7,9,19 175:11 **lot** 5:1 6:3 12:4 14:20 43:5 61:24 71:5 73:3 75:3 80:23 85:18,23 100:22 101:10 102:23 105:5 118:25 128:19 130:8 138:21 149:25 156:20 **lots** 76:17 **low** 11:10 **lower** 82:14 115:20 luck 13:9 **luckily** 29:10 lujan 97:15 lunas 92:12,20 **lyon** 112:20,24 123:13 136:18,20 136:20 137:13 141:19,21 142:2 142:12,18 162:19  $\mathbf{M}$ 

mac 106:22 maced 103:14 madrid 112:21 main 66:18 maintain 88:13 majority 5:20 23:22,22 138:22 161:14 165:18 making 59:7 60:23 67:22,23 99:9 118:13 147:21 150:21 malpractice 88:14 man 34:2,16 38:3 47:5 48:13 49:17 57:12 110:1 111:10 139:16 153:23 **managed** 79:21 manana 105:10 **mandate** 37:12 manipulate 141:5

manipulations 161:16 manner 138:14 139:17 mans 30:14 81:7 marcantel 13:5.8 46:21 85:20 march 11:1 63:7,8 64:3,6,8 mark 2:7 18:12 46:22 126:6 151:17 168:5 marks 132:19 marshalling 21:4 martin 102:13.14.22 match 34:18 119:19 **math** 174:6 **matriculation** 66:6 154:2 matrix 73:23,23 75:7 matt 34:8.25 118:2 137:14 152:2 matter 12:2 15:9 17:8 20:14 21:1 21:25 27:2 36:9 42:5,17 50:4 51:14 55:21 65:12 66:4,5 86:2 122:25 140:10 152:6 153:1 156:13 181:5 **matters** 3:11 37:7,8,8,9,9 66:23 84:24 135:15 150:10 162:1 matthew 2:13 19:7 mean 26:13 30:4 42:11 44:12 48:19 58:5 67:9,12,18 68:25 69:17 71:9,16 72:3 76:9 77:24 98:15 99:11,20 100:17 102:4 103:8,16 104:6,9 105:1,14,19,25 106:5,7,12,13,18,21,23 107:3,7 107:17.21 108:7.12.25 109:1 110:4 119:21 121:2 135:24 146:14.17 165:11 171:22 meaning 127:8 means 6:9 32:11 38:13 89:5 159:3 167:7 meat 114:14 mechanism 86:15.24 media 88:8 103:19 106:16 131:6 medical 88:13 medrano 155:14 161:20 meet 32:14 33:18 99:2 101:25 102:1 153:25 155:9,17 158:18 167:4 178:25 meeting 1:8 4:3 5:17,19,20,22,25 6:2,5,6,10,17 7:4,5 8:8,10 9:23 13:18 14:10,17 16:3 19:18 20:11 20:16 25:17,18 27:8 33:17,24,25 36:3.5.16 37:18 61:8 71:23 77:4

82:24 87:6 90:17.21 99:22 102:18 103:4 106:15 110:11,19 110:24 158:24,25 159:4,14,16 159:17,20,25 160:2,14 161:22 162:6 169:3 172:7,9,19 175:3,5 176:7 177:2.12 179:7 meetings 7:8,12 72:5,10 94:12 114:24 122:5 147:17,25 149:1 149:11,13,22 160:14 161:17 163:16 179:22 meets 132:10 member 13:20 21:9 26:23 40:10 40:10,11 55:1 59:14 60:8,14,17 64:18 145:20 146:2 162:21 173:7 179:5 members 2:4 5:25 6:1,22 7:5 11:17 18:6 21:2 22:21 23:19 38:16 57:2 61:4,6 79:9 88:5,7 101:19 118:7 124:24 126:6 133:14 136:23 143:2 144:3,8 147:19.22 148:4 171:14 men 105:23 mention 78:14 121:7 132:5 **mentioned** 16:6 98:21 merely 150:5 merits 79:13 85:3 message 111:25 113:14 116:22 met 33:23 111:16 112:12 120:11 120:14,24 141:10 153:18,23 175:12 metro 85:22.24 mexico 1:8,11,24 4:15 15:18 18:17 19:5 27:19 37:4 96:10 102:23 106:6 110:12 113:8 136:21 164:11,18 181:3,21 mici 100:12 microphone 157:3 middle 62:19 78:11 military 92:6 mind 4:23 127:10 143:5 mine 35:18 **minimize** 36:8 44:13 **minimum** 133:7 minor 127:8 **minute** 51:9 minutes 4:7 59:17 95:20,21,23 102:20 109:14.17 114:18

minutiae 70:13

miscategorized 26:23 78:15 127:24 128:18 143:18 96:23 99:20 104:4 105:3 106:2 misconduct 24:16 89:3,3,7,8,15 150:3 159:2,19,25 160:9 114:19 137:9,10 139:7,10 112:7,8 116:23 **multiple** 33:12 34:9,15 86:25 141:10 149:9 misplaced 70:20 murders 28:2 nevertheless 33:2 99:21 missed 17:22 152:3 myers 2:7 18:12,12 46:22 151:17 **new** 1:8,11,24 4:15 13:11 15:17 18:17 19:5 27:14,16,17,19 34:1 missing 119:21 151:18 167:21 168:5,6 misstating 49:25 34:2,12 37:4 54:17 70:16 78:16 N mistake 24:10 72:12.13 82:9 87:18 88:20 96:9 102:23 name 7:21 8:1 18:10,22,24 19:1,4 mistakes 23:23,24,24 79:17 106:6 110:12 113:8 132:16,18 19:7 34:5,13 83:16 91:7,11,12 misunderstanding 53:14 136:21 158:17 163:4 164:11,18 93:15 95:24 102:22 109:23.24 mom 108:8 181:3.21 110:17 111:4 126:6 141:15 moments 5:5 news 103:2.4 110:21.24 143:20 153:11.21 182:4 newspaper 10:9 11:12 30:25 money 108:1 nate 2:8 13:20 18:22 38:16 97:11 monica 155:13 57:10 151:19 168:1 **monique** 2:14 18:8 **nfd** 174:2 **nation** 96:10 month 64:23 81:3 **nfds** 117:8 national 96:14 months 32:9.13.14 33:9 64:13 **night** 47:2 71:17 105:23 176:22 nature 39:8.22 nine 47:2 125:7 87:20 93:20 94:6,19 132:14 nauseam 156:4 137:21 **ninth** 15:10.14 nca 62:8 63:8.23 64:9 115:2 mood 175:1 **nm** 1:22 117:24 174:1 **moon** 34:13,14,23,25 35:8,10,14 **nmac** 163:14.17 ncas 64:4 112:22 113:1 114:9 noncommunication 30:15 35:16 48:21,22 49:18,21 67:14 115:7 116:9 117:8,9,14,15 68:11.12.18 144:19 145:8 146:5 nonexistent 30:16 nearly 4:21 146:17 152:7,17,19,24 153:21 **normally** 8:6 18:1 necessarily 58:19 154:2.10.20 162:4 northwest 1:23 necessary 131:4,17 140:2 161:9 moons 67:15 **note** 6:18 18:6 39:9 57:10 63:15 161:12 79:22 81:18 84:25 86:2 90:20 **morning** 91:15 need 11:9 24:7 32:21 37:22 57:3 **motion** 19:19,21,23 20:23 125:21 **noted** 10:9 57:13 76:18 77:9 78:3 88:11 89:9 147:3,9 148:6,14 150:22,24 **notice** 8:9 39:13 42:7 77:16 81:21 96:13 99:11 104:11.12 111:14 82:15.17 87:10 102:19 117:16 152:1 154:10.15.17.18 155:20 111:21 116:21 118:6 119:9 156:9 158:4 161:25 162:9,10,11 128:12 139:23 156:5 160:9 121:13 122:1,1,3,5 127:12,13,17 164:18 165:3 162:15,16,17 166:4,6,7 167:15 128:9,10,15 129:11,22 130:23 **noticed** 6:5,14 9:22 36:23 160:3 167:19 168:19,21 169:7,9,12,14 131:2,12 133:11,13 137:15 169:23,24 170:2,14,22 180:3,5 notification 110:11 140:22 161:18 171:15 175:20 **motions** 38:9,13 86:14 171:23 **noting** 149:3 178:3 **motivations** 14:13 17:6 notwithstanding 76:24 needed 90:2 **november** 33:9 62:4 78:2 93:19 move 16:9,20 24:12 34:16 38:8,9 needs 24:11 47:16 68:2 95:8 121:8 47:15 50:23 67:19 68:10,20,23 **number** 6:22 7:5 8:24 11:22 12:17 121:9 128:13 129:24 130:3 73:20 75:25 76:1.18 77:2.5 78:8 29:3.6 39:13 51:1 74:16 100:8 147:15 157:4 174:19 177:24 78:8 82:4 112:16 113:19 114:21 173:19,23 174:11,16,22 179:19 178:1 122:22 124:19 126:1 127:11 182:3 **negative** 151:25 168:17 135:10 140:22 142:20 143:4 **numbers** 6:9 12:24 74:4 117:2 negotiate 89:1 147:3,9 150:8 160:7 169:9 175:4 120:19 122:23 neighbor 4:11 179:9.9 nurse 92:2,4 **neither** 81:18 181:10 moved 13:8 47:16 49:23 68:23  $\mathbf{O}$ never 24:2 25:10.12 28:17 31:25 115:4 143:14 169:15 179:10,10 oath 127:1 32:15 51:11 52:2,8,8 65:14 moves 88:19 130:18 obfuscation 67:12 82:11 90:17 92:14 93:9,9,10 moving 38:14 50:20,22 70:15

**objection** 171:4,6 176:19 objections 159:24 obligation 89:2,7 obligations 146:25 **observed** 7:3 135:6 obtain 90:1 **obviously** 30:17 73:15 144:14 147:18 152:13 165:13 occasion 50:5 occasionally 129:25 occur 64:12 occurred 6:19 64:11 147:25 **oclock** 47:2 odd 27:7 94:18 offduty 25:21 92:16 93:1 **offender** 174:23 **offense** 99:13 **offenses** 128:24 offers 140:16 **office** 3:7 4:20 9:7 10:2,11 12:1 13:15.23 14:23 15:1.13 19:2 20:2,5 21:4 33:11,12,14 36:4,6 38:1 39:25 41:2.12.20 42:13.16 43:13,16,17 47:17,20 54:9,12,16 54:20 60:3 62:8,10,17,17,21 63:1,7,23,24 69:12 76:20 93:19 94:1 111:16,23 112:16,21 113:4 113:13 115:12 116:17 117:6,25 119:1,18,23 120:4,6,16,23 122:3 122:15.19 130:14 137:7.19 139:10 144:19 145:1 146:9 153:18 154:20 165:12.15 167:4 178:7 179:17 officer 4:15 14:3,5 15:6 18:12 24:10,10,13 25:21,23 26:2,9,12 26:20,22 27:9,10 28:6,15,21 29:22 30:8,9,11,23 31:2,22,22 32:17 37:3 39:15 40:9,15 45:3 52:4,14 53:5,7,25 55:1 56:13,21 57:24 58:10,12,24 59:1 60:24 62:6 64:9,17,17 65:3 66:15,16 70:9 72:4 74:15 75:7,20 83:4,22 85:25 87:3,7,11,19,19,23 90:13 92:12,15,15,16,17,18,21,22,24 93:1,6,10 94:11 110:17,18 113:8 120:12,15,16,24 126:8 133:25 135:16 145:13.14.17 156:1

166:14 174:15.17 officers 8:14 9:8,13,14,17 12:3,4,8 14:21 16:10 17:9 23:15,23,23 24:6,6,22 25:1 29:11 38:18 39:20 48:7 59:3,7 64:5 65:22 66:13 67:4 70:10 74:2 75:4 84:19 91:24 94:8,16,16,19 95:4 96:19,21,23 98:18 99:10 104:16 112:1,4,10 113:15 115:4 116:5 116:22 126:23 127:1,3,6 130:1 137:16 138:14 156:10 159:23 171:1.2 174:20 **official** 176:12 officially 124:12 **oh** 29:4 42:2 56:20 77:17 97:11 103:22 105:11 108:20 110:17,24 136:6 137:8,13 143:11 144:2 166:5 178:8 okay 4:10 6:2 8:23 19:10,19 20:3 34:7 39:5,6,15 52:18 62:23 63:19.22 65:6 67:25 70:15 75:13 90:22,23 110:3 120:14 122:8,10 125:16.23 136:15 138:6 143:5 146:12 150:24 151:3 154:14,15 154:18 155:1,2 157:2 158:3 167:22,23 169:13,19,22 170:12 170:15,19 171:12,21 172:24 175:23 178:6 old 4:20 12:13 68:15 72:23 92:1 139:6 140:4 once 40:22 53:16 56:13 82:17 87:23 92:18 93:6 119:25 128:17 153:8 159:4 oneday 63:9 ones 51:2 105:7 138:4 onus 39:10 open 7:7,12 11:2,6,10,12,14,16 12:11 41:14 52:3 99:24 115:12 123:1 124:13 147:17,25 149:1 149:11.13.22 operate 99:3 **opinion** 44:22,22 55:22 58:18,22 131:16 171:7 **opportunity** 14:13 16:3 35:16 42:20 50:8 54:18 56:8 79:5.7 85:12 114:2 124:17 126:7 130:8

**opposed** 26:9 129:20 147:13 155:1 170:18 180:10 opposition 126:1 **order** 3:3 4:1.3 7:24 17:19 33:19 87:11,24 115:21 133:13 167:4 orders 118:13 138:13,23 139:4,19 ordinary 4:5 organic 81:19 organization 100:25 organized 28:4 102:17 128:20 133:23 134:7,23,25 original 161:25 170:11 originally 48:16 **ortega** 97:16 ortiz 7:4 10:9.23 12:16 13:1 21:24 43:8,9 53:17 64:2 66:24 70:10 80:22 81:7 82:11 88:23 93:13,18 93:20 111:2,4,6 114:1,4,7 115:6 115:9 116:10 117:3,24 118:11 118:17,20 119:21,24 120:14,21 121:17,22,24 122:7,10,14 123:4 123:5,7 139:13 149:18 153:4,14 173:6 **ortizs** 67:5 ostensibly 81:10 otero 142:1 ought 97:9 108:4 153:3 **outcome** 100:21 outlandish 118:21 **outlet** 110:11 **outset** 20:16 outside 26:16 39:20 40:3 48:6 96:25 108:25 149:1 167:3 **outstanding** 37:15,20

### P

packaged 74:21 packages 76:25 packed 103:7 106:19 packet 157:9 page 3:2 pages 181:8 paid 104:11,13

overload 75:11

overnight 131:4

overseeing 81:10

owe 108:1

owes 109:5

157:17,19 158:13 159:6 161:3

132:5 148:12 149:25 153:5

165:4

<b>paint</b> 71:9	peoples 132:24	161:1
<b>paiz</b> 57:13	perceive 21:1	places 160:24
<b>pancho</b> 97:16	perceived 46:16	plain 115:25
panel 32:20	percent 12:12 75:21 85:7 115:24	plan 77:4
paper 57:14 137:1	116:4 141:12	planned 82:13
papers 112:2	percentage 127:2	plaques 106:25
parcel 32:15	perception 141:1,22,23 142:9	<b>plate</b> 28:17
part 5:1 8:7,23 27:15 32:15 53:14	perfect 34:18	<b>play</b> 9:12
57:16 65:24 67:8,9 75:19 81:11	perform 42:14	<b>played</b> 119:18
82:20 83:20 91:2,19 131:10	performed 39:23	player 9:12 41:25 42:1
139:8 161:10 165:12	perfunctory 42:5	playing 9:2 35:20
partial 98:4	peril 166:23	pleas 10:10
participation 102:6	<b>period</b> 11:4 13:3 24:17,17 42:22	please 5:6 20:7 95:1 118:18
particular 36:25 51:6 79:12 82:21	64:23 74:8 127:15 132:8 158:8	133:19 144:7 146:19 150:14
118:15 124:17 129:11 145:12	164:19 170:3	165:7
148:8 155:22	periods 168:22 169:25	plenary 162:3
particularity 85:18	permanently 146:2	<b>podium</b> 20:7 144:6 173:10
particularly 87:25 176:15	permission 160:4,7	<b>point</b> 5:16 11:4 13:13 17:18,23
parties 14:6,7 26:2 27:5 65:8	permits 96:17	26:15 29:7 30:14 33:19 48:5,19
80:15 86:16 159:24 174:3	perpetuate 36:19	50:14 53:10 68:25 72:8 79:20
175:12,22 181:12	person 4:13,14,18 24:19 26:4,6	95:14 109:21 110:25 111:7
parts 20:13 43:6	27:5 36:25 41:2 43:3 44:7 56:5	117:3 120:3,25 136:2 142:16
party 15:17 26:16 87:14	72:1,6 76:2 95:17 116:1,20	147:14 149:16,25 159:1 160:23
pass 5:7 89:19 142:15 177:13	138:3 142:4,5,7	165:8,9,11
passed 15:9	<b>personal</b> 14:1 27:2 32:24,25 33:13	pointed 14:4 23:20 174:12
passea 15.7	<b>501501101</b> 1 111 2 / 12 0212 1,20 00110	
passes 152:2 155:2	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16	pointing 150:5
-	-	_
passes 152:2 155:2	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16	pointing 150:5
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13 84:24 137:17	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13 84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 poor 66:25 139:16
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13 84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13    84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13     84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9     24:23 35:23 36:24 37:10 41:4	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7 170:6 171:2	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13 66:20,22 68:13 81:2 89:20 107:9
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13 84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9 24:23 35:23 36:24 37:10 41:4 43:6 48:6 51:25 58:17 69:12,14	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7 170:6 171:2 pinos 156:10	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13 66:20,22 68:13 81:2 89:20 107:9 121:5 165:14
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13    84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9    24:23 35:23 36:24 37:10 41:4    43:6 48:6 51:25 58:17 69:12,14    73:21 91:3 95:4 98:7 102:11	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7 170:6 171:2 pinos 156:10 pipeline 22:13,18,20 23:5 24:19	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13 66:20,22 68:13 81:2 89:20 107:9 121:5 165:14 positive 75:14 141:12
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13    84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9    24:23 35:23 36:24 37:10 41:4    43:6 48:6 51:25 58:17 69:12,14    73:21 91:3 95:4 98:7 102:11   103:15,20 105:2,24 106:16,17	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7 170:6 171:2 pinos 156:10 pipeline 22:13,18,20 23:5 24:19 37:17 163:4,20,22 165:20,20	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13 66:20,22 68:13 81:2 89:20 107:9 121:5 165:14 positive 75:14 141:12 possible 73:12 152:18 175:4
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13 84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9 24:23 35:23 36:24 37:10 41:4 43:6 48:6 51:25 58:17 69:12,14 73:21 91:3 95:4 98:7 102:11 103:15,20 105:2,24 106:16,17 106:17 107:5,6 108:17,19,22,24	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7 170:6 171:2 pinos 156:10 pipeline 22:13,18,20 23:5 24:19 37:17 163:4,20,22 165:20,20 166:2 173:17	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13 66:20,22 68:13 81:2 89:20 107:9 121:5 165:14 positive 75:14 141:12 possible 73:12 152:18 175:4 possibly 31:25 32:1 63:25 98:7
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13    84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9    24:23 35:23 36:24 37:10 41:4    43:6 48:6 51:25 58:17 69:12,14    73:21 91:3 95:4 98:7 102:11   103:15,20 105:2,24 106:16,17    106:17 107:5,6 108:17,19,22,24   125:7 128:10 139:6 140:3	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7 170:6 171:2 pinos 156:10 pipeline 22:13,18,20 23:5 24:19 37:17 163:4,20,22 165:20,20 166:2 173:17 place 26:7 32:16 35:4 39:18 47:17	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13 66:20,22 68:13 81:2 89:20 107:9 121:5 165:14 positive 75:14 141:12 possible 73:12 152:18 175:4 possibly 31:25 32:1 63:25 98:7 178:21
passes 152:2 155:2 passing 148:23 patently 10:13 76:19 path 74:3 130:19 patricia 112:21 pause 82:24 pay 108:10 peck 173:9 penalize 127:13 penalties 12:9 penalty 24:16 pending 7:21 23:1 36:23,24 79:13 84:24 137:17 penitentiary 141:4 pension 31:6 people 16:21,22 21:7 23:22 24:7,9 24:23 35:23 36:24 37:10 41:4 43:6 48:6 51:25 58:17 69:12,14 73:21 91:3 95:4 98:7 102:11 103:15,20 105:2,24 106:16,17 106:17 107:5,6 108:17,19,22,24	33:17 36:9 51:10 69:17 72:16 personalize 91:17 personally 70:19 83:24 personify 53:8 persons 157:24 perspective 14:11 53:23 54:14 pertinent 83:20 peterson 179:18 philosophy 166:20 phone 33:13 pick 36:11,12 53:1 108:10 picking 105:23 108:24 pictures 74:24 pig 71:9 pingpong 119:18 pino 3:11 150:10 155:24,25 156:7 170:6 171:2 pinos 156:10 pipeline 22:13,18,20 23:5 24:19 37:17 163:4,20,22 165:20,20 166:2 173:17	pointing 150:5 points 7:9,11 70:6 76:12 122:9 police 1:10 9:8 11:19 12:2 18:15 18:17,25 25:20 29:5 31:3,15,22 45:3 59:1 62:6 63:3 84:5 88:24 88:24 89:12 92:12,15,15,16,17 92:21 93:1,9 96:4,6,19,21,24 97:22,23,25 98:1,11,12,13 100:20 105:3 107:10 110:1,15 126:23 178:25 policed 100:20 political 142:3 politician 146:13 poor 66:25 139:16 pose 152:9 position 40:9,9 42:12 45:20 49:13 66:20,22 68:13 81:2 89:20 107:9 121:5 165:14 positive 75:14 141:12 possible 73:12 152:18 175:4 possibly 31:25 32:1 63:25 98:7

potatoes 114:14	principle 158:1	<b>program</b> 143:8 145:18
potential 84:13	principles 80:9	<b>progress</b> 117:9 126:19
potentially 64:18	<b>prior</b> 9:22 16:2 47:22 71:23 79:21	progressing 93:22 94:2
power 83:13 89:24 90:3	85:14 87:14 137:12 148:23	promised 24:5
practical 170:21	173:16	promote 106:9
<b>practice</b> 27:14 40:23 41:1 92:4	priorities 113:10	promoted 140:12
127:22 164:23	<b>priority</b> 82:14 144:4	pronouncing 34:5 91:6
practiced 27:16	<b>private</b> 47:11,11	<b>proper</b> 23:8 59:7 86:16 87:16
precedents 141:1	privileged 145:3	128:10
predecessor 112:20	<b>probably</b> 4:8 5:13 13:21 29:17	<b>properly</b> 31:14 57:8,17 90:2
prefaced 127:18	51:6 54:19 59:20 69:5 73:16	104:16 106:10
prehearing 86:14 174:12,15	78:13 80:4 112:25 123:1 126:10	proposals 109:19
prejudice 110:6	131:24 135:3 144:22 150:25	propose 173:4
prejudiced 110:7	152:12,20 163:2 167:7 176:10	proposed 179:5
preliminary 41:18	177:7 178:11	<b>pros</b> 71:19
preparation 28:8	<b>probation</b> 130:1 174:24	proscribes 86:14
<b>prepare</b> 29:1 54:8 70:7,8 113:1,2	<b>problem</b> 22:3,3 23:4,6 32:2 34:21	<b>prosecute</b> 22:11 25:14 33:21 37:6
114:13 120:2 154:21	38:5 42:25 43:1,3 48:22 59:2,5	37:19 52:3,9,11 61:22 68:13
<b>prepared</b> 9:24 22:9 128:13 131:13	70:5 88:16 96:12 100:1 102:5,6	72:10 90:2 94:9 148:8 152:7
148:21 155:7,13 157:9	111:15 124:19 137:3	153:11,23
<b>preparing</b> 9:21 25:16 50:15	<b>problems</b> 33:22 39:21 44:6,8	<b>prosecuted</b> 22:6 23:7 27:22,23
112:22 114:9 117:6,7,8	48:17 77:6,7 78:6 83:6 94:24	28:4 78:13 90:5,6 150:23 152:2
<b>preponderance</b> 30:3,3 75:24	96:9,9,15 97:14 114:9 173:11,11	prosecutes 22:1
129:8	procedures 58:13	<b>prosecuting</b> 28:2 45:6 47:5 114:6
prepping 75:16	<b>proceed</b> 87:24 114:18 150:14	<b>prosecution</b> 3:6,9 13:22 20:1,4
prepping 75:16 prerogative 5:12 8:24	<b>proceed</b> 87:24 114:18 150:14 175:23	<b>prosecution</b> 3:6,9 13:22 20:1,4 28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16
	_	1 <del>-</del>
<b>prerogative</b> 5:12 8:24 <b>present</b> 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23
<b>prerogative</b> 5:12 8:24 <b>present</b> 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13	175:23 <b>proceeded</b> 85:11	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12	175:23 proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 processing 178:11	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13     135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25     133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19     55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19     60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12     131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14     57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12 president 55:4	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 produced 30:16 31:17,24	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14 prospectively 165:19
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12 president 55:4 press 6:20 8:25 9:6 11:25 110:11	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 produced 30:16 31:17,24 producing 59:6	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14 prospectively 165:19 protect 24:3,4
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13     135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25     133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19     55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19     60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12     131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14     57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12 president 55:4 press 6:20 8:25 9:6 11:25 110:11     110:15 123:18	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 produced 30:16 31:17,24 producing 59:6 production 86:11,13,17 121:21	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14 prospectively 165:19 protect 24:3,4 protestations 82:4
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12 president 55:4 press 6:20 8:25 9:6 11:25 110:11 110:15 123:18 pretty 10:25 64:11 71:7 140:10	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 produced 30:16 31:17,24 producing 59:6 production 86:11,13,17 121:21 productive 80:22,25	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14 prospectively 165:19 protect 24:3,4 protestations 82:4 proud 27:16 38:18
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12 president 55:4 press 6:20 8:25 9:6 11:25 110:11 110:15 123:18 pretty 10:25 64:11 71:7 140:10 144:22 162:20 163:9	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 produced 30:16 31:17,24 producing 59:6 production 86:11,13,17 121:21 productive 80:22,25 profession 112:5	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14 prospectively 165:19 protect 24:3,4 protestations 82:4 prove 29:23
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12 president 55:4 press 6:20 8:25 9:6 11:25 110:11 110:15 123:18 pretty 10:25 64:11 71:7 140:10 144:22 162:20 163:9 previous 11:3	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 produced 30:16 31:17,24 producing 59:6 production 86:11,13,17 121:21 productive 80:22,25 profession 112:5 professional 1:23 16:25 35:25	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14 prospectively 165:19 protect 24:3,4 protestations 82:4 proud 27:16 38:18 prove 29:23 proved 84:23
prerogative 5:12 8:24 present 2:11 61:14 69:19 128:13 135:19 136:9 143:5 presentation 87:25 128:21 130:25 133:24 134:7,13,24,25 presentations 134:8 135:4 142:21 presented 33:10 48:16 50:19 55:24 56:22 57:23 58:11 60:19 60:19 69:21 75:19 115:5 128:12 131:18 134:14,18 136:8 presenting 31:20 51:18 55:14 57:20 61:9 114:25 135:2 160:5,6 presently 11:11 preside 87:13 presided 135:12 president 55:4 press 6:20 8:25 9:6 11:25 110:11 110:15 123:18 pretty 10:25 64:11 71:7 140:10 144:22 162:20 163:9	proceeded 85:11 proceeding 119:15 proceedings 129:2 142:8 161:4 176:1 181:5,7 process 8:15 16:8 17:4 23:21 24:11 35:24 43:6 44:5,6 48:11 66:1 75:1 79:19 80:18 81:11,16 81:23 82:9,12,20 83:9 85:13 99:1 102:2 116:5 119:16 122:17 126:19 127:7,23 128:4 130:3 155:23 158:4 163:2 164:5,12,14 165:3 174:22 processes 8:11 produced 30:16 31:17,24 producing 59:6 production 86:11,13,17 121:21 productive 80:22,25 profession 112:5	28:10 36:19 73:11 118:4 122:16 123:23 124:16 128:22 131:24 143:17,19 144:10,20 145:23 156:14 prosecutions 48:2 145:19 150:19 173:25 prosecutor 15:2 19:8 21:3,19 22:1 25:4 32:11 34:1,3,12,16 35:5 36:11,12,13 41:25 48:9,10 49:8 55:8 75:15 77:10,22 80:18,21 82:10 85:1 86:1 88:20 89:17 113:13 134:4 153:3,6 158:17 174:5,5 175:14 prosecutorial 14:24 21:21 prosecutors 21:14 prospectively 165:19 protect 24:3,4 protestations 82:4 prove 29:23

89:14 158:4 **provided** 57:8 74:25 81:20 100:19 120:15 173:14 **provides** 43:17 84:18 86:9 **providing** 120:18 **provision** 86:9 164:16 174:24 **prudent** 78:13 **public** 3:8 5:24 23:17 33:10 38:8 38:17 42:22 55:21,21 61:8,8 68:21 79:4 83:1 88:7 90:25 91:3 95:19 106:13,15 107:8 109:18 116:23 118:5 123:17 124:13.24 124:25 125:8,10,12,14,22 126:2 126:24 127:1 131:6 132:6 140:19,21 143:10,12 160:2,9 163:15 164:18 165:4 publicly 145:7 **pull** 72:9 **pulled** 81:12 **punch** 103:17 104:17 **punishment** 16:22.22.23 punishments 80:2 **purpose** 10:2 20:18,23,25 33:15 43:21 44:9 67:19,21 70:2 **pursues** 21:19 pursuing 93:2 purview 42:19 145:9 151:1 152:21 **pushback** 70:17,18 **pushing** 34:22 48:23 49:6 60:7 **put** 34:25 39:10 48:12 55:1 61:15 68:10 71:9 75:2 76:7,19 78:5 82:3.5.14 89:1 95:10 105:12 106:22 107:2,16 138:7 155:25 165:15 176:12.23 **puts** 100:13 105:17 **putting** 42:15 54:25

#### 0

qualifications 152:23 qualified 53:3 154:21 qualities 154:11 quality 10:6 17:14 50:9 76:25 quandary 89:22 quantity 49:6 quarter 72:5 question 4:25 8:20 41:21 44:9 49:16 52:19,21 56:16 66:1 67:10 67:11 71:3 83:21,24 84:3,7,12

89:25 90:9 96:20,20 98:4,14 101:14 113:24 118:9 122:12 133:17 136:6 143:21 144:14,17 146:15,24 148:6 155:6 163:13 170:21 questioned 97:15 questions 39:3,5,9 44:4 59:23 60:5 69:5 70:2,2,4 71:5 78:22 90:10 90:23 93:21 113:22,23 118:8 123:6 133:16 134:19 136:14 142:19,20 156:20 162:16 166:6 auick 78:9 113:19 116:7 148:6 155:9 160:11 auicker 73:20 quickly 16:20 24:12 66:17 67:16 75:25 76:1 82:5 127:12 152:18 **auiet** 133:22 quite 6:15 86:7 89:13 179:21 quiz 41:11 **quorum** 6:24 87:18,21 90:12,18 171:17 quorums 6:23 7:1 **auote** 173:17 **quoted** 10:10

#### R

radosevich 87:12,13 123:14 126:4 126:5,6,17 133:19,20 134:1,5,10 135:1,13,18,21 136:3,13,15,16 158:13.21 159:21 167:2 173:7 rael 64:25 raise 17:13 53:11 126:3 raised 8:15 13:19 14:14 15:11 40:23 76:13 147:23 155:20 raises 89:24 155:6 raising 44:5 ramifications 159:2 rampant 96:12 ran 4:20 114:9 rancho 108:23 range 133:20 ranger 92:8,10 rapport 99:15 100:3 rate 32:2 ray 2:5 18:14 136:24 read 43:19 56:11.19.20 83:20 114:18 115:2 124:10 138:8 166:15

BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.

201 Third St. NW, Albuquerque NM 87102

**reading** 63:11,13 165:10 ready 142:20 150:8 158:14 real 78:9 98:9 109:24 130:23 144:17 160:11 176:14 **realize** 74:15 175:13 realized 22:8 realizing 66:10 **really** 9:25 12:25 13:6 35:11 42:2 49:15 67:9,9,13,17 68:11,21 76:12 81:1 95:5 99:11,18,19 107:25 109:2 112:10,15 128:4 137:24 141:23 161:18 **realm** 22:2 reason 25:15 26:25 27:9 28:12,13 30:17 50:21 68:8,9 80:3,4 103:13 107:16 115:1,19 118:12 118:15 121:7 129:14 141:25 reasonable 30:2 32:6 75:25 109:20 reasons 11:15 36:17 61:25 96:7 recall 10:22 13:3.8 33:23 46:3.14 46:22 74:6 84:15,16 169:1 **recap** 78:9 **recd** 182:10,15,20,25 receipt 182:1 receive 12:9 21:14 receives 39:14 40:18 receptive 130:16 recognize 43:1 126:20,25 129:2,7 143:1 162:11 recognized 162:10 **recommend** 58:15 77:3 154:5,10 154:19 176:3 recommendation 132:20.22 138:18 142:24 146:8 176:25 **recommendations** 115:18 132:17 recommending 115:20 reconsider 169:7,12,14 record 17:21 28:24 50:25 55:21 83:1,3,17 85:6,14 87:7 110:9 114:24 149:4.8.9.12 **recorder** 103:23 records 118:22 119:15 recusal 87:15 recuse 64:21 recused 64:21 65:1 114:25 red 120:2

reduce 116:23

152:5 **reduced** 79:21 162:5 171:2.2 175:3 176:6 177:2 reducing 32:24 resources 89:18 **refer** 40:16 126:14 reported 1:22 11:13.22 63:4 **respect** 38:3 60:14 97:7 140:23 **referred** 166:17 143:24 153:13.16 reporter 91:9 reflect 126:24 reporters 181:2 **respond** 66:9 79:10 reflecting 161:4 reporting 1:23 11:20 89:1,7 **respondent** 85:12 134:19 135:22 refrained 9:1 111:20 128:4 **respondents** 85:7 86:18 131:23 **refused** 30:11 reports 74:24 89:3,9 114:14 **responding** 28:21 29:10 167:10 **regard** 9:25 12:7 20:12 56:2 78:4 131:13 133:10 137:3 response 46:18 171:11 126:15 155:25 156:9 161:5 **responsibilities** 35:15 81:8 117:10 represent 12:10 14:6 15:7,13,19 162:17 23:9 51:5 53:13 54:20 74:1,16 164:24 99:17.19 145:6 **responsibility** 23:18 36:20 37:10 **regarding** 3:10 128:23 147:10 150:9.12 172:25 representation 10:7 17:15 43:18 41:24 42:3 44:12,14 55:9 66:14 regardless 84:22 67:4,5 117:12,16,20 126:22 43:19 54:9 99:9,12 101:22 registered 92:2 **responsible** 21:1 66:12 representations 98:10 **regular** 154:3 172:8 177:2 representative 18:13,15,19,21,23 rest 14:16 38:16 144:21 **regulation** 56:11,19,20 166:2 18:25 80:16 97:6 101:6 173:8 result 13:19 30:13 33:25 62:1 89:3 **regulations** 54:11 99:4 161:6,8,10 representatives 80:16 resulted 45:2 161:13 165:17 **represented** 54:16 88:1 results 128:5 **regulatory** 55:16 170:9,11 representing 11:5 14:21 162:19 resume 162:22 reject 52:25 157:22 represents 10:4 22:11 49:4 97:11 retire 24:3 31:6 106:24 **retired** 4:19 136:22 rejecting 115:18 **related** 3:11 150:10 164:12 181:11 reprimanded 92:24 retirement 66:7 82:11 117:5 relating 61:17 request 15:6 64:2 65:8,21 118:21 **retroactive** 115:15 138:3 relationship 80:22 119:25 145:6 157:16 158:7 **return** 23:25 174:20 178:16 **relative** 164:25 **returned** 63:8 64:5 requests 86:4,11,12,12 157:6 **relatively** 127:8,11 155:9 **returns** 132:9 released 24:15 134:15 159:10 reveals 83:3 releases 23:5 reversible 84:23 153:10 **require** 175:17 **relieved** 150:18 **review** 26:5.11.20 41:13.18 56:9 requirements 133:7 170:9,11 63:7 64:3 75:19 114:10 117:13 **relying** 175:24 requires 24:12 30:1 76:10 133:8 **remaining** 41:7 146:6 150:19 164:17 148:22 remember 62:21 75:21 84:6 85:17 requiring 163:15 reviewed 41:23 56:13 58:3,3 105:17 137:4 reschedule 65:8 75:20 **reviewing** 58:4 156:3 remembering 10:20 rescheduled 29:18 remembers 5:14 reviews 39:15,22 40:20,21 resent 148:25 149:1 **remind** 7:20 95:19 reserve 153:5 revocation 140:2 **remote** 97:12 reside 51:6 revoke 9:8 17:3 43:14 80:13 **removal** 173:24 resides 43:2,3,15 revoked 17:9 127:9 132:7 resigned 30:21 **removed** 24:7 54:4 revokes 17:10 render 133:13 resistance 74:3 rid 24:23 renew 147:16 **resolution** 38:1 109:16 111:14 **ridiculous** 70:14 104:10 116:3 **replace** 14:3 71:14,16 112:9 124:1 139:25 156:11 118:23 **replaced** 33:7 35:5 70:16 **right** 4:2 5:10 16:12 34:5 37:21 157:12 replacing 49:1 **resolve** 37:18 60:9,10 65:20 67:13 39:18 40:7,11 50:22 52:19,23,25 **replied** 177:11 67:15 73:24 86:5,15 96:15 113:6 59:4,5 61:9 62:15 63:5 64:18 **report** 62:20 63:1 83:7,9 89:2,15 71:18 76:1 85:13 91:1,13 98:3 115:21 118:24 105:13 128:1 131:21,22 159:23 resolved 74:10 75:4 86:7 87:2 99:1 102:11 103:7 104:14 111:1

111:10 116:2 119:16 121:18.22 running 82:16,17 96:8 171:5 154:16,19 167:19,20,21,22 123:9 124:1,20 125:15,25 128:9 runs 34:8 169:17,18 170:13 174:23 180:7 147:8 152:2,14,16 157:25 ryan 160:4 seconded 170:15 160:25 163:17,19 165:16 168:16 secondly 36:22 S 171:13 174:13 176:13,16,23 **secretaries** 140:5,18 sacred 127:2 177:4,7 179:2,14 180:3 secretary 18:6 81:6,10,13 145:21 **saddled** 36:14 **rights** 8:15 67:1 96:12 98:3,8,10 151:4 167:19,24 168:20 safety 140:19,21 167:16 **rio** 108:23 **section** 166:16 salvageable 72:20 **riot** 141:4 security 62:5 sam 98:2 rise 83:12 see 12:15 13:20 22:19 24:2 33:20 sanction 58:15 60:24 127:4,8 **risk** 162:2 163:7 167:1 41:14 44:13 45:24 47:7 55:12 175:21 71:1 75:10 76:3 82:2 86:17 road 42:6 114:8 sanctioned 129:25 roads 172:13 89:23 93:21 94:1 97:5,5 106:13 sanctions 113:18 111:19 112:1,2,10 114:18,23 roam 96:18 98:19 santa 18:25 31:3,14,23 62:5,20,25 115:14,24 116:6 118:7,25 **rob** 18:20 63:3 97:16 120:11 robberies 28:2 120:21 122:16 123:17 125:5,25 sat 22:5 27:19 46:20,23 51:18,19 **robert** 2:7 18:16 151:9 127:20,23 130:23,23 135:9,10 61:21,25 62:20,25 63:3 137:22 **rod** 4:11,13,20 141:21 152:16 153:6 156:4 satisfactory 45:19 rodriguez 158:10,15 159:12,13 158:2,20 162:2 165:24 169:4 **satisfy** 86:18 rods 4:19 seeing 128:5 151:4 saw 92:14 93:10 115:16 137:1 seek 80:13 84:19 **rogue** 96:17 98:18 139:15 seen 5:19 9:22 51:9,11 52:5,9,11 **role** 9:12.14 36:8 79:15 80:18 saying 10:10 36:9 40:4 44:10 81:12 173:16 72:3 82:5 93:2 94:14,15,20 48:23 59:9,10 62:15,23 68:2,5 **roll** 3:4 17:22,22,25 151:5 167:24 134:21 135:6 141:12 68:14 70:15 73:5 75:5,12,13 167:25 segment 103:3 94:21 101:8 121:2 132:19 **rolling** 6:24 7:1 137:24 **segue** 48:20 134:22 149:9 160:18 165:16 **room** 39:21 45:23 46:5,16 51:20 segueing 48:22 says 56:12 80:12,15 86:10 102:19 72:1 97:4 103:7 106:14,19 131:5 selfacclaimed 80:8 112:13 160:24 161:1,5,7 165:16 152:16 153:24 175:2 **sell** 109:16 166:13 roughly 117:22 senate 96:8 scenario 177:25 row 18:3 send 41:15 111:25 113:2.14 schedule 77:4 158:15,22 **rpr** 1:22 181:20 114:15.23 116:21 120:1 176:20 scheduled 128:15 129:20 158:3 177:25 **rubber** 42:6 160:2.15 **rug** 178:17 sending 42:5,15 114:10 **scheduling** 87:10 159:17 **rule** 6:6,9 80:12,12,17,19 81:15 **sends** 42:4 school 25:9 79:16 113:12 sense 50:16 96:24 82:6 84:18 86:9,13 89:1,19 schultz 2:5 6:20 10:18 18:14.14 117:11,21 121:14 129:15,21 sent 41:12,19 62:8 63:7,23 64:2,16 73:13.14.15 74:9 78:4 125:4 131:2 157:7,14 160:6,23,24 108:2 110:10 112:12 178:8 136:24 137:23 147:2 148:15 163:17,22 164:13,14,16,17,19 sentence 166:12 151:15,16 166:8,9,10 168:7,8 165:4 166:11 174:16 175:4 sentences 175:8 179:3,4,14 **ruled** 16:25 **september** 33:2 79:23 92:23 **scott** 34:1,4,5,7 48:23,24 49:17 rulemaking 160:3 164:12,14,25 **sergeant** 140:12 scratching 12:21 rules 5:13 6:8 8:22 28:8 37:23 **serious** 17:8 60:9,11 83:5 103:19 scrutinize 48:1 38:21 81:19 99:4 100:12 131:11 130:4 164:15 seat 140:15 seriously 99:18 108:3 126:17 155:9.10 156:6 159:5 160:18 **second** 1:11 8:23 19:22.23 30:23 161:6 163:19 165:3,10,11,24 154:1 92:9 109:10 118:2 125:24 130:1 serve 9:14 24:5 43:21 84:10 112:3 174:13,18 181:12 133:16 147:5,6 150:25 151:2,3 **run** 20:22 22:18 23:6 160:1 175:9 121:10 126:8

shown 29:12 society 31:2 **served** 64:9 92:6 120:8 **sole** 134:4 serves 38:2 128:1 145:16 **side** 32:5 125:9,9,10 **service** 1:23 23:25 24:4 32:4 95:3 **sides** 16:4 **solely** 87:21 **sign** 124:5 125:1,15 soliloguy 76:9 100:19 137:12 signed 87:11 91:4 95:18 102:13,20 **solution** 71:15 131:10 **serving** 37:2 120:5,17 session 8:7,11 124:9 124:12 125:7.11 **solve** 38:5 42:25 102:6 173:10 **significant** 10:15 45:4 49:6 81:16 set 17:17 33:10 38:7 49:12 65:7 **solved** 26:17 69:5 85:5 87:1.4.5 128:17 significantly 11:23 somebody 9:23 15:24 25:15 26:21 132:10 163:1 181:7 signs 24:9 97:5 34:19,19 36:14 45:20 47:7,16 sets 119:22.24 162:6 silence 5:5 57:4 72:19,20 77:9 91:18 96:22 **setting** 85:15 128:6 **simple** 43:2 53:8,9 71:15 73:7 111:22 167:8 177:23 178:1 86:8 114:21.21 115:25 **settings** 86:25 somebodys 17:2 settled 175:8.20 **someones** 131:24 **simply** 30:2,18 131:21 145:25 seven 27:21 152:3 168:17 172:1 somewhat 22:10 83:23 146:3 **single** 51:11 **sevigny** 91:5,6,10,11 95:14 soon 73:12 **shame** 101:1,8 sir 39:4 47:18 49:3 56:7 59:13 **sop** 57:17,23 **shandler** 2:12 19:1,1 46:22 109:9 61:2 62:18 63:11 64:19 66:16 **sorry** 31:1 38:24 41:8 63:20 72:25 109:21 110:3 119:24 136:24 68:14 70:21 78:1,9 101:5 110:19 100:21 105:18 114:20 120:9 142:24 143:4,22 156:17,19,21 111:6 121:24 122:7 123:4 134:2 122:24 143:11 146:12 152:3 156:23 157:1,6 160:17,17,23 137:14 141:10 142:18 145:24 162:13 171:19,20 **sort** 19:15 44:21 45:2 60:17 69:15 161:16 162:10,10,18 166:11,17 146:3.19 148:14 149:5 152:5 70:20 87:3 91:2 94:10,18 99:15 170:8 173:2.3.4 182:12 155:3 172:20.21 **shandlers** 143:24 163:9 165:1.9 **sit** 46:5.13 51:17 59:20 67:13 142:24 170:23 **shape** 169:4 70:11 99:25 115:3 118:16 **sound** 69:17 172:18 **shed** 27:1 126:12 145:4 161:18 sounded 143:21 **sheet** 22:9,10 102:15,20 157:9,12 sits 98:3 145:13,17 sounds 95:6 109:20 **sheriff** 4:7,11,17,23 5:6 18:20 **sitting** 23:2 39:11 41:7,9,22 59:13 south 4:12 172:13 71:2,4 90:14 100:5 126:11,14 60:8 72:23 114:11 141:2 152:15 southeastern 4:15 152:17 179:25 142:3 151:3,11 168:11 177:5,18 **space** 161:5 situation 94:18 132:3 165:22 179:2 **speak** 20:7 21:25 43:8 82:21 84:13 85:3,15 102:17 111:2 125:3 **sheriffs** 4:20 18:21 88:25 97:18 six 27:21 87:20 92:6 132:14 144:9 101:5 128:3 151:24 152:2 172:1 174:22.25 148:15 **shilling** 2:7 17:18,21 18:16,16 sixtytwo 41:8 **speaking** 79:12 85:17 78:9,19 149:6,8 151:9,10 163:11 **sketch** 175:4 **special** 178:23 163:13 166:3 168:13,14 **specific** 8:3 145:14 146:23 152:25 skilled 25:6 **shocked** 112:13 **slammed** 104:22 **specifically** 51:3 157:2 176:15 **shootings** 98:11,12 slamming 20:18 speculation 30:4 **short** 77:16 87:24 96:4 sleep 142:15 **speed** 148:22 152:18 167:6 shorthand 181:6 slow 104:21 137:20 speeding 27:24 shorthanded 112:25 small 22:2 127:2 177:16 **spell** 91:8 **shot** 97:16 109:24 **smile** 4:13 **spend** 130:8 **shoulder** 103:14 104:2,19 108:4,9 **smiles** 141:16 **spoke** 33:6 37:7 153:21 176:14 shoulders 23:15 **smoker** 30:25 31:11 55:18.24 56:5 **spot** 141:24 160:17 176:13,23 62:2,4 63:15 66:5 68:4 86:2 **shouldnt** 37:11 104:17,18 138:25 **spring** 90:21 175:5 **show** 6:1 28:20 29:9.11.19 44:25 87:15 88:1 90:12 115:25 118:20 **springtime** 158:25 159:17 50:25 76:2 85:8,25 107:18 133:4 **smokers** 119:12 **spurts** 129:18 140:7,7 147:13 151:24 174:23 **snag** 160:1 **squad** 105:23 **showing** 63:22 **snowy** 78:7 stability 89:21

staff 7:3 14:1 39:23 40:12 41:14	stiffer 80:2	suggested 159:25 167:12
41:17 42:14 76:22 110:10 116:9	stipulated 88:2 115:21 118:13	suggesting 78:20
121:1 122:4 130:8,13 131:12	138:12,23 139:4,18 168:25	suggestions 130:16
138:11 148:12 173:16 176:3	stock 165:15	suite 1:23
staffs 121:3,6	stood 58:21 133:21	summary 83:7
stage 17:17	stop 83:13 110:5 162:12	summer 94:1
stagnant 115:22	<b>stopped</b> 25:25 104:24	sunday 4:11 158:10
stall 66:4,9,10	story 10:25 12:20 15:10 177:9	sunshine 6:15,16
stalled 67:2	street 1:11,23 12:3	supervise 45:22
stalling 66:17	stress 91:20 94:24	supervised 35:24
stance 79:25	stressful 94:22	<b>supervision</b> 21:22 35:21
stand 30:9,11 38:19 39:3 83:19	strictly 96:5	supervisor 34:8
113:22 125:17	<b>strike</b> 31:13	supervisors 72:17
standard 57:18 129:7	strikes 16:1 58:19 71:21 150:6	support 57:9 111:18
standards 23:16 132:10 153:18	strong 75:14 113:14 116:22	supported 20:22
standing 67:1	stronger 80:4	suppose 11:14 16:4 42:19 63:6
start 18:4 37:25 38:21 77:11	struck 31:11	86:25 91:3
81:22 96:15 150:21 158:1	structural 173:11 174:8	supposed 64:12 89:18 105:3
started 12:14,25 27:23 28:1 33:11	<b>structured</b> 130:24 134:12	supposedly 45:21 93:2 103:15
74:17 115:18 118:12,13 137:5	structuring 135:2	sure 5:7 7:1,13,16,22 8:2,12,14
137:15,18,24 142:22	studied 62:24	9:2,11 11:19 16:21 20:9 35:11
starting 137:25	study 173:8	37:21 40:22 55:20 60:12 70:23
starts 82:17	stuff 89:5 104:9 106:22 107:5,16	71:7 72:2 73:10 78:17 107:13
state 4:16 5:2 15:8,17 18:17 20:13	109:4 110:21 112:3 121:11	116:25 122:1 124:3 132:17
21:8 23:12,13 37:4 38:19 60:15	137:6 163:16	152:21 154:22 156:4 157:13
85:14 91:25 95:4,4 96:18,22	style 135:10	160:10 165:25 168:18 169:8
98:19 113:8 128:21 169:23	subject 40:24 127:7	170:25 172:21 175:2 177:6
178:25	submit 131:20	surely 44:16
stated 89:5	submitted 56:6	suspect 31:12 101:24 129:15
<b>statement</b> 10:13 42:17 93:9,11	subpoena 89:24 90:3	suspend 36:17 80:13 150:22 152:1
120:22 162:24 174:2	subpoenaing 75:17	suspended 38:11 132:8,24 133:4,5
statements 74:25 143:3	subpoenas 84:11 120:6,8,17	suspending 78:15
states 11:5 27:20 51:5	121:10,16,19,20	suspension 132:12,14
statewide 178:24	subsection 161:7 166:11,12	suspensions 115:16
statistics 73:8	subsequent 83:13 85:15 130:2	swap 77:22
<b>statute</b> 5:21 19:11 22:11 51:23	substantial 128:1	swelling 81:17
80:11 81:19,24 82:1 94:4	substituted 35:9	swept 178:17
statutes 43:14 54:10	succeeding 155:6	swing 46:25
statutory 55:15	success 10:25 12:20	switch 35:14
stay 12:6 177:18	successful 51:1 141:3,8	switching 78:11
staying 76:16	successfully 78:12	syndrome 97:2
steadfast 154:3	succession 153:17	synonymous 145:22
stems 62:4	successor 146:1	synopsis 114:16 131:22
stenographic 181:6	sufficiency 40:23	system 75:11 96:17 107:6 141:4
step 174:1	sufficient 6:11 40:22 60:20 61:15	systemic 88:17 176:8
steps 69:23 173:24 174:10	61:19 122:2 136:10 148:10	
sterner 79:23	158:17	T
sticky 66:1	suggest 109:14	table 74:22 147:3 179:8
	00	

tabled 179:6,11 tactic 57:3 tactical 69:19 tactically 61:14 tactics 31:10 50:10,12 56:3 57:4,6 57:15 58:18 61:7 take 5:11 7:13 8:24 10:24 15:14 19:19 21:2 26:22 44:14 45:20 48:1 49:20 50:16 69:22 75:9,14 75:15 77:15 79:24 88:21 94:7,9 95:15 104:3 108:4 109:15 114:17 116:3 119:6 127:1 129:22 131:5 132:11 137:22 138:1,2,5,7 139:21 140:8,17 144:19 146:9 149:21 154:12,22 163:8 169:6 178:12 180:3 taken 7:11 27:18 32:16 75:22 82:20 113:21 134:18 138:6 140:4 144:10 152:11 181:5 takes 76:1 88:21 talk 12:19 13:13 14:13 16:18 17:15 20:15 23:9 40:11 41:4 42:23 50:14 51:2 53:21 55:17.18 55:22 61:23,24 66:24 77:19 83:8 86:3 99:16 100:1.2 102:2 107:24 108:20 118:3 121:25 123:25 124:5,6 141:1 142:4 145:4 148:13 178:9,20 talked 31:25 33:2 44:19 55:20 58:20 60:18 71:25 72:6 104:24 105:2 123:18 140:5 142:3 162:22 166:11 talking 9:15 43:24 46:1 56:25 79:8 84:16 90:15 92:22 105:16 109:25 110:18 121:8 134:8,10 134:11 161:3 talks 164:12 tantamount 54:23 tape 103:22.24 109:6.6 **tarnish** 105:7 task 173:5,12,15,19 174:9,11,25 175:7 176:4,21 177:3 tasked 116:17 taught 57:6,16 team 41:24,25 167:11 techniques 57:7 telecommunicator 160:5 telecommunicators 126:24

**telephone** 29:3,6 120:18 tell 13:24 18:7 21:21 27:11 37:12 47:9 49:3 60:17 63:20 65:13 66:9 67:3 69:22 90:5 92:11 101:3 103:17.21 110:23 114:5 119:14 149:17 154:7 157:19 telling 47:18,25 109:24 137:4 140:7 146:20 157:22 tells 74:22 ten 95:20,21 98:21 99:5 103:18 109:17 114:17 139:6 142:14 159:24 tend 133:22 tenminute 124:7 tenpoint 157:11 tensions 80:24,25 tenure 80:20 85:1 114:3 term 40:7 terms 37:8 69:18 145:18 158:14 161:3 **testified** 31:10.13 56:2 57:21 58:12.12 85:1 testify 30:10,12 123:15 125:2 testimony 83:2,6,20 88:5,12 128:14 131:23 thank 5:3,6,8 6:3 17:23 20:6,10,11 38:21 78:19,22,24 90:7,8,23 91:22,24 100:4,5 101:16 102:3,9 109:20 111:1,6,8,17 113:20 123:4,5,7 126:5 133:14 136:13 136:16 142:11,12,18 144:5 149:5 150:15 154:16 155:3 171:12,18,19,20,24 179:2 thanks 6:2 39:1 91:6 thats 4:8 8:5 9:9,18,25 11:24 13:16 16:5,18 19:15 22:19 24:11 26:21 30:5,16 36:9 40:14 47:19 49:12,24 50:15 53:5 55:3,4,11 55:18 56:7 59:2 60:8 62:7,19 63:9 66:18,19,20 70:1,21 72:2 73:2,2,3,7,11,16 74:15,16 75:14 75:15 78:19 80:1 82:19,19 83:1 85:25 87:7 89:16,19 90:14,19,20 91:19,23 92:4 98:24 100:21 101:6,12 102:11 106:4 107:23 109:1,23 110:20,25 111:3 112:3 117:20 118:5,17 119:11 120:6

122:12,21 124:2 128:18 129:12

132:1,19 133:21 135:24,25 136:10,12 139:24 141:3,7 147:7 147:18 150:13,25 154:14,21 155:23,24 157:18 158:17 159:1 159:7 160:1,3 161:3 162:3 163:20,22 167:7,11,12 173:18 174:4,6,8,18 176:24,25 179:23 theres 6:11 10:25 12:20 15:24 28:13 36:24,25 40:5 41:4 46:1 53:7 57:4 68:19,25 72:18,19 74:22,23,24,24 81:14,24 82:16 86:17.23 94:4 95:7 100:22 104:8 105:5.11 108:22 110:24 117:20 118:25 119:4 123:12 125:11 131:24 132:3 156:7 159:8,15 174:1 176:19 **theyll** 24:1,2,3,3,4 42:22 60:6 139:10 **theyre** 7:10 12:9 41:15 46:25 49:5 50:21 62:1 64:11 75:5 106:20 108:20 112:5 119:10 125:1 130:1 132:25 137:2 139:5 164:14 165:12.16 166:22 173:11 **theyve** 72:21 77:22 89:10 130:15 130:16 132:16 177:23 thing 7:18 11:24 39:9,14 45:9 57:13 67:2 75:10 76:8 82:5 84:9 99:23 100:10 117:20 118:25 137:8 138:17 140:21,25 141:11 141:22 164:5 things 8:12 13:16 14:18 16:6 20:15 21:3 37:16 51:15 57:15 64:10 68:6 73:20 76:17 77:14 79:10 94:2 95:2,10 106:7 112:10 113:4 114:8 116:6 117:2 121:2,7 123:18 126:11 127:20 128:18 130:11,15,17,21,23 131:11 133:9 137:24 140:20 145:7 148:21,24 153:14 159:15 174:25 **think** 5:2,13,24 6:11 7:8,10 9:8,19 9:23,25 10:19 11:11,13,24 12:6 12:8,15,16,20 13:6,12,18,21,24 14:2 15:19,22 16:14,15,24 17:6 17:7,12,17,21 18:7 19:16 21:24 22:16,20 25:4 29:6,17 33:24 37:1 39:18 40:6,8 41:4 42:2,7,17 43:13.19.20 44:7 45:15.18 46:10 47:5,21 49:24 50:1,4,8,9,11,18

50:20,24 51:15 53:2,13,20 55:11 21:23 22:13 23:3 24:17.17 25:22 toyed 159:19 55:13 58:4 59:15 61:15 62:1 27:7 31:7 32:22 33:4,18 34:6 traditionally 175:18 64:25 66:11 68:1,24 69:6,8,14 37:2 46:24 64:23 66:18 73:16 traffic 25:25 27:23 69:24.25 70:22.25 71:6.17 72:3 74:8 75:8.10.21 82:8 84:25 **train** 104:16 73:5 75:12 76:7,17,19,21,22,23 92:13 96:2 100:11,14,18 101:2 **trained** 34:15 77:23,25 78:4,10,21 79:4 80:3 102:21 103:1,25 105:11,12,18 **training** 59:3 82:3 132:18,21 83:3,4,4,17 86:16 87:6,8 88:11 106:5 111:15 115:16 116:18,20 transcript 182:8,13,18,23 88:18,18 90:19 94:3 95:15 96:5 124:13 129:16 130:8,17 132:8 transcription 181:8 transferred 39:24 97:9 98:17 100:7,9 101:12,13,14 132:12 133:20 134:18 136:2 101:18,21 109:21 111:14 112:2 139:3,9,9 140:11 155:7,8,10 **transition** 81:12 82:7,13,18,20 113:5,12 117:9 118:5,24 119:4,9 156:10,11,15 157:20 158:5,17 87:17 121:5,12 122:8,11,25 123:12 159:21 160:8 161:1.8.8.11.11.19 trash 48:14 125:2,6,17 127:10,17,24 128:2,5 161:20,21 162:1 163:1,3,14,24 **trauma** 92:2 128:18.25 129:8.12.22.24 130:2 166:13 168:22 169:1,25 170:3 traveled 20:12 171:4,5 173:9,21 177:3,8 182:10 130:9,17,21 131:2,4,5,17,18,20 treat 142:5 131:23 132:6 133:10 134:17 182:15,20,25 treats 108:18 135:4 136:10,22 140:1,20 **timeline** 63:21 171:7 **tremendous** 72:14 130:12 142:16,20 143:4 144:24 145:2,7 timelines 22:16 37:23 64:11 **trial** 21:21 25:6,10 30:1 35:10 145:9 148:14,16 150:25 151:7 155:19 157:10 161:10 167:16 48:6,8 65:15 77:23 129:3 153:2,6,13,18 154:4,6 155:17 173:20.20 trials 46:14 77:24 tribal 18:25 157:4,25 159:15,18 160:5,8,22 timeliness 37:16 163:2 165:23 166:3,24,25,25 timely 128:6,8 157:25 **tried** 15:12 25:11 65:18 127:10 167:11.12.14.23 168:24 169:2 times 23:10 33:12.14 34:9 35:17 **trouble** 85:19 113:17 173:12,15,19,23 174:8,9,11,25 35:18 56:10 103:18 114:19 truck 93:2.10 175:1,6,10,16,22 176:10 177:17 122:19 132:1 155:21,22 160:25 **true** 9:10 32:22 36:11 38:7 40:17 51:4 62:19 72:2 79:14 83:10 177:23 178:6,11 179:16,24 161:4 162:6 174:6 timing 129:21 131:1 **thinking** 43:10 163:2 181:8 **thinks** 54:19 tiny 177:22 truly 99:17 **third** 1:23 26:4 31:21,25 49:4 81:7 today 5:11,18 6:25 7:14,16,20 trust 116:23 126:24 127:3 16:19 49:9 54:18 70:1 76:13 truth 18:7 43:5 thirty 168:23 **thomas** 83:18 99:21 103:2 116:19 155:20 **try** 8:1,3 29:8 36:8 65:8 86:5 **thought** 6:8,16 15:21 27:1,7,10 159:11 163:23 174:20 176:13 99:14 102:17 124:6 167:15 28:5 50:2,5 59:18 63:14 80:23 177:11 170:8,10 177:13 80:24 96:23 112:14 118:23 token 52:25 trying 41:10 60:8,10 66:8 67:14 **told** 11:1 12:21 14:16 33:4 35:6,9 70:19 93:12 95:12 106:22 137:14 139:16 140:14 144:13 148:22 150:16 153:2 160:12 35:16,17,17 47:20 50:5,6 55:23 108:15,17 139:25 141:11 169:21 **threaten** 119:10 104:17 107:11 114:12 117:18 turn 4:6 37:24 41:1 103:24 threatened 119:5 137:8 172:15 turnaround 63:9 threatening 104:1 tolerate 112:7 113:15 turned 41:3 109:7 120:3 three 12:13 13:8 27:20 28:15,16 tom 97:15 136:20 142:2 turning 60:2 30:18 31:24 32:13,14 44:20 45:5 **tomorrow** 71:17 tv 106:10 107:16 47:22 61:21,25 74:11 90:16 tools 122:3,4 twice 104:12 105:4 92:18 93:6 107:15 112:13 top 22:19 113:7,9,13 two 20:15,21 26:2 27:5 28:16 115:22 117:25 149:24 160:15 30:19,19 31:24 32:8 36:16 46:4 total 33:14 167:7 173:23 touch 38:12 51:2 56:1 57:11 62:12.13.14 **throw** 111:13 126:16 touches 174:4,6,9 63:1,2 64:24 65:13,16 66:5 67:2 tougher 115:15,17 74:11 77:17 86:4 102:11 104:12 tied 58:10 time 5:18 7:15 11:4 12:18 13:2,4 town 108:24 177:22 106:1 109:18 112:18 113:6

116:20 119:13.19 123:12 124:24 133:6 136:23 140:4,9 159:15,22 160:10.13.24 161:17 173:19 175:24

twomonth 32:9,11 **twopart** 109:16

twothirds 22:15 23:1 48:3

twovear 82:1

**type** 112:7 127:4 138:1 173:22

#### IJ

uhhuh 52:22

**ultimately** 23:24 28:3 127:3

**unacceptable** 98:24 99:8

unanimous 71:7

unanimously 155:2

unanswered 10:11

**unaware** 163:16

**uncertain** 37:7 40:1

uncomfortable 70:3

**understand** 44:8 55:15 56:23

60:16 68:2 69:15 71:20 78:10 107:4 143:7 149:24 152:24

161:23 163:21 174:7

**understanding** 8:8 12:22 22:3

41:6 44:4.24 45:25 53:16 56:4

64:15 121:19 122:14 123:24

142:23

understands 9:9.12

understood 171:12

**unfair** 76:19 105:6

**unfortunately** 24:8 87:17 117:18

unfounded 50:18

uniform 82:1

unit 92:3

**united** 95:25 96:1,16 97:8 98:12

99:8

unqualified 45:8

**unquote** 173:17

unreasonable 32:18

unsolved 106:1

unsupportable 13:25

**unsure** 43:24

**untrue** 36:10 149:2

untruthfulness 92:24

unverified 131:21

**upcoming** 3:10 147:10 150:9,12

172:25

**upheld** 119:8

**urgent** 20:14 21:1 33:19

**usage** 117:7

use 54:12 57:22 75:23 132:4

173:13

useful 14:22 76:11 148:13

**usually** 95:19 151:7

utmost 140:23

#### $\mathbf{V}$

vacant 81:2

valdez 95:18,18,19,23,25 100:5,7

101:5.12.17 102:3.9

**vale** 102:13,14,22,22 109:22,23

110:7.13

**valid** 132:25 142:16

**valve** 167:16

variation 89:11

variety 126:9

various 96:19 147:19

vecinos 95:25 96:1,16 97:8 98:11

99:8

vendetta 32:24,25

versus 53:9

vetting 35:24

**vicinity** 177:19

**video** 56:5,9,14 58:4,4,7,14 60:19

60:20 61:11 62:5 88:3,6,8,9

115:25 116:1

videotapes 74:24

view 82:19 120:25 165:9,9

**viewing** 58:14

**vincent** 106:11

**violate** 7:12 127:3 147:25 149:13

violated 7:7 147:17 157:24

violates 149:22 174:24

violating 98:2,8

violation 130:2

**vip** 102:22

visions 25:13

**voice** 154:22,23 169:6

void 7:12 149:22

**volume** 36:23 176:9

**volunteer** 107:8.9

**volunteered** 27:8 46:4,11

vote 71:8.18.24 73:6 151:24 152:3

154:23 161:14,15 165:18 166:25

168:16 169:6

**votes** 14:17 144:15 146:18 170:19

#### $\mathbf{W}$

wait 81:21 114:20 172:8

waiting 22:5 94:19

waive 157:17 164:13

walck 82:25 83:22,25 120:9

walcks 82:25 83:18

walk 173:10

want 7:13,20 9:1 10:12 17:22 36:2

36:4 39:17 44:13 47:5 48:25

49:12.15 52:24 53:2 60:4 61:24

67:13 69:10.22 71:10.24 72:8.10

76:5 77:2,21 78:8 82:21 83:20

85:3 91:8,21,22 101:21 102:5

103:16 106:15 109:2,16 111:8

111:12,25 112:1 117:1 119:1

122:23 123:15,20,21,22 124:2,5

124:6,14 125:1,12 127:11,17

130:15 131:9.14 133:12 141:22

143:3,22,23 149:21 150:5

152:25 163:8 165:12.21 168:23

172:10 174:20 176:5,22 178:3

wanted 20:15 34:16 61:1 73:20.21 78:17 116:6 120:21 137:1 138:4

139:12 144:3 150:2 163:3

**wanting** 115:17

wants 82:4 110:22 124:18 125:19

139:14 157:17 166:21

warehoused 22:22 24:18

warehousing 40:6

warm 4:13

wasnt 5:18 16:9 26:8,24 29:15

34:25 35:11,11 41:17 87:4 88:16

90:12,18 109:7 115:3 116:18

125:8 140:10

waste 97:24

watch 25:13 45:23 50:8 107:11

126:12

watched 51:20 52:2 103:2

watching 25:16 97:2 152:17

179:25

water 96:4 103:23

way 5:17 8:8 14:19 15:24 16:15

20:19 21:2 22:11 25:13 27:4,24

45:18 53:8 56:11.21 69:21 77:10 107:20 108:18 113:5 117:9

118:24 125:5 126:11 130:15.22

131:11 138:21 145:4.19 162:3 166:15 171:25 172:17 179:15 wavside 85:24 weak 175:14.15 **weaning** 48:10 wear 24:9 wearing 13:7 wears 24:19 week 33:5 50:17 62:21 77:23 129:17.19.20.20 weeks 33:9 167:7 weigh 162:18 weight 23:13,20 25:3 welcome 162:14 166:22 wellqualified 34:19 went 28:16 30:19,20,21 31:2,2,4 35:23 58:17 61:3 65:12,17 74:4 86:22 103:14,23 107:20 115:21 120:5 123:18 137:7 138:12,17 138:24 139:1 142:1 weve 6:3 11:18 15:11 22:23 23:10 72:3 82:7 94:12 98:15 99:20 100:14.23 101:1.6.10 102:7 114:7 128:6,7,8 142:10 147:14 149:24,25 156:22 166:19 170:14 178:19 **whats** 40:23 48:11,11,12 74:1,13 86:15 95:6 99:16 104:24 105:22 106:21 109:2 110:22 161:23 162:3 165:23 whatsoever 32:21 181:13 **whos** 97:10 wife 20:20,21 142:13 wild 66:16 87:7 williams 1:22 181:3,20 willing 39:2 79:6 99:25 101:25 102:16 124:13 167:2 172:19,20 172:21 175:7 willynilly 162:25 wind 115:7 window 130:22 wish 13:9 81:13 123:7 withdrawn 179:6 witness 28:20,20,22,24 29:2,4,8,9 29:15,19 31:1,9,9,12 55:24 56:2 57:21 58:19,21 83:3,11,14 85:10 85:16,18,19 92:17 93:15,15 135:21 182:4

witnessed 93:1 witnesses 28:10 31:24 46:24 56:1 70:7 75:16,17 84:13,17 120:18 123:13,14 128:11,22 134:19 135:20 136:7,9 175:9,17,19,24 wives 108:11 women 127:12 won 15:16 wont 148:11 154:22 158:19,23 159:4 work 12:7 19:2 24:25 25:6 30:20 30:21 31:4 47:2 65:9 81:25 86:17 91:25 95:1,7,12 97:17,22 102:23 106:18 108:17 109:25 111:19 112:9,16 114:2 121:1 126:19 127:13 132:2,9,15 140:1 157:12 167:8 worked 11:7,9,18 25:12 27:24,24 29:4 31:5 52:6 93:13 115:11 128:3 129:16 137:25 139:23 working 12:19 42:8 46:25 47:12 50:15 80:21 92:3 96:19 131:10 137:17 141:4 160:6 178:13 works 9:24 92:2,13 97:19,25 world 146:14 worried 107:7 worry 108:13 137:10 139:11 **worse** 73:3 worstcase 177:24 worth 45:5 167:1 worthwhile 43:10 69:25 wouldnt 104:14 115:8 138:5 wrestle 89:25 written 170:14 173:22 wrong 25:7 42:18 46:7 62:18 88:15 95:24 104:15 124:10 157:1 160:19 164:7,8 **wronged** 177:23

#### $\mathbf{X}$

wrote 6:8 177:9

wrung 65:18

#### Y

yeah 38:23 41:14 51:20 52:6 67:7 71:20 108:20 140:13 164:4 166:5 172:18 178:6,8 year 11:5 12:12 13:16 22:15,23

23:3 41:6 44:11 49:5 74:13 76:15 78:16 79:21 87:12,20 89:21 90:21 116:9,10 117:15 126:8 130:6 133:25 134:1,3 173:25 **vears** 4:20,21 11:3,19,24 12:13,14 21:17 27:16,21 28:16 31:5 34:15 45:5 47:10 61:21 62:1,12,13,14 63:1,2 65:13 66:5 67:2 90:16 92:1,3,6,18 93:6 94:5,5,20 96:2 97:9 98:12,21 99:5,5 101:7 102:7 107:15 112:13 115:23 119:4,13,19 133:6 139:6 140:4,9 162:20 179:19,20 **velling** 104:1 105:15 **vesterday** 177:9,11 **vo** 104:3,11,21 **vohalem** 66:1,3,4 vork 27:14,16,17 **youd** 137:21 **youll** 5:7 22:16 40:16 42:11 49:15 76:3 77:24 98:22 111:10 131:9 157:8 158:2.20 162:4 168:19 **youre** 21:18 22:20 39:2 40:2,8 42:24 43:10.24 44:1.5.14.16.16 44:20 45:10,11,12 49:9,25 50:11 51:15 53:22 55:14 59:4,5,8,13 59:14 60:12,14 62:23 67:6,21,23 68:2,25 70:5,19 73:9 79:7 90:15 95:3 99:5 101:8 107:12 112:24 113:7,17 119:14 121:2 133:23 134:6,22 141:10,16 148:25 157:1,20,22 162:13,14 172:18 175:11 **vouve** 10:18 39:16 49:22,23 51:9 51:11 52:2,8 53:18 56:13 62:24 68:23 70:15 76:7,10 100:7,11 101:13,14 102:18 106:1,16

#### $\mathbf{Z}$

116:19 119:22 123:1 133:24

146:17 160:12

zach 19:1 46:22 zachary 2:12 136:24 zack 119:24 120:1 129:16 157:4 160:12 zeal 153:22 zucoff 141:15

 45:1 117:23 80 173:25 174:6 11:10 62:9 1:11,24 **26th** 63:24 8th 7:4 3:3 4:1 6:6 152:3 166:11 102:23 22:17 23:5 6:6 63:8 64:6 109:5 166:11 9 6:6 63:7 64:3 22:17 11:12 115:24 116:4 141:12 11:1 75:21 157:15,21 158:21 **2893k** 1:25 181:25 182:3 112:11 115:10 159:7 163:5 6:6 166:11 181:22 90day 158:8,18 22:24 3:8 22:25 23:1 41:7 11th 82:23 3:5 6:6 19:13 152:6 158:6 41:7 181:22 1:10 4:21 47:10 74:5 110:24 118:22 163:4 156:2 179:20 1:9 93:20 166:11 181:4 182:2 **30th** 159:20 160:1,9 1:22 181:3,21 181:22 3:9 110:14,20,22 74:13 180:11 11:6,8 3:11 27:16 106:11 115:11 116:11,12 1:23 **16th** 171:6 115:13 3:3,6 20:1 155:4 159:1 **17th** 160:2 174:6 3:4 64:8 41:11,22 92:1 3:12 137:3 169:2,7,10 170:6 3:5 4:20 **1970s** 164:22 115:10 27:15.15 **1990s** 73:18 98:23 3:8 90:25 85:7 115:12 1:10 3:4 17:25 110:24 152:6 1:11 3:7 95:23 96:2 97:9 101:7 **5th** 159:14 102:7 117:22.23 21:17 44:25 47:21 137:3 98:22 3:9 143:16,18 147:3,9 159:19 136:22 179:5 180:11 73:17 137:24 11:13 39:11 40:5 136:22 41:23 44:11 11:1 62:4,19 92:12 **6th** 158:12,16 159:12 167:6 92:23 11:3 62:9,20 63:7,9,24 64:3,6 1:23 3:10 147:4,9 150:9 171:23 13:1 65:7 79:24 86:23 87:6 172:24 179:11 83:10,11,15,16 1:9 13:1 25:16,18 81:2 82:24 12:12 117:12 181:4 182:2 **22nd** 65:7 33:14 3:12 158:11 180:2